APPENDIX B

State DOT Financial, and General and Procedural Guidance



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

PENNSYLVANIA 2023 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FINANCIAL GUIDANCE

INTRODUCTION	1
2023 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM UPDATE	1
FUNDING	3
Highway and Bridge Funding Distribution	3
Public Transit Funding Distribution	8

APPENDICIES

Appendix 1: Available Funds - Highway and Bridge	11
Appendix 2: Highway and Bridge Base Funding Allocations for Each Region	
Appendix 3: Rapid Bridge Replacement Program	
Appendix 4: Asset Management Factor	30
Appendix 5: 2023 Financial Guidance Formula Summary	32
Appendix 6: State Transit Funding	33
Appendix 7: Federal Transit Funding	
Appendix 8: Federal and State Transit Funding by Region	43

INTRODUCTION

One of the first crucial steps in the biennial update of Pennsylvania's 12-Year Program (TYP), Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and each regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is the development of Financial Guidance. The purpose of this document is to describe the available revenues and funding distribution strategies that form the foundation in developing the next update of these programs, hereafter referred to as the Program.

Financial Guidance is developed by a collaboration of representatives from Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Rural Planning Organizations (RPOs), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and PennDOT, collectively known as the Financial Guidance Work Group.

The Financial Guidance Work Group is directed by principles that Financial Guidance must be based on:

- A cooperative effort
- A long-term strategic viewpoint
- A Commonwealth perspective
- Existing and readily available data
- Statewide and regional needs-based decision-making
- Responsiveness to near-term issues and priorities
- Coordination with other agencies and initiatives.

2023 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM UPDATE

The Financial Guidance Work Group reached general agreement on draft financial guidance components on June 15, 2021 with the following recommendations:

- The National Highway Performance Program (NHPP), Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP), Off-System Bridge (BOF) and State Highway and Bridge funds will utilize the new formulas established during the 2021 Program Update for all twelve years of the Program that reflect Transportation Performance Management (TPM) requirements and an asset management philosophy based upon lowest life cycle costs.
- The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) will utilize a new formula while maintaining existing program set-asides and base funding allocations.
- Remaining funding categories will utilize existing formulas.
- State Highway and Bridge Funds reflect estimated revenues to the Motor License Fund.

- State Transit funding is based on estimated revenues to the Public Transportation Trust Fund.
- The Interstate Management Program will continue to be managed with an enhanced level of funding.
- The Statewide Program will continue to cover 50% of the costs of the Rapid Bridge Replacement (RBR) program with the remaining 50% coming from each region's percent share of RBR associated deck area. The source of the regional share is split evenly between state bridge funding and state highway (capital) funding. These funds are deducted from each region's distribution and are reserved in a separate item for the Statewide Program.

The MPOs, RPOs, FHWA and the Department achieved consensus to move forward with the *Pennsylvania 2023 Transportation Program Financial Guidance* and *Pennsylvania 2023 Transportation Program General and Procedural Guidance* at the Planning Partners Meeting, on June 29, 2021.

Following enactment of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) on November 15, 2021, the Financial Guidance Work Group reconvened on November 18, 2021 and December 1, 2021 with additional or updated recommendations:

- Existing formulas and data will continue to be utilized.
- Anticipated available federal highway, bridge and transit funds will reflect IIJA/BIL authorized amounts for the first four years then remain flat for the remaining eight years of the Program.
- The set-aside for the Highway Safety Improvement Program will be increased to \$40 million.
- Bridge Formula Investment Program funds will be distributed based upon a formula using STP and NHPP bridge themes and data.
- Due to time constraints with the 2023 Program update and the lack of full year appropriations and guidance for the new Carbon Reduction and PROTECT formula fund programs, all funds will be held in a statewide line item, until further guidance is provided from FHWA. Any necessary updates to the program will take place after adoption in October 2022.
- Due to time constraints with the 2023 Program update and the lack of full year appropriations and information from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the transit portion of the financial guidance remains unchanged. When FTA updates full year appropriations, it will be communicated with transit agencies and planning partners. Any necessary updates to the program will take place after adoption in October 2022.

FUNDING

Pennsylvania's 2023 Transportation Program will include all Federal and State capital funding that is expected to be available over the next twelve years. This includes:

- All anticipated federal highway and bridge funding apportionments or allocations to the Commonwealth
- State Appropriation 581 funding for highway capital projects
- State Appropriations 185 (state owned) and 183 (locally owned) funding for bridge capital projects
- Estimated federal and state transit funding

The funding distribution tables that comprise the Appendices establish the annual funding constraint for each MPO and RPO and the Statewide and Interstate Programs in accordance with the requirements for fiscal constraint included in the *General and Procedural Guidance*. Projects and funding will be assigned to the appropriate years based upon project readiness, schedules, estimated funding availability and expected expenditure of funds (cash flow). Certain categories of discretionary, earmarked and maintenance funding are not included in the funding distribution tables and are considered to be additional funds to the program.

Highway and Bridge Funding Distribution

The distribution of federal funds is provided through formulas and policy decisions that were determined during meetings of the Financial Guidance Work Group. This guidance continues to assume the practice of programming to the authorization level rather than a lower obligation level. Program funding levels and implementation funding levels may differ due to the annual federal obligation limitation and the state budget.

- National Highway Performance Program (NHPP):
 - The Interstate Management Program will continue to be managed on a statewide basis with the programming of funds occurring centrally by the Department of Transportation in accordance with the Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP) and Performance Based Planning and Programming. An amount equal to 26/55^{ths} of available NHPP funds were set-aside for the Interstate Management Program in the first year of the 2021 Program. An additional \$50 million is provided for Interstates in each subsequent year until a total of \$1 billion is realized by year 2028 of the TYP.
 - Twenty percent of the balance of NHPP funds remaining after these additional funds for the Interstate System are set-aside will be held in a statewide reserve to advance projects on the National Highway System (NHS) in accordance with the TAMP and performance management principles.
 - An average of \$7.5 million per year will be reserved for State and Local Bridge Inspection.
 - Remaining funds will be distributed amongst MPOs and RPOs for bridges and highways on the NHS based upon the regional share of these factors:

2023 through 2034					
40% Bridge	3/4 Deck Area All Bridges (30%)				
> 20 feet	1/4 Bridge AMF (10%)				
	1/4 Lane Miles (15%)				
60% Highwov	1/4 VMT (15%)				
60% Highway	1/4 Truck VMT (15%)				
	1/4 Pavement AMF (15%)				

• AMF represents an Asset Management Factor. The factor considers necessary treatment needs to maintain existing pavements and bridges in a state of good repair consistent with Pennsylvania's TAMP. More information on the AMF is included in Appendix 7.

• Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP, STN, STR):

- Twenty percent of STP funding will be held in reserve at the discretion of the Secretary of Transportation. Funding will be utilized to offset the impact of high cost projects or programs ("spikes") which are beyond a region's allocation, or other statewide priorities.
- An average of \$17 million per year will be reserved for State and Local Bridge Inspection, Environmental Resource Agencies, and other related statewide line items.
- Remaining funds will be distributed to MPOs and RPOs based upon the regional share of these factors:

	2023 through 2034
40% Bridge > 20 feet	Deck Area All Bridges (40%)
	1/2 Lane Miles (30%)
60% Highway	1/4 VMT (15%)
	1/4 Truck VMT (15%)

• Surface Transportation Block Grant Program-Urban (STU):

- Funding is allocated to each MPO with populations greater than 200,000 based on current federal formula. The federal formula sub-allocates STP funds within each state between urbanized areas with populations greater than 200,000 and the rest of the state in proportion to their relative share of the total state population as well as the total state urbanized area population in proportion to all other states total urbanized area population.
- The sub-allocation formula is currently based on the 2010 Federal Census.

• Off System Bridges (BOF):

• Funding for minor collector and local functional class bridges will utilize the following formula:



- Bridge data utilized in this formula include state and locally owned bridges over 20 feet in length.
- Funding for off-system bridges comes from Surface Transportation Block Grant Program and the Bridge Formula Investment Program set-asides.

• Bridge Formula Investment Program (BRIP):

• Funding for the replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection or construction of highway bridges over 20 feet in length will be distributed to MPOs, RPOs and the Interstate Program based upon the share of these factors:

2023 through 2034					
40% STP	Deck Area Non-NHS State and				
Bridges	Local Bridges > 20 Feet				
	¾ Bridge Deck Area NHS and				
60% NHS	Interstate Bridges > 20 Feet				
Bridges	¼ Bridge AMF				

• Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP):

- \$40 million in funding for this program will be reserved statewide for various safety initiatives.
- \$12 million is divided evenly amongst the urban and rural regions to provide a
 \$500,000 base amount of funding as a means to address systemic safety projects.
- The remaining funding will be allocated to MPOs and RPOs based on a 39:1 crash severity weighting for all reportable crashes. The ratio is based on the cost of fatal and injury crashes compared to property damage only crashes.

• Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ):

- In accordance with agreements reached in conjunction with Pennsylvania Act 3 of 1997, \$25 million is reserved each year in federal funds to flex to transit in accordance with agreements reached in conjunction with the enactment of Pennsylvania Act 3 of 1997. CMAQ funding will comprise more than \$23 million of this reservation. Remaining funds will be from the STP category.
- Remaining funding is distributed to air quality non-attainment and maintenance areas according to factors which consider each county's air quality classification. Previous "insufficient data" and "orphan maintenance" (as currently defined for the 1997 ozone NAAQS maintenance areas) counties no longer receive CMAQ funding.

- National Highway Freight Program (NFP):
 - Funding for this program will be allocated to the Interstate Management Program.
- Surface Transportation Block Grant Program Set-Aside (former Transportation Alternatives Program) (TAP, TAU):
 - Federal Law requires that 59% of the funds are sub-allocated by population and 41% are available to any area of the state. Part of the 59% sub-allocated by population is assigned, by federal formula, to regions with populations greater than 200,000 (TAU). The remaining funds sub-allocated by population and the 41% available to any area of the state (TAP) are held in statewide reserve as mandated by regulations that prohibit the regional distribution of funds and require a statewide competitive process for selection of projects.
- Railway-Highway Crossings, Section 130 (RRX):
 - Funding for this program will continue to be managed on a statewide basis with the programming of funds occurring centrally by PennDOT.
 - Centralized management of this program allows for a formalized project selection process and promotes the higher utilization of funding and the ability to initiate higher costs projects.
- Carbon Reduction Program (CRP, CRPU):
 - Funds will be held in a statewide line item pending further guidance from FHWA.
 - 65% of apportioned funds are sub-allocated by population. Part of the suballocation is assigned, by federal formula, to regions with populations greater than 200,000 (CRPU).
- Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient and Cost-saving Transportation (PROTECT) formula program (PRTCT):
 - Funds will be held in a statewide line item pending further guidance from FHWA.
- Highway (Capital) Funding (State):
 - Act 89 of 2013 requires 15% of available state highway and bridge funds be held in reserve for use at the discretion of the Secretary of Transportation.
 - \$25 million per year in State Highway (Capital) funds for transportation improvements associated with economic development opportunities are reserved for the **Transportation Infrastructure Investment Fund (TIIF)**. Decisions on how to utilize this funding will be at the discretion of the Secretary of the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of Community and Economic Development and Governor.
 - An average of \$31 million per year will be reserved for State and Local Bridge Inspection, Environmental Resource Agencies, and other related statewide line items.
 - Remaining state highway funds will be distributed based upon the regional share of these factors:

2023 through 2034
1/4 VMT (25%)
1/4 Truck VMT (25%)
1/2 Lane Miles (50%)

• Bridge Funding (State):

• Bridge funding will be allocated to MPOs and RPOs based upon the regional share of these factors:



• Bridge data utilized in this formula include state-owned bridges over 8 feet in length and local-owned bridges over 20 feet in length.

The following funding categories have limitations on how and where they may be used and will be considered as additional funds to the Transportation Program. The tables that are included in the appendices of this document do not include these funding sources.

- Special Federal Funding (SXF):
 - This funding is earmarked for specific projects that were authorized by federal legislation.
- Appalachia Development Highway (APD/APL):
 - Federal funds from SAFETEA-LU, recent appropriations legislation and the IIJA/BIL may only be used for eligible capital improvements on routes that have been designated as Appalachia highway corridors and which are included in the most recent Appalachia Development Highway System (ADHS) Cost to Complete Estimate. Funding may also be utilized for Local Access Road projects which are identified and approved in coordination with the Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) and the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC).

• National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Formula Program (EV):

• Federal funds for the deployment of electric vehicle charging infrastructure are required to be used along designated Alternative Fuel Corridors in accordance with the State EV Infrastructure Deployment Plan and will be allocated to the Statewide program.

• All Discretionary Federal Funding:

- Funding awards and allocations through the Federal Discretionary Programs that are determined by the United States Department of Transportation. Examples of this type of funding programs could include, but are not limited to:
 - Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE)
 - Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA)
 - Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies deployment (ATCMTD)

• Discretionary State Funding:

• The decision to include funding associated with state discretionary programs including, but not limited to, the Multimodal Transportation Fund (MTF), Green-Light-Go (GLG) and Automated Red Light Enforcement (ARLE) will be a PennDOT decision based on funding availability and project awards.

• State Maintenance Funding:

 State Appropriations 582 (Maintenance) and 409 (Expanded Maintenance Program) funding is used for highway maintenance activities. It is allocated to individual PennDOT County Maintenance Offices under a formula established by the State General Assembly. This funding may serve as matching funds for Federally Funded Highway Restoration and Preservation projects and, in such cases, will represent additional funding for the Transportation Program. The decision to include any state Appropriations 582 and 409 funding in the Program will be a PennDOT decision based on an assessment of project priorities and funding availability within the individual counties.

• Appropriation 179:

- Since 2014, this funding, established by Act 26 of 1991, is provided to Counties directly through liquid fuel payments. A limited amount of funding remains available for previously approved county-owned bridge projects in underprivileged counties.
- Local and Private Funding:
 - Local and private funding is not included in the tables and can be considered additional funding above that which is shown, if documentation supports the funds are reasonably expected to be available.

• Turnpike Funding:

The Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC) receives funding from a variety of sources, including toll revenues, state funding earmarked in Act 26 of 1991, Act 3 of 1997 and Act 89 of 2013, and special federal funding earmarked by Congress. These funds are not reflected in this financial guidance. The authority for the programming of projects using these funding sources rests with the PTC. The PTC does implement projects that qualify for regular federal funds. If the PTC desires to pursue regular federal funding, projects will be presented for consideration with other state and local projects within the appropriate planning region. However, all regionally significant Turnpike projects, regardless of the funding source, should be included on regional TIPs as required by statewide planning regulations.

Public Transit Funding Distribution

Funding sources for transit improvements in Pennsylvania are federal, state, and local monies. Federal funding assumptions are based on FFY 2021 via the FAST Act.

As part of an agreement between the Commonwealth and the transit community during the enactment of Act 3 of 1997, a total of \$25 million per year in federal highway funding is flexed

to transit agencies for their projects. This funding is reserved in the highway financial guidance discussed previously. Federal and state funding, which is available for public transit programming, is included in Appendices 3 through 5. Federal funding is based on most recent FAST Act authorizations only and is held flat through the period. Federal funding includes a mix of urban formula, fixed guideway, seniors and persons with disabilities, rural formula, and bus project funding. Additional federal fund authorizations are not included in the tables.

State funding for transit programs is provided for in Act 44 of 2007 as amended by Act 89 of 2013. Act 44 of 2007 established the Public Transportation Trust Fund (PTTF) to fund public transportation programs and projects. Public transportation funds are derived from the following sources: Turnpike, Sales and Use Tax, Public Transportation Assistance Fund (PTAF), Capital Bond Funds, Lottery, transfers from the Motor License Fund that are not restricted to highway purposes and various fines. These funds are deposited into the PTTF.

Note:

In FY 2022/2023, the Public Transportation law shifts funding sources as follows:

- The PTC contribution is reduced to \$50 million and;
- \$450 million in motor vehicle sales tax is deposited into the PTTF.

Because this shift would divert funding from the PA General Fund, there remains some uncertainly as to whether this will be the ultimate funding solution.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROGRAMS

Act 44, as amended, authorizes six major public transportation programs:

- **Operating Program (Section 1513)** Operating funds are allocated among public transportation providers based on:
 - 1. The operating assistance received in the prior fiscal year plus funding growth.
 - 2. Funding growth over the prior year is distributed on four operating statistics:
 - a. Total passengers
 - b. Senior passengers
 - c. Revenue vehicle miles
 - d. Revenue vehicle hours

The local match requirement is 15% of state funding or 5% growth in local match, whichever is less. Act 44 also includes performance criteria for the evaluation of public transportation services. This program also provides for free transit for seniors on any fixed route service.

• Asset Improvement Program for Capital projects (Section 1514) – The Asset Improvement Program is the program into which funds are deposited for the public transportation capital program. Source funding includes Turnpike funds, other fees, and Capital Bond funds. In accordance with Act 89 provisions, PennDOT receives a discretionary set aside equal to 5% of available funding. The balance is allocated to SEPTA (69.4%), Port Authority (22.6%) and the remainder (8%) to all other transit systems. These funds require a local match equal to 3.33% of the state grant.

- Capital Improvement Program (Section 1517) While still included as a capital program in the public transportation legislation, no new funding was deposited in this program after December 31, 2013. Since the creation of Act 89, capital funding was included as part of Section 1514 Asset Improvement.
- Alternative Energy Capital Investment Program (Section 1517.1) The Alternative Energy program is used to implement capital improvements conversion to an alternative energy source, in most cases Compressed Natural Gas (CNG). If the Department has projects to fund in the program, funding is transferred from Section 1514 prior to distributing Section 1514 funding as outlined previously.
- New Initiatives Program (Section 1515) This program provides the framework to advance new or expansion of existing fixed guideway systems. Act 44 specifies criteria that must be met to receive funding under this program. The local match is established at 3.33% of the state funding. NOTE: No funding has been available for this program since it has not been appropriated by the legislature.
- **Programs of Statewide Significance (Section 1516)** Programs such as Persons with Disabilities, Welfare to Work, intercity bus and rail service, as well as technical assistance and demonstration projects, are funded using a dedicated portion of PTTF. The match requirement varies by program.

In addition to the programs authorized by Act 44, as amended, the State Lottery Law authorizes the Reduced Fare Shared-Ride Program for Senior Citizens (**Shared-Ride Program**). Lottery Funds are used to replace 85% of the fare for senior citizens 65 and older on shared ride, advanced reservation, curb to curb transportation services.

The funding in the transit tables is for planning purposes only. The actual Federal and State funding that is ultimately available each year will be determined during the annual appropriations and budgeting processes. For the purposes of this document, we have assumed that funding shifts from the General Fund via the Vehicle Sales Tax will occur in FY 2022/23.

The information in these documents is based on the availability of these funds and is subject to change based on changes in available funding amounts and/or legislative updates.

Appendix 1: Available Funds 2023 Financial Guidance FINAL Highway and Bridge Funds (\$000)

Federal Funds	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)*	1,172,758	1,196,213	1,220,137	1,244,540	4,833,648
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP)*	570,531	581,941	593,580	605,452	2,351,505
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)*	125,942	128,604	131,320	134,090	519,956
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ)*	113,817	116,093	118,415	120,784	469,110
National Highway Freight Program*	56,879	58,016	59,177	60,360	234,432
Railway-Highway Safety Crossings (RRX)	7,184	7,184	7,184	7,184	28,737
Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)	64,634	65,672	66,731	67,811	264,848
PROTECT Formula Program (PRTCT)	73,493	74,674	75,878	77,106	301,151
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	327,179	327,179	327,179	327,179	1,308,714
Subtotal Federal Funds	2,374,289	2,415,232	2,456,993	2,499,589	9,746,102
State Funds	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
State Highway (Capital)	479,000	508,000	516,000	555,000	2,058,000
State Bridge	282,000	277,000	277,000	276,000	1,112,000
Subtotal State Funds	761,000	785,000	793,000	831,000	3,170,000
Grand Total	3,135,289	3,200,232	3,249,993	3,330,589	12,916,102

Grand Total 3,13
*numbers reflect 2% set-aside for Statewide Planning and Research

Federal and State Funds Subject to Distribution via Base Allocation Formulas (\$000)

National Highway Performance Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
NHPP Apportionment	1,172,758	1,196,213	1,220,137	1,244,540	4,833,648
Enhanced Interstate Management	250,947	300,947	350,947	400,947	1,303,788
Remaining	921,811	895,266	869,190	843,593	3,529,860
20% Statewide Reserve	184,362	179,053	173,838	168,719	705,972
Less Local Bridge Inspection	6,152	7,998	7,998	7,998	30,145
Less Interstate Management Traditional	317,378	317,378	317,378	317,378	1,269,512
NHPP Funds to Distribute	413,919	390,837	369,977	349,499	1,524,232

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
STP Apportionment	570,531	581,941	593,580	605,452	2,351,505
Less Transportation Alternatives (10%)	47,404	48,352	49,319	50,305	195,379
Less STP-Urban Mandatory Distribution	178,760	182,336	185,982	189,702	736,780
Less Set-Aside for Off-System Bridges	98,396	98,396	98,396	98,396	393,582
Less Transit Flex	1,745	1,745	1,745	1,745	6,979
Miscellaneous Inspection/Inventory/Training	8,552	10,398	10,398	10,398	39,745
Less Environmental Resource Agencies	3,312	3,415	3,518	3,623	13,868
Less Oversight and Management	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	8,000
Remaining STP	230,362	235,301	242,224	249,284	957,171
Less Spike (20% of Remaining STP)	46,072	47,060	48,445	49,857	191,434
STP Funds to Distribute	184,290	188,241	193,779	199,427	765,737

Highway Safety Improvement Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
HSIP Apportionment	125,942	128,604	131,320	134,090	519,956
Less Base of \$500K to each MPO/RPO	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	48,000
Less Statewide Reserve	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	160,000
HSIP Funds to Distribute	73,942	76,604	79,320	82,090	311,956

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
CMAQ Apportionment	113,817	116,093	118,415	120,784	469,110
Less Transit Flex	23,255	23,255	23,255	23,255	93,021
CMAQ Funds to distribute	90,562	92,838	95,160	97,528	376,089

National Highway Freight Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Interstate Program	56,879	58,016	59,177	60,360	234,432

Transportation Alternatives	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Transportation Alternatives Apportionment	47,404	48,352	49,319	50,305	195,379
Less Recreational Trails	1,991	1,991	1,991	1,991	7,965
Mandatory Distribution for Urban Areas	16,647	16,994	17,349	17,710	68,700
TAP Funds Statewide Competitive Program	28,766	29,366	29,979	30,604	118,714

Railway-Highway Safety Crossings	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Statewide Program	7,184	7,184	7,184	7,184	28,737
Bridge Formula Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Special Bridge Formula Program Apportionment	327,179	327,179	327,179	327,179	1,308,714
15% Off System Bridge Funds to Distribute	49,077	49,077	49,077	49,077	196,307
Special Bridge Formula Funds to Distribute	278,102	278,102	278,102	278,102	1,112,407
Carbon Reduction Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Carbon Reduction Apportionment	64,634	65,672	66,731	67,811	264,848
Carbon Reduction Program	64,634	65,672	66,731	67,811	264,848
PROTECT Formula Program	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
PROTECT Formula Program	73,493	74,674	75,878	77,106	301,151

Appendix 1: Available Funds 2023 Financial Guidance Highway and Bridge Funds (\$000)

State Funds	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
State Highway (Capital)	479,000	508,000	516,000	555,000	2,058,000
State Bridge	282,000	277,000	277,000	276,000	1,112,000
Total State Funds (for Discretionary Calculation)	761,000	785,000	793,000	831,000	3,170,000
Mandatory 15% Discretionary (Highway Funds)	114,150	117,750	118,950	124,650	475,500
State Highway (Capital)	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Highway (Capital) After Discretionary Set-Aside	364,850	390,250	397,050	430,350	1,582,500
Less Environmental Resource Agencies	828	854	879	906	3,467
Less State Bridge Inspection	25,886	26,663	27,463	28,287	108,299
Less Oversight and Management	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	13,600
Less TIIF (Economic Development)	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	100,000
State Highway (Capital) Funds to Distribute	309,736	334,333	340,308	372,757	1,357,134
State Bridge	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
State Bridge Funds to Distribute	282,000	277,000	277,000	276,000	1,112,000
Total Distributed/Statewide Reserve	3 125 222	3 186 799	3 236 510	3 317 047	12 865 578

Amounts in **Bold** are further reflected on the regional distribution charts.

VRPC 121,609 PC 93,590 larrisburg 23,190 cranton/WB 17,218 ehigh Valley 19,691 EPA 8,306 EDA-COG 19,685 ittona 3,167	27,899 38,926 8,533 7,168 6,836 6,836 9,795			(BOF)		Program	Safety		Set-Aside	2	Кедиснол		BRIP)	
PC 93,590 larrisburg 23,190 cranton/WB 17,218 ehigh Valley 19,691 EPA 8,306 EDA-COG 19,685 iltona 3,167	38,926 8,533 7,168 6,836 7,844 7,844	43,021	38,354	18,698	24,528	0	0	39,553	7,932	85,174	0	0	41,313	448,080
larrisburg 23,190 cranton/WB 17,218 ehigh Valley 19,691 EPA 8,306 EDA-COG 19,685 (Itona 3,167	8,533 7,168 6,836 7,844 9.795	45,969	49,056	34,128	13,550	0	0	22,909	3,657	39,272	0	0	52,795	393,854
ccranton/WB 17,218 ehigh Valley 19,691 IEPA 8,306 iEDA-COG 19,685 ittona 3,167	7,168 6,836 7,844 9.795	11,130	10,690	6,913	3,897	0	0	4,968	938	10,067	0	0	11,432	91,758
ehigh Valley 19,691 IEPA 8,306 iEDA-COG 19,685 ittona 3,167	6,836 7,844 9.795	8,494	8,712	5,382	4,142	0	0	0	805	8,641	0	0	8,584	69,145
IEPA 8,306 rEDA-COG 19,685 iltona 3,167	7,844 9.795	9,906	7,581	5,514	5,224	0	0	6,386	1,333	14,320	0	0	7,848	84,638
EEDA-COG 19,685 vitoona 3,167	9.795	8,319	4,458	5,291	3,292	0	0	536	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,101
Altoona 3,167		12,409	12,650	9,239	2,377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	78,172
	2,345	2,293	2,674	2,297	1,302	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,550
ohnstown 6,765	2,524	3,642	3,328	2,106	1,133	0	0	1,418	0	0	0	0	3,005	23,920
Centre County 4,634	2,065	2,711	1,955	1,356	1,117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,806
Villiamsport 5,467	3,370	3,734	4,001	3,152	1,084	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	24,558
Erie 5,537	3,744	4,820	3,376	2,703	2,096	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	25,288
ancaster 15,401	8,532	10,283	7,903	6,712	3,820	0	0	5,125	848	9,105	0	0	7,827	75,556
Cork 6,062	5,953	7,963	3,551	3,478	2,930	0	0	4,291	489	5,256	0	0	3,509	43,483
Reading 15,658	5,183	7,899	6,246	4,043	3,331	0	0	4,059	562	6,031	0	0	6,743	59,755
ebanon 2,006	1,915	2,526	1,372	1,372	1,363	0	0	1,318	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,137
Aercer 1,895	3,031	3,317	2,424	2,575	1,128	0	0	0	83	894	0	0	2,319	17,667
Adams 3,759	1,897	2,813	1,075	1,361	1,046	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	13,256
Franklin 1,939	2,625	3,097	1,475	1,712	1,330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,635
otal Urban 375,579	150,183	194,348	170,880	118,033	78,689	0	0	90,562	16,647	178,760	0	0	177,677	1,551,358
Jorthwest 10,189	8,375	10,365	6,677	6,672	1,713	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	50,808
J. Central 9,017	7,911	9,333	5,808	6,302	1,611	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	46,173
I. Tier 10,140	8,729	11,422	8,563	8,094	1,492	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	56,829
3. Alleghenies 8,993	7,360	8,667	7,784	7,124	1,634	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	49,222
Vayne County 0	1,732	2,125	948	1,247	802	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	7,795
Total Rural 38,340	34,107	41,912	29,780	29,440	7,253	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	210,826
nterstate Program 568,325	0	57,918	65,782	0	0	56,879	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	819,334
Statewide Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	28,766	0	64,634	73,493	0	174,077
Statewide Reserve 184,362	0	114,150	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	338,512
RR Regional Share 0	0	15,558	15,558	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31,115
3RAND TOTAL 1,166,606	184,290	423,886	282,000	147,472	125,942	56,879	7,184	90,562	45,412	178,760	64,634	73,493	278,102	3,125,222

Appendix 2: FFY 2023 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

VPPCC14.8036.400.560.7600.7600.7610.6100.60.7130.46130.4613Control8.8730.7100.7000.7000.7000.7000.7000.7000.7000.7130.4034Control1.8730.7100.7000.7000.7000.7000.7000.7000.7130.7160.7130.716<	Region	ddHN	STP	State Highway (Capital)	State Bridge	Off System Bridges (BOF)	dISH	Highway Freight Program	Rail Highway Safety	СМАQ	STP TAP Set-Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
SPC B B 2 B B 3 B	DVRPC	114,828	28,497	46,455	37,669	18,698	25,394	0	0	40,547	8,097	86,877	0	0	41,313	448,374
Harriburg 1:16 1:16 1:02 1:16 1:02	SPC	88,372	39,760	49,993	48,082	34,128	14,020	0	0	23,485	3,733	40,058	0	0	52,795	394,426
Description/ME 16.526 7.241 5.643 5.543 5.244 5.546 5.544 5.546 5.544 5.546 5.544 5.546 5.544 5.546 5.546 5.344 5.246 5.344 5.246 5.347 5.346	Harrisburg	21,897	8,715	12,062	10,487	6,913	4,019	0	0	5,092	957	10,269	0	0	11,432	91,844
Emply value T 5012 0 5012 0 7431 5 514 5 344 0 0 6 506 1 500 0	Scranton/WB	16,258	7,321	9,186	8,552	5,382	4,273	0	0	0	821	8,814	0	0	8,584	69,192
NEPANE	Lehigh Valley	18,593	6,982	10,749	7,431	5,514	5,394	0	0	6,546	1,361	14,606	0	0	7,848	85,025
EDA-CIC 18.67 10.06 13.43 2.441 9.291 2.441 9.241 9.2472 9.2447 9.2472 9.2464 9.2472 9.2447 9.2472 9.2447 9.2447 9.2472 9.24477 9.24477 9.24477 <td>NEPA</td> <td>7,842</td> <td>8,012</td> <td>9,076</td> <td>4,352</td> <td>5,291</td> <td>3,392</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>550</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5,055</td> <td>43,570</td>	NEPA	7,842	8,012	9,076	4,352	5,291	3,392	0	0	550	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,570
Minonan 2.900 2.934 2.641 2.201 1.331 <	SEDA-COG	18,587	10,005	13,435	12,414	9,239	2,445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	78,143
Immediation6.3382.5793.3673.3673.1551.156 <td>Altoona</td> <td>2,990</td> <td>2,395</td> <td>2,484</td> <td>2,624</td> <td>2,297</td> <td>1,331</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,472</td> <td>16,594</td>	Altoona	2,990	2,395	2,484	2,624	2,297	1,331	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,594
Centre County 4.375 2.109 2.343 19.44 13.56 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36 21.63 21.64	Johnstown	6,388	2,579	3,937	3,267	2,106	1,156	0	0	1,453	0	0	0	0	3,005	23,889
Williamsport $5,162$ 3.443 $4,017$ 3.926 3.152 $1,106$ $1,0$ $0,12$ $0,0$ 0 <	Centre County	4,375	2,109	2,949	1,914	1,356	1,139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,811
Enero 53.26 3.3.24 5.0.73 2.133 <	Williamsport	5,162	3,443	4,047	3,926	3,152	1,105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	24,583
ancaster14.5287.1511.617.7456.7123.939005.549669.286007.8277.603Ancaster14.7325.5736.0818.6336.0318.6336.133.4303.7303.73007.8277.603Reading14.735.5736.0818.6336.1324.4333.3010004.3395.5031.5410003.5951.447Reading1.7301.7303.7321.3471.7311.3511.3511.3511.3511.3511.3511.3533.5031.3533.5033.5303.5333.5333.533Belnon1.7303.9353.7321.3471.3611.361001.361001.3613.535Control1.7303.7561.3471.7611.3610001.3610001.363Monest1.5612.7561.7231.7431.3571.7531.7511.35900000000Control01.7812.7661.7351.7611.3561.7511.3561.3501.3561.336Control00.5160.7170.7560.7560.7560.7560.7560.7560.7560.7560.7560000000000000	Erie	5,228	3,824	5,206	3,315	2,703	2,153	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	25,442
Vort5,7236,0818,6233,4403,7433,73304,1336,381003,3605,3413,3603,413Rebandme1,1731,5241,5231,1371,3731,1371,3736,15100001,2653,686Rebandme1,1831,5261,3711,3731,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,343Rebandme1,1802,5561,3411,3751,3411,3651,3411,3751,3431,335Admine1,8302,5561,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,3431,343Relundme3,54513,3411,7461,3411,3751,3411,3633,343Admine3,54513,3411,7461,7121,5633,4731,343Relundme3,54513,3411,7241,7571,5633,4731,333Admine3,54513,3411,7241,7571,5633,4731,563Admine3,54511,3438,1433,5461,7241,5751,5751,563Admine3,54511,2406,5476,6731,5631,5631,563Admine3,5451,7246,5731,7241,5751,57300001,765Admine3,5451,7641,7631,7671,5631,7671,5631,7671,563 </td <td>Lancaster</td> <td>14,542</td> <td>8,715</td> <td>11,161</td> <td>7,745</td> <td>6,712</td> <td>3,939</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5,254</td> <td>866</td> <td>9,288</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>7,827</td> <td>76,048</td>	Lancaster	14,542	8,715	11,161	7,745	6,712	3,939	0	0	5,254	866	9,288	0	0	7,827	76,048
Reding14,7845.2948.5386,1324,0433,4320.04,1615,736,1510.006,7435,863Lebenor1,3941,5962,7521,3471,3721,344001,3510000000Lebenor1,3941,5962,7521,3471,5221,3461,3721,3461,3721,3461,3733.356Lebenor1,3811,3661,3861,3461,7121,5611,3611,06600000001,366Admax3.5501,3383.3741,3461,3721,3461,3781,3681,3681,3681,368Admax3.5501,3363.3741,5121,3681,3661,3681,3661,3671,3681,368Admax3.5501,3363.3761,3461,7121,5681,3681,3681,3681,368Coll3.5601,3613.3651,24078.3681,3611,7121,5581,5691,3671,5651,366Coll8.548.3461,24078.3968.0941,5781,5780000001,4571,565Coll8.548.3467,5188.3968.0947,7237,1241,5780000000000Coll9.3257.1281	York	5,723	6,081	8,623	3,480	3,478	3,018	0	0	4,399	500	5,361	0	0	3,509	44,173
ebanon1.8941.9652.7291.3471.3721.3941.071.3611.3611.3731.3731.3631.3341.333Mares3.5651.3683.3651.4461.7721.3591.361000000000Mares3.5651.3631.4461.7721.3551.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.4461.7721.3561.3561.4461.7721.3561.3561.3461.334Mares3.56315.4032.06331.4461.7721.356000000001.346Marks3.5631.12490.5631.4461.7721.3588.148000000001.346Marks3.56311.2406.5426.6721.613000000000000Marks8.5148.5148.5141.5286.5226.5721.5516.5236.5721.5566.5236.5721.5566.5236.5237.2471.55566.5237.2471.55566.523Marks8.4258.4369.4377.2487.247.247.24700	Reading	14,784	5,294	8,538	6,132	4,043	3,432	0	0	4,161	573	6,151	0	0	6,743	59,853
Mercer1,7003,0663,5862,3802,5751,1511,0661,511,061,511,061,331Adams3,5501,3333,0741,3361,3611,3611,3631,3631,333Adams3,5501,3363,3741,3611,3611,36300000000Call1,3611,3631,3631,3611,3631,3611,3631,3631,3631,3631,334Call1,5613,5621,12496,5426,5736,5731,5751,57500000001,365Northwest8,5148,5631,2496,5731,57611,5751000000001,365Northwest8,5148,5161,2406,5731,5710,5721,575000000000Northwest8,5141,5638,5161,5761,575000	Lebanon	1,894	1,956	2,729	1,347	1,372	1,394	0	0	1,351	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,308
Adams3,5501,9313,0741,0461,3611,06600000001,3041,333Finklin1,8112,6813,3551,4461,7121,35910000000001,4581,343Finklin3,5561,12496,5751,2401,3531,1461,7121,3591,3511,0561,2401,3551,2401,3551,3401,7551,3431,4553,3551,1491,7551,3471,3551,347Vorthwest8,548,561,24078,3506,5736,5736,5721,2406,5721,55331,7553Vorthwest8,548,5169,4377,5237,1241,5750000000001,4563Vorthwest8,548,5178,9161,7241,528000 <td>Mercer</td> <td>1,790</td> <td>3,095</td> <td>3,586</td> <td>2,380</td> <td>2,575</td> <td>1,151</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>85</td> <td>912</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,319</td> <td>17,893</td>	Mercer	1,790	3,095	3,586	2,380	2,575	1,151	0	0	0	85	912	0	0	2,319	17,893
Franklin1,8312,6813,3551,4461,7121,3580000000001,4561,343 Tolal Urban 354,63513,343167,693167,63381,181000000001,4561,3543 Tolal Urban 354,63513,343167,693167,63381,181000000001,4561,5543 Volti Urban 9,57513,543511,2496,56216,51316,5130000000001,75671,5534 Volti Urban 9,5758,9161,1496,5671,5631,7570000000000000000001,5630,5534 Volti Urban 9,5758,9161,1496,5737,1241,57500	Adams	3,550	1,938	3,074	1,046	1,361	1,066	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	13,339
Total Urban354,635153,403167,603167,60318,03381,1810092,83816,93616,93617,1671,565,343Northwest9,6218,55611,2406,57217,571,5671,5676,5736,5736,5736,5737,56337,2111,565,343Northwest9,6218,5166,5721,7571,5631,75700006,19145,563N Central8,5148,05610,1655,5795,5727,5637,5287,572N. Tier9,5757,5189,4377,6237,1241,5730000007,6537,5297,529Name County01,7692,3039,4377,5237,1241,573000<	Franklin	1,831	2,681	3,355	1,446	1,712	1,359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,841
Northwest9,6218,55511,2496,5426,6721,757000<	Total Urban	354,635	153,403	210,643	167,609	118,033	81,181	0	0	92,838	16,994	182,336	0	0	177,677	1,555,349
N. Central 8,514 8,080 10,165 5,679 6,302 1,561 0	Northwest	9,621	8,555	11,249	6,542	6,672	1,757	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	51,211
N. Tier 9,575 8,916 12,407 8,330 8,034 1,528 7,128 7,523 7,124 1,528 7,128 7,737 7,327 7,323 7,327 7,327 7,323 9,323 9,324 9,323 9,324 9,323 9,324 9,323 9,324 9,326 9,3236 9,3236 9,3236	N. Central	8,514	8,080	10,165	5,679	6,302	1,651	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	46,583
S. Alleghenies 8,492 7,518 9,437 7,623 7,124 1,675 0	N. Tier	9,575	8,916	12,407	8,390	8,094	1,528	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	57,297
Wayne County 0 1,769 2,303 929 1,247 813 0	S. Alleghenies	8,492	7,518	9,437	7,623	7,124	1,675	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	49,528
Total Rural 36,202 34,838 45,560 29,143 7,424 7,424 0	Wayne County	0	1,769	2,303	929	1,247	813	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,002
Interstate Program 618,325 0 62,518 64,615 0 0 58,016 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 71,8305 873,305 Statewide Program 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 74,874 0 176,396 0 0 0 0 176,896 0 176,896 0 0 0 0 0 0 176,896 0	Total Rural	36,202	34,838	45,560	29,163	29,440	7,424	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	212,621
Statewide Program 0 0 0 0 7,184 0 29,366 0 65,672 74,674 0 0 76,896 Statewide Reserve 179,053 0 117,750 0 40,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 33,6303 Statewide Reserve 179,053 0 17,750 0 40,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 33,6303 RBR Regional Share 0 0 15,613 15,613 15,613 15,613 15,713 0 0 0 0 0 0 31,225 GRAND TOTAL 1,188,215 188,241 452,033 277,000 147,472 128,604 5,164 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 31,86,798	Interstate Program	618,325	0	62,518	64,615	0	0	58,016	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	873,905
Statewide Reserve 179,053 0 117,750 0 40,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 336,303 RBR Regional Share 0 0 15,613 15,613 15,613 15,613 15,613 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 31,225 RBR Regional Share 0 0 15,613 15,613 15,613 16,7472 128,604 58,016 7,184 92,838 46,360 182,336 65,672 74,674 278,102 3,186,799	Statewide Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	29,366	0	65,672	74,674	0	176,896
RBR Regional Share 0 0 15,613 15,613 15,613 15,613 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 31,225 GRAND TOTAL 1,188,215 188,241 452,083 277,000 147,472 128,604 58,016 7,184 92,838 46,360 182,336 65,672 74,674 278,102 3,186,799	Statewide Reserve	179,053	0	117,750	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	336,803
GRAND TOTAL 1,188,215 188,241 452,083 277,000 147,472 128,604 58,016 7,184 92,838 46,360 182,336 65,672 74,674 278,102 3,186,799	RBR Regional Share	0	0	15,613	15,613	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31,225
	GRAND TOTAL	1,188,215	188,241	452,083	277,000	147,472	128,604	58,016	7,184	92,838	46,360	182,336	65,672	74,674	278,102	3,186,799

Appendix 2: FFY 2024 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

ge Iula Total 'am P)	41,313 447,720	52,795 393,789	11,432 91,634	8,584 69,035	7,848 85,098	5,055 43,684	12,018 77,762	2,472 16,580	3,005 23,755	1,969 15,719	3,749 24,506	3,013 25,428	7,827 76,197	3,509 44,522	6,743 59,718	1,265 13,379	2,319 17,996	1,304 13,288	1,458 13,915	77,677 1,553,725	6,816 51,206	6,191 46,606	8,388 57,321	7,659 49,522	941 8,108	29,994 212,763	70,430 926,182	0 179,772	0 332,788	0 31,280	78,102 3,236,510
ECT Form (Brid) Form (BRI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75,878	0	0	5,878 2
PROTE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31 7	0	0	31 7
Carbon Reductio	20	6	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 66,7	0	0	2 66,7
STP- Urban	6 88,61	1 40,859	7 10,47	966'8	0 14,898	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 9,47:	0 5,468	5 6,27,	0	7 93(0	0	185,98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	7 185,983
STP TAP Set-Aside	8,26	3,81	26	83	1,39								88	51	58		8			17,34								29,97			47,32
CMAQ	41,561	24,072	5,220	0	6,710	564	0	0	1,490	0	0	0	5,385	4,509	4,265	1,385	0	0	0	95,160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95,160
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	0	7,184
Highway Freight Program	0	0	4	2	0	10	4	0	0	0	0	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	4	2 0	4	8	0 59,177	0	0	0	0 59,177
HSIP	3 26,27(14,49	3 4,14	2 4,40	1 5,56	3,49	9 2,51	7 1,36	3 1,179	3 1,162	2 1,120	3 2,21	2 4,06	3,10	3,53(2 1,420	5 1,17	1,08	2 1,390	83,72	1,80	2 1,692	1,56	1,71	7 82	7,59))	40,000)	131,32
Off System Bridges (BOF)	18,698	34,128	6,913	5,382	5,514	5,291	9,236	1 2,297	2,106	1,356	3,152	2,703	6,712	3,478	4,043	1,372	2,575	1,361	1,712	118,033	6,672	6,302	8,092	7,124	1,247	29,440	2 0	0	0	0	147,472
State Bridge	37,669	48,073	10,486	8,551	7,429	4,350	12,413	2'624	3,267	1,913	3,926	3,315	2,744	3,480	6,132	1,347	2,380	1,045	344,1	167,589	6,541	2,677	8,385	7,621	326	29,155	64,615	0	0	15,640	277,000
State Highway (Capital)	47,289	50,966	12,287	9,355	10,953	9,258	13,684	2,531	4,008	3,006	4,122	5,299	11,373	8,783	8,693	2,778	3,651	3,137	3,417	214,590	11,462	10,366	12,645	9,623	2,346	46,443	63,635	0	118,950	15,640	459,258
STP	29,335	40,930	8,972	7,537	7,188	8,248	10,300	2,466	2,654	2,172	3,544	3,937	8,971	6,260	5,450	2,014	3,187	1,995	2,760	157,916	8,806	8,318	9,178	7,739	1,821	35,863	0	0	0	0	193,779
ddHN	108,699	83,655	20,729	15,390	17,601	7,424	17,595	2,831	6,047	4,142	4,887	4,949	13,766	5,418	13,995	1,793	1,694	3,360	1,733	335,707	9,107	8,060	9,064	8,039	0	34,270	668,325	0	173,838	0	1,212,140
Region	DVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	ehigh Valley	VEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	lohnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	Erie	.ancaster	/ork	Reading	.ebanon	Mercer	Adams	⁻ ranklin	Fotal Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	S. Alleghenies	Vayne County	rotal Rural	nterstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	RBR Regional Share	BRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: FFY 2025 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

	ddHN	STP	State Highway (Capital)	State Bridge	System Bridges (BOF)	HSIP	нıgnway Freight Program	Kail Highway Safety	CMAQ	STP TAP Set-Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
	102,682	30,190	51,819	37,531	18,698	27,176	0	0	42,595	8,438	90,387	0	0	41,313	450,8
	79,025	42,123	56,278	47,863	34,128	14,988	0	0	24,671	3,891	41,676	0	0	52,795	397,4
	19,581	9,233	13,516	10,444	6,913	4,271	0	0	5,350	266	10,684	0	0	11,432	92,4;
	14,538	7,756	10,269	8,519	5,382	4,543	0	0	0	856	9,170	0	0	8,584	69,69
	16,627	7,397	12,066	7,397	5,514	5,745	0	0	6,877	1,419	15,196	0	0	7,848	86,0
	7,013	8,488	10,257	4,325	5,291	3,599	0	0	578	0	0	0	0	5,055	44,6
	16,621	10,600	15,039	12,364	9,239	2,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	78,41
	2,674	2,538	2,783	2,614	2,297	1,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,7
	5,712	2,732	4,396	3,255	2,106	1,203	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	0	3,005	23,9
	3,912	2,235	3,320	1,904	1,356	1,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,8
	4,616	3,647	4,534	3,909	3,152	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	24,7:
	4,675	4,051	5,808	3,303	2,703	2,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	25,8;
	13,004	9,233	12,532	7,710	6,712	4,186	0	0	5,519	902	9,663	0	0	7,827	77,2
	5,118	6,442	9,654	3,464	3,478	3,198	0	0	4,622	521	5,578	0	0	3,509	45,5
	13,221	5,609	9,535	6,109	4,043	3,642	0	0	4,372	597	6,400	0	0	6,743	60,2
	1,694	2,073	3,046	1,342	1,372	1,458	0	0	1,419	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,6
	1,600	3,279	4,005	2,371	2,575	1,198	0	0	0	89	949	0	0	2,319	18,3
	3,174	2,053	3,482	1,037	1,361	1,106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	13,5
	1,637	2,840	3,758	1,439	1,712	1,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	14,2
	317,126	162,519	236,096	166,899	118,033	86,314	0	0	97,528	17,710	189,702	0	0	177,677	1,569,6
	8,603	9,063	12,628	6,511	6,672	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	52,1,
	7,614	8,560	11,465	5,647	6,302	1,733	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	47,5
	8,562	9,446	13,945	8,350	8,094	1,601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	58,3
	7,594	7,964	10,640	7,586	7,124	1,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	50,3;
	0	1,874	2,580	924	1,247	836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,41
	32,373	36,908	51,259	29,019	29,440	7,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	216,7
am	718,325	0	69,703	64,382	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	983,2(
am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	30,604	0	67,811	77,106	0	182,7
ve	168,719	0	124,650	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	333,31
hare	0	0	15,700	15,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31,4
	0.1 000 .	10.00.			011 11 1	101000	000 00						001 11		

Appendix 2: FFY 2026 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	1,795,004	1,579,506	367,658	276,989	340,846	174,960	312,542	66,492	95,500	63,217	98,404	101,982	305,088	177,762	239,597	53,493	71,940	53,402	55,656	6,230,036	205,365	186,876	229,833	198,598	32,307	852,979	3,602,622	713,449	1,341,472	125,020	12,865,578
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	165,250	211,179	45,730	34,338	31,392	20,221	48,070	9,887	12,018	7,876	14,996	12,053	31,307	14,035	26,974	5,060	9,275	5,217	5,830	710,709	27,262	24,764	33,551	30,637	3,763	119,978	281,721	0	0	C	1,112,407
РКОТЕСТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	301,151	0	0	301,151
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	264,848	0	0	264,848
STP- Urban	351,053	161,865	41,494	35,615	59,020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37,529	21,663	24,856	0	3,685	0	0	736,780	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	736,780
STP TAP Set-Aside	32,733	15,093	3,869	3,321	5,503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,499	2,020	2,318	0	344	0	0	68,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,714	0	0	187,414
СМАQ	164,255	95,137	20,629	0	26,518	2,228	0	0	5,887	0	0	0	21,283	17,822	16,857	5,473	0	0	0	376,089	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	376,089
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,737	0	0	28,737
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	234,432	0	0	0	234,432
AISH	103,374	57,058	16,330	17,366	21,930	13,778	9,919	5,384	4,672	4,603	4,463	8,732	16,006	12,254	13,942	5,640	4,651	4,303	5,500	329,906	7,118	6,687	6,186	6,784	3,275	30,051	0	0	160,000	0	519,956
Off System Bridges (BOF)	74,792	136,513	27,653	21,527	22,055	21,163	36,955	9,189	8,422	5,422	12,609	10,812	26,848	13,914	16,172	5,489	10,300	5,446	6,848	472,131	26,688	25,209	32,376	28,497	4,989	117,759	0	0	0	0	589,890
State Bridge	151,224	193,075	42,107	34,334	29,838	17,484	49,841	10,536	13,117	7,686	15,761	13,309	31,102	13,975	24,620	5,407	9,554	4,203	5,805	672,978	26,272	22,811	33,691	30,615	3,729	117,117	259,395	0	0	62,510	1,112,000
State Highway (Capital)	188,583	203,206	48,995	37,303	43,674	36,911	54,567	10,091	15,983	11,986	16,438	21,133	45,349	35,024	34,665	11,079	14,558	12,507	13,626	855,676	45,705	41,330	50,420	38,366	9,353	185,174	253,774	0	475,500	62,510	1,832,634
STP	115,921	161,739	35,453	29,782	28,403	32,591	40,700	9,744	10,489	8,581	14,004	15,556	35,450	24,736	21,535	7,958	12,592	7,882	10,905	624,022	34,800	32,869	36,269	30,581	7,197	141,715	0	0	0	0	765,737
ddHN	447,818	344,641	85,397	63,403	72,512	30,585	72,488	11,662	24,911	17,063	20,133	20,388	56,714	22,321	57,658	7,387	6,980	13,843	7,141	1,383,046	37,521	33,205	37,341	33,118	0	141,185	2,573,300	0	705,972	0	4,803,504
Region	DVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	Lehigh Valley	NEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	Johnstown	Centre County	Williamsport	Erie	Lancaster	York	Reading	Lebanon	Mercer	Adams	Franklin	Total Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	S. Alleghenies	Wayne County	Total Rural	Interstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	RBR Regional Share	GRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: Total FFY 2023-2026 - Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	439,077	388,362	90,176	67,952	84,177	43,795	76,559	16,461	23,281	15,432	24,227	25,289	75,794	44,996	58,757	13,474	18,201	13,152	14,076	1,533,236	51,151	46,635	57,400	49,450	8,401	213,036	1,033,200	155,515	323,369	31,500	3,289,857
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	41,313	52,795	11,432	8,584	7,848	5,055	12,018	2,472	3,005	1,969	3,749	3,013	7,827	3,509	6,743	1,265	2,319	1,304	1,458	177,677	6,816	6,191	8,388	7,659	941	29,994	70,430	0	0	0	278,102
РКОТЕСТ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,639	0	0	62,639
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,088	0	0	55,088
STP- Urban	90,387	41,676	10,684	9,170	15,196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,663	5,578	6,400	0	949	0	0	189,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189,702
STP TAP Set- Aside	8,438	3,891	266	856	1,419	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	902	521	597	0	89	0	0	17,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,604	0	0	48,314
СМАQ	42,595	24,671	5,350	0	6,877	578	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	5,519	4,622	4,372	1,419	0	0	0	97,528	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97,528
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	0	7,184
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	60,360
HSIP	27,176	14,988	4,271	4,543	5,745	3,599	2,584	1,390	1,203	1,185	1,148	2,272	4,186	3,198	3,642	1,458	1,198	1,106	1,421	86,314	1,847	1,733	1,601	1,759	836	7,776	0	0	40,000	0	134,090
Off System Bridges (BOF)	18,698	34,128	6,913	5,382	5,514	5,291	9,239	2,297	2,106	1,356	3,152	2,703	6,712	3,478	4,043	1,372	2,575	1,361	1,712	118,033	6,672	6,302	8,094	7,124	1,247	29,440	0	0	0	0	147,472
State Bridge	37,531	47,847	10,442	8,518	7,394	4,321	12,363	2,613	3,255	1,903	3,909	3,303	7,707	3,463	6,108	1,342	2,370	1,036	1,439	166,863	6,509	5,644	8,347	7,582	924	29,005	64,382	0	0	15,750	276,000
State Highway (Capital)	51,818	56,262	13,514	10,268	12,064	10,253	15,037	2,782	4,396	3,319	4,534	5,808	12,529	9,653	9,534	3,046	4,004	3,481	3,757	236,059	12,626	11,461	13,942	10,636	2,580	51,245	69,703	0	124,650	15,750	497,407
STP	30,190	42,123	9,233	7,756	7,397	8,488	10,600	2,538	2,732	2,235	3,647	4,051	9,233	6,442	5,609	2,073	3,279	2,053	2,840	162,519	9,063	8,560	9,446	7,964	1,874	36,908	0	0	0	0	199,427
ddHN	90,931	69,980	17,340	12,874	14,724	6,210	14,719	2,368	5,058	3,465	4,088	4,140	11,516	4,532	11,708	1,500	1,417	2,811	1,450	280,831	7,619	6,742	7,582	6,725	0	28,668	768,325	0	158,719	0	1,236,542
Region	DVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	Lehigh Valley	NEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	Johnstown	Centre County	Williamsport	Erie	Lancaster	York	Reading	Lebanon	Mercer	Adams	Franklin	Total Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	S. Alleghenies	Wayne County	Total Rural	Interstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	RBR Regional Share	GRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: FFY 2027 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

DyrRet 82.16 33.13 51.41 37.26 16.46 27.16 37.36 16.47 27.36 47.37 36.31 41.57 0 0 41.57 0 0 41.57 0 0 27.36 17.37 17.36 17.37 17.36 17.37 17.36 17.37 17.36 <th< th=""><th>Region</th><th>ddHN</th><th>STP</th><th>State Highway (Capital)</th><th>State Bridge</th><th>Off System Bridges (BOF)</th><th>HSIP</th><th>Highway Freight Program</th><th>Rail Highway Safety</th><th>CMAQ</th><th>STP TAP Set- Aside</th><th>STP- Urban</th><th>Carbon Reduction</th><th>PROTECT</th><th>Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)</th><th>Total</th></th<>	Region	ddHN	STP	State Highway (Capital)	State Bridge	Off System Bridges (BOF)	HSIP	Highway Freight Program	Rail Highway Safety	CMAQ	STP TAP Set- Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
SPC 63.46 63.26 32.26 32.26 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.36 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.71 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.72 32.96 32.76	DVRPC	82,180	30,190	51,817	37,530	18,698	27,176	0	0	42,595	8,438	90,387	0	0	41,313	430,324
Heartsburg 15/71 0.23 0.51 0.243 6.73 4.73 0 0 0.563 0.744	SPC	63,246	42,123	56,243	47,828	34,128	14,988	0	0	24,671	3,891	41,676	0	0	52,795	381,589
Seratem/WB 11 (65) 7.76 10.27 8.61 8.445 0 0 6.77 10 0 0 8.646 Rehth/Valey 3.30 7.307 7.307 7.307 7.307 7.307 7.307 0.316 5.343 5.346 0 0 7.676 0	Harrisburg	15,671	9,233	13,511	10,439	6,913	4,271	0	0	5,350	266	10,684	0	0	11,432	88,503
Lenthy Valley 1330 7.391 7.302 5.514 5.514 5.74 0 0 5.66 0	Scranton/WB	11,635	7,756	10,267	8,517	5,382	4,543	0	0	0	856	9,170	0	0	8,584	66,712
NEPA 5613 8.446 0.248 1316 5.501 3.590 0<	Lehigh Valley	13,307	7,397	12,061	7,392	5,514	5,745	0	0	6,877	1,419	15,196	0	0	7,848	82,755
SEDA-COG13.30210.60015.03312.36023.36323.36123	NEPA	5,613	8,488	10,248	4,316	5,291	3,599	0	0	578	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,188
Altoma 2140 2588 2.782 2.613 2.787 1.300 <td>SEDA-COG</td> <td>13,302</td> <td>10,600</td> <td>15,035</td> <td>12,360</td> <td>9,239</td> <td>2,584</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>12,018</td> <td>75,138</td>	SEDA-COG	13,302	10,600	15,035	12,360	9,239	2,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	75,138
Johnstown 4_{57} 2.32 3.36 3.26 2.106 1.202 1.366 1.202 1.306 1.202 2.30 3.016 3.002 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.006 3.030 3.0302 2.733 3.006 3.047 3.060 3.060 3.006 <	Altoona	2,140	2,538	2,782	2,613	2,297	1,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,232
Centre County31312.2353.3131.9021.365<	Johnstown	4,571	2,732	4,396	3,255	2,106	1,203	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	0	3,005	22,793
Williamsport 3.665 3.647 4.533 3.2006 3.152 1.148 0	Centre County	3,131	2,235	3,318	1,902	1,356	1,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,096
Effect3.7410.5105.0003.0332.77032.2720.7032.2720.000.000.000.000.0Lancaster10.4066.4050.5523.4523.4303.1980000.55190.0020.6630.007.623Reding10.5615.6006.4023.4323.4323.4360004.4325.57800000Reding10.5615.6006.4023.4321.4321.4321.4360004.4325.7800000Lehanon1.3562.0733.4041.3421.4321.4360004.4325.78000000Lehanon2.5402.5603.751.48800004.4325.771.480000000000Adams2.5402.5402.5751.481000 <t< td=""><td>Williamsport</td><td>3,695</td><td>3,647</td><td>4,533</td><td>3,908</td><td>3,152</td><td>1,148</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3,749</td><td>23,832</td></t<>	Williamsport	3,695	3,647	4,533	3,908	3,152	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	23,832
Lancaster $10,406$ $9,233$ $12,526$ $7,704$ $6,712$ $4,166$ 0 0 $5,519$ 000 <th< td=""><td>Erie</td><td>3,741</td><td>4,051</td><td>5,808</td><td>3,303</td><td>2,703</td><td>2,272</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3,013</td><td>24,891</td></th<>	Erie	3,741	4,051	5,808	3,303	2,703	2,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	24,891
Yerk 4.096 6.442 9.652 3.478 3.478 3.18 0.16 0.162 5.78 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Lancaster</td><td>10,408</td><td>9,233</td><td>12,526</td><td>7,704</td><td>6,712</td><td>4,186</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>5,519</td><td>902</td><td>9,663</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7,827</td><td>74,680</td></th<>	Lancaster	10,408	9,233	12,526	7,704	6,712	4,186	0	0	5,519	902	9,663	0	0	7,827	74,680
Reading 10,581 5,609 9,534 6,108 4,043 3,642 0 4,372 5,690 0 6,743 6,743 6,743 Lebanon 1,356 2,073 3,045 1,332 1,341 0,433 3,473 1,351 1,361 0,136<	York	4,096	6,442	9,652	3,462	3,478	3,198	0	0	4,622	521	5,578	0	0	3,509	44,557
Lebanon $1,36$ $2,073$ $3,046$ $1,342$ $1,372$ $1,458$ 0 0 $1,416$ 0 0 0 0 $1,266$ Mercer $1,211$ $3,279$ $4,004$ $2,370$ $2,575$ $1,198$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mercer $1,210$ $3,270$ $3,761$ $1,361$ $1,103$ $1,176$ $1,162$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mercer $1,310$ $2,300$ $3,756$ $1,430$ $1,712$ $1,421$ $1,420$ 0 $1,430$ 0	Reading	10,581	5,609	9,534	6,108	4,043	3,642	0	0	4,372	597	6,400	0	0	6,743	57,629
Mercer 1.281 3.779 4.004 2.370 2.575 1.198 1.06 0 <td>Lebanon</td> <td>1,356</td> <td>2,073</td> <td>3,045</td> <td>1,342</td> <td>1,372</td> <td>1,458</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,419</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,265</td> <td>13,330</td>	Lebanon	1,356	2,073	3,045	1,342	1,372	1,458	0	0	1,419	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,330
Adams 2.540 2.053 3.479 1.034 1.361 1.106 1.361 1.361 1.304 1.304 1.304 Fanklin 1.310 2.2840 3.756 1.438 1.712 1.421 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.486 Total Uban $2.53.86$ 1.324 1.3663 1.432 1.432 1.432 1.432 1.437 0.6561 1.487 0.147 0.0 0	Mercer	1,281	3,279	4,004	2,370	2,575	1,198	0	0	0	89	949	0	0	2,319	18,064
Fanklin $1,310$ $2,840$ $3,756$ $1,431$ $1,716$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,421$ $1,621$ $1,621$ $1,721$ $1,72$ $1,721$ <t< td=""><td>Adams</td><td>2,540</td><td>2,053</td><td>3,479</td><td>1,034</td><td>1,361</td><td>1,106</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1,304</td><td>12,878</td></t<>	Adams	2,540	2,053	3,479	1,034	1,361	1,106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	12,878
Total Urban263,806166,619166,819118,03386,314000 ,7,528 17,71015,71,7,6771,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7761,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,7,7771,1,7771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,1771,1,177	Franklin	1,310	2,840	3,756	1,438	1,712	1,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,936
Northwest 6,886 9,063 12,623 6,506 6,672 1,847 0	Total Urban	253,806	162,519	236,016	166,819	118,033	86,314	0	0	97,528	17,710	189,702	0	0	177,677	1,506,124
N. Central 6,084 8,560 11,457 5,639 6,302 1,733 0	Northwest	6,886	9,063	12,623	6,506	6,672	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	50,411
N.Tier 6,853 9,446 13,338 8,343 8,041 1,601 0 </td <td>N. Central</td> <td>6,094</td> <td>8,560</td> <td>11,457</td> <td>5,639</td> <td>6,302</td> <td>1,733</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>6,191</td> <td>45,976</td>	N. Central	6,094	8,560	11,457	5,639	6,302	1,733	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	45,976
S. Alleghenies 6,078 7,578 7,124 1,759 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7,659 Wayne County 0 1,874 2,579 923 1,247 836 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 941 Wayne County 0 1,874 2,579 923 1,247 836 0 0 0 0 0 941 Interstate Program 25,999 36,908 51,229 28,989 29,440 7,776 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10,41 2,599 29,499 7,176 00	N. Tier	6,853	9,446	13,938	8,343	8,094	1,601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	56,662
Wayne County 0 1,874 2,579 923 1,247 836 0	S. Alleghenies	6,078	7,964	10,632	7,578	7,124	1,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	48,794
Total Rural 25,909 56,008 51,229 28,849 7,776 0	Wayne County	0	1,874	2,579	923	1,247	836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,401
Interstate Program 805,555 0 69,703 64,382 0 0 60,360 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7,430 1,0 Statewide Program 0 0 0 7,184 0 30,604 0 62,639 0 0 1	Total Rural	25,909	36,908	51,229	28,989	29,440	7,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	210,245
Statewide Program 0 0 0 0 7,184 0 30,604 0 5,088 62,639 0 0 1 Statewide Reserve 151,273 0 124,650 0 0 40,000 0 <td< td=""><td>Interstate Program</td><td>805,555</td><td>0</td><td>69,703</td><td>64,382</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>60,360</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>70,430</td><td>1,070,430</td></td<>	Interstate Program	805,555	0	69,703	64,382	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	1,070,430
Statewide Reserve 151.273 0 124.650 0 0 40,000 0	Statewide Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	30,604	0	55,088	62,639	0	155,515
RBR Regional Share 0 0 15,810 15,810 15,810 0	Statewide Reserve	151,273	0	124,650	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	315,923
GRAND TOTAL 1,236,542 199,427 497,407 276,000 147,472 134,090 60,360 7,184 97,528 48,314 189,702 55,088 62,639 278,102 3,2	RBR Regional Share	0	0	15,810	15,810	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31,620
	GRAND TOTAL	1,236,542	199,427	497,407	276,000	147,472	134,090	60,360	7,184	97,528	48,314	189,702	55,088	62,639	278,102	3,289,857

Appendix 2: FFY 2028 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	430,323	381,569	88,500	66,711	82,751	43,182	75,136	16,231	22,793	15,095	23,831	24,891	74,676	44,555	57,628	13,329	18,063	12,875	13,935	1,506,076	50,408	45,971	56,658	48,790	8,400	210,228	1,070,430	155,515	315,923	31,685	3,289,857
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	41,313	52,795	11,432	8,584	7,848	5,055	12,018	2,472	3,005	1,969	3,749	3,013	7,827	3,509	6,743	1,265	2,319	1,304	1,458	177,677	6,816	6,191	8,388	7,659	941	29,994	70,430	0	0	0	278,102
PROTECT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,639	0	0	62,639
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,088	0	0	55,088
STP- Urban	90,387	41,676	10,684	9,170	15,196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,663	5,578	6,400	0	949	0	0	189,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189,702
STP TAP Set- Aside	8,438	3,891	66	856	1,419	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	902	521	597	0	89	0	0	17,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,604	0	0	48,314
СМАQ	42,595	24,671	5,350	0	6,877	578	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	5,519	4,622	4,372	1,419	0	0	0	97,528	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97,528
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	0	7,184
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	60,360
HSIP	27,176	14,988	4,271	4,543	5,745	3,599	2,584	1,390	1,203	1,185	1,148	2,272	4,186	3,198	3,642	1,458	1,198	1,106	1,421	86,314	1,847	1,733	1,601	1,759	836	7,776	0	0	40,000	0	134,090
Off System Bridges (BOF)	18,698	34,128	6,913	5,382	5,514	5,291	9,239	2,297	2,106	1,356	3,152	2,703	6,712	3,478	4,043	1,372	2,575	1,361	1,712	118,033	6,672	6,302	8,094	7,124	1,247	29,440	0	0	0	0	147,472
State Bridge	37,529	47,818	10,438	8,517	7,390	4,313	12,359	2,613	3,254	1,901	3,908	3,302	7,703	3,461	6,108	1,341	2,370	1,033	1,438	166,795	6,504	5,636	8,341	7,576	923	28,980	64,382	0	0	15,843	276,000
State Highway (Capital)	51,817	56,233	13,510	10,267	12,059	10,246	15,034	2,782	4,396	3,318	4,533	5,808	12,525	9,651	9,534	3,045	4,004	3,478	3,756	235,992	12,621	11,454	13,936	10,630	2,579	51,220	69,703	0	124,650	15,843	497,407
STP	30,190	42,123	9,233	7,756	7,397	8,488	10,600	2,538	2,732	2,235	3,647	4,051	9,233	6,442	5,609	2,073	3,279	2,053	2,840	162,519	9,063	8,560	9,446	7,964	1,874	36,908	0	0	0	0	199,427
ddHN	82,180	63,246	15,671	11,635	13,307	5,613	13,302	2,140	4,571	3,131	3,695	3,741	10,408	4,096	10,581	1,356	1,281	2,540	1,310	253,806	6,886	6,094	6,853	6,078	0	25,909	805,555	0	151,273	0	1,236,542
Region	JVRPC	PC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	ehigh Valley	VEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	ohnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	Erie	ancaster	/ork	Reading	ebanon	Aercer	Vdams	ranklin	otal Urban	Vorthwest	 Central 	V. Tier	 Alleghenies 	Vayne County	otal Rural	nterstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	KBR Regional Share	SRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: FFY 2029 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	430,322	381,529	88,495	66,709	82,745	43,172	75,131	16,230	22,793	15,092	23,830	24,890	74,670	44,552	57,627	13,329	18,063	12,871	13,934	1,505,985	50,402	45,962	56,650	48,781	8,399	210,194	1,070,430	155,515	315,923	31,810	3,289,857
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	41,313	52,795	11,432	8,584	7,848	5,055	12,018	2,472	3,005	1,969	3,749	3,013	7,827	3,509	6,743	1,265	2,319	1,304	1,458	177,677	6,816	6,191	8,388	7,659	941	29,994	70,430	0	0	0	278,102
PROTECT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,639	0	0	62,639
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,088	0	0	55,088
STP- Urban	90,387	41,676	10,684	9,170	15,196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,663	5,578	6,400	0	949	0	0	189,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189,702
STP TAP Set- Aside	8,438	3,891	266	856	1,419	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	902	521	262	0	89	0	0	17,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,604	0	0	48,314
CMAQ	42,595	24,671	5,350	0	6,877	578	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	5,519	4,622	4,372	1,419	0	0	0	97,528	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97,528
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	0	7,184
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	60,360
HSIP	27,176	14,988	4,271	4,543	5,745	3,599	2,584	1,390	1,203	1,185	1,148	2,272	4,186	3,198	3,642	1,458	1,198	1,106	1,421	86,314	1,847	1,733	1,601	1,759	836	7,776	0	0	40,000	0	134,090
Off System Bridges (BOF)	18,698	34,128	6,913	5,382	5,514	5,291	9,239	2,297	2,106	1,356	3,152	2,703	6,712	3,478	4,043	1,372	2,575	1,361	1,712	118,033	6,672	6,302	8,094	7,124	1,247	29,440	0	0	0	0	147,472
State Bridge	37,528	47,798	10,435	8,516	7,387	4,308	12,357	2,612	3,254	1,900	3,907	3,302	7,699	3,459	6,107	1,341	2,370	1,031	1,437	166,750	6,501	5,632	8,336	7,572	923	28,963	64,382	0	0	15,905	276,000
State Highway (Capital)	51,816	56,213	13,508	10,266	12,056	10,241	15,032	2,781	4,395	3,316	4,532	5,807	12,521	9,649	9,533	3,045	4,004	3,476	3,755	235,946	12,618	11,450	13,932	10,625	2,579	51,203	69,703	0	124,650	15,905	497,407
STP	30,190	42,123	9,233	7,756	7,397	8,488	10,600	2,538	2,732	2,235	3,647	4,051	9,233	6,442	5,609	2,073	3,279	2,053	2,840	162,519	9,063	8,560	9,446	7,964	1,874	36,908	0	0	0	0	199,427
ddHN	82,180	63,246	15,671	11,635	13,307	5,613	13,302	2,140	4,571	3,131	3,695	3,741	10,408	4,096	10,581	1,356	1,281	2,540	1,310	253,806	6,886	6,094	6,853	6,078	0	25,909	805,555	0	151,273	0	1,236,542
Region	DVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	ehigh Valley	VEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	lohnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	Erie	ancaster	rork	Reading	ebanon	Aercer	Adams	Franklin	rotal Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	S. Alleghenies	Vayne County	Fotal Rural	nterstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	RBR Regional Share	SRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: FFY 2030 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	1,730,046	9 1,533,049	355,674	3 268,083	2 332,429	1 173,337	301,964	7 65,154	3 91,659	5 60,714	3 95,720	3 99,961	7 299,819	5 178,660	4 231,642	53,462	5 72,390	7 51,776	J 55,880	9 6,051,422	202,372	184,544	1 227,370	7 195,816	33,601	843,703	1 4,244,492	0 622,058	0 1,271,136	126,615	7 13,159,426
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	165,250	211,175	45,73(34,33	31,392	20,22	48,07(9,88;	12,01	7,87(14,99(12,05;	31,30;	14,03	26,97	5,06	9,27	5,21;	5,83	710,70	27,26.	24,76	33,55	30,63.	3,76;	119,97	281,72	1	1	1	1,112,40
PROTECT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250,556	0	0	250,556
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220,352	0	0	220,352
STP- Urban	361,548	166,704	42,735	36,680	60,785	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,651	22,310	25,599	0	3,796	0	0	758,808	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	758,808
STP TAP Set- Aside	33,754	15,563	3,990	3,424	5,675	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,608	2,083	2,390	0	354	0	0	70,841	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,414	0	0	193,255
СМАQ	170,381	98,685	21,399	0	27,507	2,311	0	0	6,106	0	0	0	22,076	18,486	17,486	5,677	0	0	0	390,114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	390,114
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,737	0	0	28,737
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	241,441	0	0	0	241,441
HSIP	108,705	59,953	17,084	18,174	22,978	14,397	10,336	5,562	4,813	4,740	4,592	9,086	16,743	12,793	14,570	5,832	4,790	4,424	5,684	345,255	7,387	6,934	6,406	7,036	3,342	31,105	0	0	160,000	0	536,360
Off System Bridges (BOF)	74,792	136,513	27,653	21,527	22,055	21,163	36,955	9,189	8,422	5,422	12,609	10,812	26,848	13,914	16,172	5,489	10,300	5,446	6,848	472,131	26,688	25,209	32,376	28,497	4,989	117,759	0	0	0	0	589,890
State Bridge	150,118	191,292	41,754	34,067	29,563	17,257	49,439	10,450	13,018	7,607	15,631	13,210	30,813	13,845	24,431	5,366	9,480	4,133	5,751	667,227	26,019	22,550	33,366	30,308	3,692	115,937	257,529	0	0	63,308	1,104,000
State Highway (Capital)	207,267	224,950	54,043	41,067	48,240	40,988	60,137	11,127	17,583	13,271	18,131	23,230	50,102	38,605	38,135	12,182	16,016	13,913	15,025	944,013	50,487	45,822	55,748	42,523	10,317	204,897	278,811	0	498,600	63,308	1,989,629
STP	120,761	168,492	36,934	31,026	29,588	33,952	42,400	10,151	10,927	8,939	14,589	16,206	36,930	25,768	22,434	8,291	13,118	8,211	11,361	650,076	36,253	34,241	37,783	31,857	7,498	147,632	0	0	0	0	797,708
ddHN	337,471	259,718	64,354	47,780	54,644	23,048	54,626	8,788	18,773	12,858	15,172	15,364	42,739	16,821	43,451	5,566	5,260	10,432	5,381	1,042,248	28,275	25,023	28,140	24,957	0	106,396	3,184,990	0	612,536	0	4,946,170
Region	JVRPC	PC	Harrisburg	scranton/WB	ehigh Valley	JEPA	SEDA-COG	Vitoona	ohnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	irie	ancaster	ork	Reading	ebanon	1ercer	vdams	ranklin	otal Urban	Jorthwest	J. Central	J. Tier	 Alleghenies 	Vayne County	otal Rural	nterstate Program	statewide Program	statewide Reserve	BR Regional Share	RAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: Total FFY 2026-2030 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	430,320	381,495	88,491	66,707	82,740	43,163	75,128	16,230	22,792	15,090	23,828	24,890	74,664	44,550	57,626	13,329	18,062	12,868	13,932	1,505,905	50,396	45,953	56,643	48,774	8,398	210,164	1,070,430	155,515	315,923	31,920	3,289,857
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	41,313	52,795	11,432	8,584	7,848	5,055	12,018	2,472	3,005	1,969	3,749	3,013	7,827	3,509	6,743	1,265	2,319	1,304	1,458	177,677	6,816	6,191	8,388	7,659	941	29,994	70,430	0	0	0	278,102
PROTECT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,639	0	0	62,639
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,088	0	0	55,088
STP- Urban	90,387	41,676	10,684	9,170	15,196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,663	5,578	6,400	0	949	0	0	189,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189,702
STP TAP Set- Aside	8,438	3,891	266	856	1,419	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	902	521	597	0	89	0	0	17,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,604	0	0	48,314
СМАQ	42,595	24,671	5,350	0	6,877	578	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	5,519	4,622	4,372	1,419	0	0	0	97,528	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97,528
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	0	7,184
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	60,360
HSIP	27,176	14,988	4,271	4,543	5,745	3,599	2,584	1,390	1,203	1,185	1,148	2,272	4,186	3,198	3,642	1,458	1,198	1,106	1,421	86,314	1,847	1,733	1,601	1,759	836	7,776	0	0	40,000	0	134,090
Off System Bridges (BOF)	18,698	34,128	6,913	5,382	5,514	5,291	9,239	2,297	2,106	1,356	3,152	2,703	6,712	3,478	4,043	1,372	2,575	1,361	1,712	118,033	6,672	6,302	8,094	7,124	1,247	29,440	0	0	0	0	147,472
State Bridge	37,528	47,781	10,433	8,515	7,384	4,304	12,355	2,612	3,254	1,899	3,906	3,302	7,696	3,458	6,107	1,341	2,370	1,029	1,436	166,710	6,498	5,627	8,333	7,568	922	28,948	64,382	0	0	15,960	276,000
State Highway (Capital)	51,815	56,195	13,506	10,265	12,054	10,236	15,030	2,781	4,395	3,315	4,531	5,807	12,519	9,648	9,532	3,045	4,004	3,474	3,755	235,906	12,615	11,445	13,928	10,622	2,578	51,188	69,703	0	124,650	15,960	497,407
STP	30,190	42,123	9,233	7,756	7,397	8,488	10,600	2,538	2,732	2,235	3,647	4,051	9,233	6,442	5,609	2,073	3,279	2,053	2,840	162,519	9,063	8,560	9,446	7,964	1,874	36,908	0	0	0	0	199,427
ddhn	82,180	63,246	15,671	11,635	13,307	5,613	13,302	2,140	4,571	3,131	3,695	3,741	10,408	4,096	10,581	1,356	1,281	2,540	1,310	253,806	6,886	6,094	6,853	6,078	0	25,909	805,555	0	151,273	0	1,236,542
Region	DVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	-ehigh Valley	VEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	Johnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	Erie	-ancaster	/ork	Reading	-ebanon	Mercer	Adams	-ranklin	Fotal Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	S. Alleghenies	Vayne County	Fotal Rural	nterstate Program	Statewide Program	Statewide Reserve	RBR Regional Share	SRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: FFY 2031 - Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

	ddни	STP	Highway (Capital)	State Bridge	System Bridges (BOF)	HSIP	Highway Freight Program	Rail Highway Safety	CMAQ	STP TAP Set- Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
VRPC	82,180	30,190	51,814	37,527	18,698	27,176	0	0	42,595	8,438	90,387	0	0	41,313	430,318
PC	63,246	42,123	56,174	47,760	34,128	14,988	0	0	24,671	3,891	41,676	0	0	52,795	381,452
larrisburg	15,671	9,233	13,503	10,430	6,913	4,271	0	0	5,350	266	10,684	0	0	11,432	88,485
cranton/WB	11,635	7,756	10,264	8,514	5,382	4,543	0	0	0	856	9,170	0	0	8,584	66,705
ehigh Valley	13,307	7,397	12,051	7,381	5,514	5,745	0	0	6,877	1,419	15,196	0	0	7,848	82,734
IEPA	5,613	8,488	10,231	4,298	5,291	3,599	0	0	578	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,152
EDA-COG	13,302	10,600	15,027	12,353	9,239	2,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	75,123
ltoona	2,140	2,538	2,780	2,611	2,297	1,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,229
ohnstown	4,571	2,732	4,395	3,254	2,106	1,203	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	0	3,005	22,791
entre County	3,131	2,235	3,314	1,898	1,356	1,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,087
Villiamsport	3,695	3,647	4,530	3,905	3,152	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	23,826
irie	3,741	4,051	5,807	3,302	2,703	2,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	24,890
ancaster	10,408	9,233	12,515	7,693	6,712	4,186	0	0	5,519	902	9,663	0	0	7,827	74,657
ork	4,096	6,442	9,647	3,457	3,478	3,198	0	0	4,622	521	5,578	0	0	3,509	44,547
Reading	10,581	5,609	9,532	6,106	4,043	3,642	0	0	4,372	597	6,400	0	0	6,743	57,625
ebanon	1,356	2,073	3,045	1,341	1,372	1,458	0	0	1,419	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,329
1ercer	1,281	3,279	4,003	2,369	2,575	1,198	0	0	0	89	949	0	0	2,319	18,062
dams	2,540	2,053	3,472	1,027	1,361	1,106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	12,864
ranklin	1,310	2,840	3,754	1,436	1,712	1,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,931
otal Urban	253,806	162,519	235,857	166,660	118,033	86,314	0	0	97,528	17,710	189,702	0	0	177,677	1,505,806
lorthwest	6,886	9,063	12,612	6,495	6,672	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	50,389
I. Central	6,094	8,560	11,440	5,622	6,302	1,733	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	45,943
I. Tier	6,853	9,446	13,924	8,328	8,094	1,601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	56,634
3. Alleghenies	6,078	7,964	10,617	7,563	7,124	1,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	48,764
Vayne County	0	1,874	2,578	922	1,247	836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,397
otal Rural	25,909	36,908	51,170	28,930	29,440	7,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	210,127
nterstate Program	805,555	0	69,703	64,382	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	1,070,430
tatewide Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	30,604	0	55,088	62,639	0	155,515
itatewide Reserve	151,273	0	124,650	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	315,923
BR Regional Share	0	0	16,028	16,028	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,055
RAND TOTAL	1,236,542	199,427	497,407	276,000	147,472	134,090	60,360	7,184	97,528	48,314	189,702	55,088	62,639	278,102	3,289,857

Appendix 2: FFY 2032 - Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Bridg	ale Iway Dital)	ate Iway Dital)	State Bridge	System Bridges (BOF)	HSIP	Highway Freight Program	Rail Highway Safety	CMAQ	STP TAP Set- Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
526 18,698 27	37,526 18,698 27	37,526 18,698 27	18,698 27	27	,176	0	0	42,595	8,438	90,387	0	0	41,313	430,31
748 34,128 14	47,748 34,128 14	47,748 34,128 14	34,128 14	1	4,988	0	0	24,671	3,891	41,676	0	0	52,795	381,428
429 6,913 4	10,429 6,913 4	10,429 6,913 4	6,913 4	4	,271	0	0	5,350	266	10,684	0	0	11,432	88,483
513 5,382	8,513 5,382	8,513 5,382	5,382		4,543	0	0	0	856	9,170	0	0	8,584	66,70
379 5,514	7,379 5,514	7,379 5,514	5,514		5,745	0	0	6,877	1,419	15,196	0	0	7,848	82,73(
295 5,291	4,295 5,291	4,295 5,291	5,291		3,599	0	0	278	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,14(
352 9,239	12,352 9,239	12,352 9,239	9,239		2,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	75,12(
611 2,297	2,611 2,297	2,611 2,297	2,297		1,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,22
253 2,106	3,253 2,106	3,253 2,106	2,106		1,203	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	0	3,005	22,79
897 1,356	1,897 1,356	1,897 1,356	1,356		1,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,08(
905 3,152	3,905 3,152	3,905 3,152	3,152		1,148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	23,82(
302 2,703	3,302 2,703	3,302 2,703	2,703		2,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	24,89(
691 6,712	7,691 6,712	7,691 6,712	6,712		4,186	0	0	5,519	902	9,663	0	0	7,827	74,65:
456 3,478	3,456 3,478	3,456 3,478	3,478		3,198	0	0	4,622	521	5,578	0	0	3,509	44,54
106 4,043	6,106 4,043	6,106 4,043	4,043		3,642	0	0	4,372	597	6,400	0	0	6,743	57,62
341 1,372	1,341 1,372	1,341 1,372	1,372		1,458	0	0	1,419	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,329
369 2,575	2,369 2,575	2,369 2,575	2,575		1,198	0	0	0	89	949	0	0	2,319	18,062
026 1,361	1,026 1,361	1,026 1,361	1,361		1,106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	12,86
435 1,712	1,435 1,712	1,435 1,712	1,712		1,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,93(
633 118,033	166,633 118,033	166,633 118,033	118,033		86,314	0	0	97,528	17,710	189,702	0	0	177,677	1,505,75;
493 6,672	6,493 6,672	6,493 6,672	6,672		1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	50,38
619 6,302	5,619 6,302	5,619 6,302	6,302		1,733	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	45,93
326 8,094	8,326 8,094	8,326 8,094	8,094		1,601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	56,629
561 7,124	7,561 7,124	7,561 7,124	7,124		1,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	48,759
921 1,247	921 1,247	921 1,247	1,247		836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,39
920 29,440	28,920 29,440	28,920 29,440	29,440		7,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	210,10
382 0	64,382 0	64,382 0	0		0	60,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	1,070,43(
0 0	0 0	0 0	0		0	0	7,184	0	30,604	0	22'088	62,639	0	155,51
0 0 4	0 0 4	0 0 4	0 4	4	0,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	315,92;
065 0	16,065 0	16,065 0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,13(
000 147.472 13				÷	1 000	RD 3RD	7 1 84	07 K28	A8 214	1 80 702	55 088	R7 R39	278 102	3 289 85

Appendix 2: FFY 2033 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

VPRC 92.161 37.155 18.163 27.755 18.163 27.755 18.163 27.756 61.17 27.757 61.161 27.756 61.161 27.757 27.661 27.761 27.661 27.761 27.661 27.761 27.661 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 27.761 <th>Region</th> <th>ddHN</th> <th>STP</th> <th>State Highway (Capital)</th> <th>State Bridge</th> <th>Off System Bridges (BOF)</th> <th>HSIP</th> <th>Highway Freight Program</th> <th>Rail Highway Safety</th> <th>СМАQ</th> <th>STP TAP Set- Aside</th> <th>STP- Urban</th> <th>Carbon Reduction</th> <th>PROTECT</th> <th>Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)</th> <th>Total</th>	Region	ddHN	STP	State Highway (Capital)	State Bridge	Off System Bridges (BOF)	HSIP	Highway Freight Program	Rail Highway Safety	СМАQ	STP TAP Set- Aside	STP- Urban	Carbon Reduction	PROTECT	Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	Total
PCC 32.46 4.7128 54.40 4.7766 34.128 14.966 0 24.671 9.891 4.6166 0 0 4.6167 0 </th <th>DVRPC</th> <th>82,180</th> <th>30,190</th> <th>51,812</th> <th>37,525</th> <th>18,698</th> <th>27,176</th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>42,595</th> <th>8,438</th> <th>90,387</th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>41,313</th> <th>430,315</th>	DVRPC	82,180	30,190	51,812	37,525	18,698	27,176	0	0	42,595	8,438	90,387	0	0	41,313	430,315
Interstung 15,17 233 13,395 10,446 6,473 4,273 6,3349 10,426 6,473 0 0 0 0,534 0 <	SPC	63,246	42,123	56,140	47,726	34,128	14,988	0	0	24,671	3,891	41,676	0	0	52,795	381,384
Stanton/WB 11335 7756 10282 54,54 0 0 6,77 1410 6,170 0 0 Pipiph Valley 3613 6,483 10,203 5,514 5,543 0 0 6,671 1410 15,190 0 0 Pipiph Valley 3613 6,483 10,203 4,294 5,213 3,543 0 0 0 1410 15,190 0	Harrisburg	15,671	9,233	13,499	10,426	6,913	4,271	0	0	5,350	266	10,684	0	0	11,432	88,477
Enign Valley, 1337 7387 1246 5746 5745 0 6877 1449 15,166 0	Scranton/WB	11,635	7,756	10,262	8,512	5,382	4,543	0	0	0	856	9,170	0	0	8,584	66,701
HEPA 5613 8.488 10.222 4.280 5.591 3.591 0	-ehigh Valley	13,307	7,397	12,045	7,376	5,514	5,745	0	0	6,877	1,419	15,196	0	0	7,848	82,723
	VEPA	5,613	8,488	10,222	4,289	5,291	3,599	0	0	578	0	0	0	0	5,055	43,135
Nitona 2.440 2.538 2.779 2.610 2.287 1.390 1.300 0	SEDA-COG	13,302	10,600	15,024	12,349	9,239	2,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,018	75,115
	Altoona	2,140	2,538	2,779	2,610	2,297	1,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,472	16,227
	Johnstown	4,571	2,732	4,394	3,253	2,106	1,203	0	0	1,527	0	0	0	0	3,005	22,791
Willimsport 3665 3647 4,509 3904 3162 1,148 0 </td <td>Centre County</td> <td>3,131</td> <td>2,235</td> <td>3,312</td> <td>1,896</td> <td>1,356</td> <td>1,185</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,969</td> <td>15,083</td>	Centre County	3,131	2,235	3,312	1,896	1,356	1,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	15,083
Interster 3.741 4.051 5.807 3.302 2.703 2.272 0.0	Williamsport	3,695	3,647	4,529	3,904	3,152	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,749	23,823
ancaster $10,408$ $9,233$ $12,508$ $7,637$ $6,712$ $4,168$ 0 $5,578$ 063 0	Erie	3,741	4,051	5,807	3,302	2,703	2,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,013	24,889
(n(k) 4,006 6,442 9,644 3,478 3,198 0 0 4,622 5,578 6,00 0 4,622 5,578 0 0 0 Reacing 1,0561 5,003 9,331 6,105 4,033 3,642 1,347 1,488 0 0 4,372 5,679 6,400 0	_ancaster	10,408	9,233	12,509	7,687	6,712	4,186	0	0	5,519	902	9,663	0	0	7,827	74,646
Reading 10.581 $5,606$ $9,531$ $6,105$ $4,043$ $3,642$ 0 0 $4,372$ $5,697$ $6,400$ 0 0 Cebanon 1.366 2.073 3.045 1.341 1.372 1.486 0 0 1.419 0 0 0 0 Cebanon 1.364 2.073 3.045 1.331 1.327 1.486 0.03 2.575 1.416 1.327 1.419 0 <td>York</td> <td>4,096</td> <td>6,442</td> <td>9,644</td> <td>3,454</td> <td>3,478</td> <td>3,198</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4,622</td> <td>521</td> <td>5,578</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3,509</td> <td>44,542</td>	York	4,096	6,442	9,644	3,454	3,478	3,198	0	0	4,622	521	5,578	0	0	3,509	44,542
ebanon 1.356 2.073 3.045 1.371 1.372 1.436 0 <t< td=""><td>Reading</td><td>10,581</td><td>5,609</td><td>9,531</td><td>6,105</td><td>4,043</td><td>3,642</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>4,372</td><td>597</td><td>6,400</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>6,743</td><td>57,623</td></t<>	Reading	10,581	5,609	9,531	6,105	4,043	3,642	0	0	4,372	597	6,400	0	0	6,743	57,623
Mercer $1,281$ $3,279$ $4,003$ $2,369$ $2,575$ $1,198$ 0 <t< td=""><td>_ebanon</td><td>1,356</td><td>2,073</td><td>3,045</td><td>1,341</td><td>1,372</td><td>1,458</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1,419</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1,265</td><td>13,328</td></t<>	_ebanon	1,356	2,073	3,045	1,341	1,372	1,458	0	0	1,419	0	0	0	0	1,265	13,328
$\Delta dams$ 2.540 2.053 3.468 $1,023$ $1,361$ $1,106$ 0 <td>Mercer</td> <td>1,281</td> <td>3,279</td> <td>4,003</td> <td>2,369</td> <td>2,575</td> <td>1,198</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>89</td> <td>949</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,319</td> <td>18,061</td>	Mercer	1,281	3,279	4,003	2,369	2,575	1,198	0	0	0	89	949	0	0	2,319	18,061
Tanklin1,3102,8403,7531,4351,7121,421000000000Total Urban253,806162,519253,773166,5821,8471,8470000000000Vorthwest6,8869,06312,6066,4896,6721,847000000000Northwest6,8869,06312,6066,4896,6721,84700000000Northwest6,8839,06311,4325,6146,5721,84700000000Northwest6,0789,14613,9178,3218,0321,733000000000Name County6,0787,1421,5567,1241,759000000000Name County8,5507,1478,3218,3407,7767,1241,75900000000Name County8,5507,1478,3218,3407,7767,1241,75900000000Name County8,5507,1478,3218,3407,7768,3267,1748,3267,1741,776000000 <th< td=""><td>Adams</td><td>2,540</td><td>2,053</td><td>3,468</td><td>1,023</td><td>1,361</td><td>1,106</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1,304</td><td>12,857</td></th<>	Adams	2,540	2,053	3,468	1,023	1,361	1,106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	12,857
Indate Line 253,806 162,519 235,779 166,682 118,033 86,314 0 97,528 17,710 189,702 0 <td>⁻ranklin</td> <td>1,310</td> <td>2,840</td> <td>3,753</td> <td>1,435</td> <td>1,712</td> <td>1,421</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,458</td> <td>13,929</td>	⁻ ranklin	1,310	2,840	3,753	1,435	1,712	1,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,458	13,929
Vorthwest 6,886 9,063 12,606 6,489 6,672 1,847 0	Fotal Urban	253,806	162,519	235,779	166,582	118,033	86,314	0	0	97,528	17,710	189,702	0	0	177,677	1,505,650
N. Central 6,094 8,560 11,432 5,614 6,302 1,733 0	Northwest	6,886	9,063	12,606	6,489	6,672	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,816	50,378
N. Tier 6,853 9,446 13,917 8,321 8,094 1,601 0 <	N. Central	6,094	8,560	11,432	5,614	6,302	1,733	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,191	45,926
S. Alleghenies 6,078 7,964 10,609 7,125 7,124 1,759 0	N. Tier	6,853	9,446	13,917	8,321	8,094	1,601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,388	56,620
Nayne County 0 1,874 2,577 921 1,247 836 0	S. Alleghenies	6,078	7,964	10,609	7,556	7,124	1,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,659	48,750
Total Rural 25,909 36,908 51,141 28,901 29,440 7,776 0	Nayne County	0	1,874	2,577	921	1,247	836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	941	8,396
Interstate Program 805,555 0 69,703 64,382 0 0 60,360 5,088 62,639 6	Fotal Rural	25,909	36,908	51,141	28,901	29,440	7,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,994	210,069
Statewide Program 0 0 0 0 7,184 0 30,604 0 5,088 62,639 Statewide Reserve 151,273 0 124,650 0 0 40,000 0 0 0 0 0 5,088 62,639 Statewide Reserve 151,273 0 124,650 0	nterstate Program	805,555	0	69,703	64,382	0	0	60,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	70,430	1,070,430
Statewide Reserve 151,273 0 124,650 0 0 40,000 0	Statewide Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,184	0	30,604	0	55,088	62,639	0	155,515
R R B	Statewide Reserve	151,273	0	124,650	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	315,923
GRAND TOTAL 1 236.542 199.427 497.407 276.000 147.472 134.090 60.360 7.184 97.528 48.314 189.702 55.088 62.639	RBR Regional Share	0	0	16,135	16,135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,270
	GRAND TOTAL	1,236,542	199,427	497,407	276,000	147,472	134,090	60,360	7,184	97,528	48,314	189,702	55,088	62,639	278,102	3,289,857

Appendix 2: FFY 2034 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

Total	1,721,270	1,525,759	353,936	266,817	330,927	172,597	300,486	64,913	91,165	60,347	95,303	99,558	298,620	178,183	230,499	53,315	72,247	51,450	55,723	6,023,113	201,549	183,759	226,524	195,047	33,588	840,468	4,281,722	622,058	1,263,690	128,375	13,159,426
Bridge Formula Program (BRIP)	165,250	211,179	45,730	34,338	31,392	20,221	48,070	9,887	12,018	7,876	14,996	12,053	31,307	14,035	26,974	5,060	9,275	5,217	5,830	710,709	27,262	24,764	33,551	30,637	3,763	119,978	281,721	0	0	0	1,112,407
PROTECT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250,556	0	0	250,556
Carbon Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220,352	0	0	220,352
STP- Urban	361,548	166,704	42,735	36,680	60,785	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,651	22,310	25,599	0	3,796	0	0	758,808	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	758,808
STP TAP Set- Aside	33,754	15,563	3,990	3,424	5,675	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,608	2,083	2,390	0	354	0	0	70,841	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,414	0	0	193,255
CMAQ	170,381	98,685	21,399	0	27,507	2,311	0	0	6,106	0	0	0	22,076	18,486	17,486	5,677	0	0	0	390,114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	390,114
Rail Highway Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,737	0	0	28,737
Highway Freight Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	241,441	0	0	0	241,441
HSIP	108,705	59,953	17,084	18,174	22,978	14,397	10,336	5,562	4,813	4,740	4,592	9,086	16,743	12,793	14,570	5,832	4,790	4,424	5,684	345,255	7,387	6,934	6,406	7,036	3,342	31,105	0	0	160,000	0	536,360
Off System Bridges (BOF)	74,792	136,513	27,653	21,527	22,055	21,163	36,955	9,189	8,422	5,422	12,609	10,812	26,848	13,914	16,172	5,489	10,300	5,446	6,848	472,131	26,688	25,209	32,376	28,497	4,989	117,759	0	0	0	0	589,890
State Bridge	150,105	191,014	41,719	34,054	29,521	17,186	49,409	10,444	13,014	7,590	15,619	13,208	30,768	13,825	24,423	5,364	9,477	4,105	5,742	666,585	25,974	22,482	33,308	30,248	3,686	115,699	257,529	0	0	64,188	1,104,000
State Highway (Capital)	207,254	224,672	54,008	41,054	48,198	40,916	60,107	11,120	17,579	13,254	18,119	23,228	50,056	38,584	38,127	12,180	16,013	13,884	15,016	943,371	50,443	45,754	55,690	42,462	10,310	204,659	278,811	0	498,600	64,188	1,989,629
STP	120,761	168,492	36,934	31,026	29,588	33,952	42,400	10,151	10,927	8,939	14,589	16,206	36,930	25,768	22,434	8,291	13,118	8,211	11,361	650,076	36,253	34,241	37,783	31,857	7,498	147,632	0	0	0	0	797,708
ddHN	328,720	252,983	62,686	46,541	53,227	22,451	53,210	8,561	18,286	12,525	14,779	14,966	41,631	16,385	42,324	5,422	5,123	10,162	5,242	1,015,223	27,542	24,374	27,410	24,310	0	103,637	3,222,220	0	605,090	0	4,946,170
Region	JVRPC	SPC	Harrisburg	Scranton/WB	ehigh Valley	VEPA	SEDA-COG	Altoona	lohnstown	Centre County	Villiamsport	Erie	.ancaster	/ork	Reading	ebanon	Aercer	Adams	-ranklin	Total Urban	Northwest	N. Central	N. Tier	 Alleghenies 	Vayne County	Total Rural	nterstate Program	statewide Program	statewide Reserve	KBR Regional Share	GRAND TOTAL

Appendix 2: Total FFY 2031-2034 -- Highway/Bridge Base Funding Allocation (\$000)

		-		Appendix 3	Rapid Brid	ge Replacen	nent Program	MPO/RPO	share (\$000)	(50% A-581	(-	-		
MPO/RPO	KBK Deck Area	% Share	2023	2024	2025	2026	TIP TOTAL	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	otal TYP
DVRPC	12,755.5	1.46%	226.89	227.69	228.09	228.97	911.63	229.69	230.57	231.04	231.96	232.76	233.74	234.29	235.31	2,770.99
SPC	276,302.9	31.59%	4,914.71	4,932.09	4,940.77	4,959.73	19,747.30	4,975.52	4,994.48	5,004.74	5,024.49	5,041.86	5,063.19	5,075.03	5,097.15	60,023.76
Harrisburg	34,925.0	3.99%	621.23	623.42	624.52	626.92	2,496.08	628.91	631.31	632.61	635.10	637.30	639.99	641.49	644.29	7,587.07
Scranton/WB	13,629.0	1.56%	242.42	243.28	243.71	244.65	974.06	245.42	246.36	246.87	247.84	248.70	249.75	250.33	251.42	2,960.75
Lehigh Valley	41,874.0	4.79%	744.83	747.46	748.78	751.65	2,992.72	754.05	756.92	758.47	761.47	764.10	767.33	769.13	772.48	9,096.66
NEPA	70,903.5	8.11%	1,261.19	1,265.65	1,267.88	1,272.74	5,067.45	1,276.79	1,281.66	1,284.29	1,289.36	1,293.82	1,299.29	1,302.33	1,308.00	15,403.00
SEDA-COG	30,389.6	3.47%	540.55	542.46	543.42	545.50	2,171.94	547.24	549.33	550.45	552.63	554.54	556.88	558.19	560.62	6,601.81
Altoona	6,584.4	0.75%	117.12	117.53	117.74	118.19	470.59	118.57	119.02	119.26	119.74	120.15	120.66	120.94	121.47	1,430.39
Johnstown	3,702.1	0.42%	65.85	66.08	66.20	66.45	264.59	66.67	66.92	67.06	67.32	67.55	67.84	68.00	68.30	804.24
Centre County	16,835.4	1.92%	299.46	300.52	301.05	302.20	1,203.22	303.16	304.32	304.94	306.15	307.21	308.50	309.23	310.57	3,657.30
Williamsport	11,654.8	1.33%	207.31	208.04	208.41	209.21	832.97	209.87	210.67	211.11	211.94	212.67	213.57	214.07	215.00	2,531.88
Erie	2,079.0	0.24%	36.98	37.11	37.18	37.32	148.59	37.44	37.58	37.66	37.81	37.94	38.10	38.19	38.35	451.64
Lancaster	45,475.8	5.20%	808.90	811.76	813.19	816.31	3,250.14	818.91	822.02	823.71	826.96	829.82	833.33	835.28	838.92	9,879.12
York	20,394.8	2.33%	362.77	364.05	364.69	366.09	1,457.61	367.26	368.66	369.42	370.87	372.16	373.73	374.60	376.24	4,430.55
Keading	8,141.2	0.93%	144.81	145.32	145.58	146.14	581.85	146.60	14/.16	147.46	148.05	148.56	149.19	149.53	150.19	1,768.59
Lebanon	1,655.0	0.19%	29.44	29.54	29.59	29.71	118.28	29.80	29.92	29.98	30.10	30.20	30.33	30.40	30.53	359.53
Mercer	3,586.9	0.41%	63.80	64.03	64.14	64.39	256.35	64.59	64.84	64.97	65.23	65.45	65.73	65.88	66.17	779.21
Adams	28,042.5	3.21%	498.80	500.57	501.45	503.37	2,004.19	504.98	506.90	507.94	509.94	511.71	513.87	515.07	517.32	6,091.92
Franklin	8,918.4	1.02%	158.64	159.20	159.48	160.09	637.40	160.60	161.21	161.54	162.18	162.74	163.43	163.81	164.52	1,937.42
Northwest	1 543 1	5 09%	797.31	795,11	796.51	799 56	3.183.48	802.11	805 17	806.82	810.00	812.80	816.24	818.15	821.72	9.676.50
N. Central	67,603.4	7.73%	1,202.49	1,206.74	1.208.87	1,213.50	4,831.60	1,217.37	1,222.01	1,224.52	1,229.35	1,233.60	1,238.82	1,241.72	1,247.13	14,686.09
N. Tier	57,527.4	6.58%	1,023.26	1,026.88	1,028.69	1,032.64	4,111.47	1,035.92	1,039.87	1,042.01	1,046.12	1,049.74	1,054.18	1,056.64	1,061.25	12,497.19
S. Alleghenies	60,493.3	6.92%	1,076.02	1,079.82	1,081.72	1,085.87	4,323.44	1,089.33	1,093.48	1,095.73	1,100.05	1,103.86	1,108.53	1,111.12	1,115.96	13,141.50
Wayne	6,618.9	0.76%	117.73	118.15	118.36	118.81	473.05	119.19	119.64	119.89	120.36	120.78	121.29	121.57	122.10	1,437.88
Total (No IM)	874,635.9	100.00%	15,557.50	15,612.50	15,640.00	15,700.00	62,510.00	15,750.00	15,810.00	15,842.50	15,905.00	15,960.00	16,027.50	16,065.00	16,135.00	90,005.00
				Rapi	d Bridge Rep	acement Pr	ogram MPC)/RPO Share (\$000) (20% <i>A</i>	-185)						
CaalCaw	RBR Deck	O' Chard	2002	NCUC	3005	30.00	TID TOTAL	2000	acuc	0000	0000	1004	2022	2022	. 1000	Otal TVD
	Area		C707	4707	C202	2020		707I	2020	6707	0007	1007	70.07	2002	40.07	
DVRPC	12,755.5	1.46%	226.89	227.69	228.09	228.97	911.63	229.69	230.57	231.04	231.96	232.76	233.74	234.29	235.31	2,770.99
SPC 	2/6,302.9	31.59%	4,914./1	4,932.09	4,940.77	4,959./3	19,747.30	4,9/5.52	4,994.48	5,004.74	5,024.49	5,041.86	5,063.19	5,075.03	51.19/.15	60,023.76
Harrisburg	34,925.0	3.99%	621.23	623.42	624.52	626.92	2,496.08	628.91	631.31	632.61	635.10	637.30	639.99	641.49	644.29	7,587.07
Scranton/WD	0.720,CL	2002 V	24.242	07.CH2 3A TAT	11.042	751.65	00.4.00 C	754.05	75.6.07	750 47	761 17	764.10	C1.757	CC.UC2	24.1C2	C/.U06,2
	70 903 5	8 11%	1 761 10	1 765 65	1 767 88	CU.LC 1	5 067 45	1 276 79	1 281 66	1 78.4 79	1 780 36	1 293 82	PC PPC 1	1 307 33	1 308 00	15 403 00
SEDA-COG	30.389.6	3.47%	540.55	542.46	543.42	545.50	2,171.94	547.24	549.33	550.45	552.63	554.54	556.88	558.19	560.62	6,601.81
Altoona	6,584.4	0.75%	117.12	117.53	117.74	118.19	470.59	118.57	119.02	119.26	119.74	120.15	120.66	120.94	121.47	1,430.39
Johnstown	3,702.1	0.42%	65.85	66.08	66.20	66.45	264.59	66.67	66.92	67.06	67.32	67.55	67.84	68.00	68.30	804.24
Centre County	16,835.4	1.92%	299.46	300.52	301.05	302.20	1,203.22	303.16	304.32	304.94	306.15	307.21	308.50	309.23	310.57	3,657.30
Williamsport	11,654.8	1.33%	207.31	208.04	208.41	209.21	832.97	209.87	210.67	211.11	211.94	212.67	213.57	214.07	215.00	2,531.88
Erie	2,079.0	0.24%	36.98	37.11	37.18	37.32	148.59	37.44	37.58	37.66	37.81	37.94	38.10	38.19	38.35	451.64
Lancaster	45,475.8	5.20%	808.90	811.76	813.19	816.31	3,250.14	818.91	822.02	823.71	826.96	829.82	833.33	835.28	838.92	9,879.12
York Beading	20,394.8	2.33% 0.02%	362.77	304.05	364.69 1.45 5.8	300.U9 1 A. E. T. A	1,45/.61 581 85	30/.2b	308.00	369.42	3/U.8/ 1/8/05	3/2.1b	3/3./3	3/4.bU 1/053	3/b.24 150.10	4,430.55 1 768 50
Lebanon	1.655.0	0.19%	29.44	29.54	29.59	29.71	118.28	29.80	29.92	29.98	30.10	30.20	30.33	30.40	30.53	359.53
Mercer	3,586.9	0.41%	63.80	64.03	64.14	64.39	256.35	64.59	64.84	64.97	65.23	65.45	65.73	65.88	66.17	779.21
Adams	28,042.5	3.21%	498.80	500.57	501.45	503.37	2,004.19	504.98	506.90	507.94	509.94	511.71	513.87	515.07	517.32	6,091.92
Franklin	8,918.4	1.02%	158.64	159.20	159.48	160.09	637.40	160.60	161.21	161.54	162.18	162.74	163.43	163.81	164.52	1,937.42
Northwest	44.543.1	5.09%	792.31	795.11	796.51	799.56	3.183.48	802.11	805.17	806.82	810.00	812.80	816.24	818.15	821.72	9.676.50
N. Central	67,603.4	7.73%	1,202.49	1,206.74	1,208.87	1,213.50	4,831.60	1,217.37	1,222.01	1,224.52	1,229.35	1,233.60	1,238.82	1,241.72	1,247.13	14,686.09
N. Tier	57,527.4	6.58%	1,023.26	1,026.88	1,028.69	1,032.64	4,111.47	1,035.92	1,039.87	1,042.01	1,046.12	1,049.74	1,054.18	1,056.64	1,061.25	12,497.19
S. Alleghenies	60,493.3	6.92%	1,076.02	1,079.82	1,081.72	1,085.87	4,323.44	1,089.33	1,093.48	1,095.73	1,100.05	1,103.86	1,108.53	1,111.12	1,115.96	13,141.50
Wayne	6,618.9	0.76%	117.73	118.15	118.36	118.81	473.05	119.19	119.64	119.89	120.36	120.78	121.29	121.57	122.10	1,437.88
I ULAI (NO IIM)	6.000,410	%nn.unt	DC'/CC'CT	UC.210,CL	00.040.CT	nn.uu/ct	00.016,20	DUJUC / CT	DUDUDIO, CL	UC.240,CL		00.006,61	. NC. /20,01			00.000,06

A-5.
(50%
(\$000)
Share
/RPO
MPO
Program
Replacement
Bridge
Rapid
Appendix 3

MPO/RPO	Area	% Share	2023	2024	2025	2026	TIP TOTAL	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	Total TYP
DVRPC	12,755.5	1.46%	453.77	455.38	456.18	457.93	1,823.26	459.39	461.14	462.09	463.91	465.51	467.48	468.58	470.62	5,541.98
SPC	276,302.9	31.59%	9,829.42	9,864.17	9,881.55	9,919.45	39,494.59	9,951.05	9,988.95	10,009.49	10,048.98	10,083.73	10,126.37	10,150.07	10,194.29	120,047.51
Harrisburg	34,925.0	3.99%	1,242.45	1,246.84	1,249.04	1,253.83	4,992.16	1,257.82	1,262.62	1,265.21	1,270.20	1,274.59	1,279.99	1,282.98	1,288.57	15,174.14
Scranton/WB	13,629.0	1.56%	484.85	486.56	487.42	489.29	1,948.12	490.85	492.72	493.73	495.68	497.39	499.50	500.67	502.85	5,921.50
Lehigh Valley	41,874.0	4.79%	1,489.66	1,494.93	1,497.56	1,503.30	5,985.45	1,508.09	1,513.84	1,516.95	1,522.93	1,528.20	1,534.66	1,538.25	1,544.96	18,193.33
NEPA	70,903.5	8.11%	2,522.38	2,531.30	2,535.75	2,545.48	10,134.91	2,553.59	2,563.32	2,568.59	2,578.72	2,587.64	2,598.58	2,604.66	2,616.01	30,806.01
SEDA-COG	30,389.6	3.47%	1,081.10	1,084.93	1,086.84	1,091.01	4,343.87	1,094.48	1,098.65	1,100.91	1,105.25	1,109.07	1,113.76	1,116.37	1,121.24	13,203.61
Altoona	6,584.4	0.75%	234.24	235.07	235.48	236.38	941.17	237.14	238.04	238.53	239.47	240.30	241.32	241.88	242.93	2,860.78
Johnstown	3,702.1	0.42%	131.70	132.17	132.40	132.91	529.18	133.33	133.84	134.11	134.64	135.11	135.68	136.00	136.59	1,608.48
Centre County	16,835.4	1.92%	598.92	601.03	602.09	604.40	2,406.44	606.33	608.64	609.89	612.29	614.41	617.01	618.45	621.15	7,314.61
Williamsport	11,654.8	1.33%	414.62	416.08	416.82	418.41	1,665.93	419.75	421.35	422.21	423.88	425.34	427.14	428.14	430.01	5,063.75
Erie	2,079.0	0.24%	73.96	74.22	74.35	74.64	297.17	74.88	75.16	75.31	75.61	75.87	76.19	76.37	76.71	903.28
Lancaster	45,475.8	5.20%	1,617.79	1,623.51	1,626.37	1,632.61	6,500.29	1,637.81	1,644.05	1,647.43	1,653.93	1,659.65	1,666.67	1,670.57	1,677.85	19,758.23
York	20,394.8	2.33%	725.54	728.11	729.39	732.19	2,915.22	734.52	737.32	738.83	741.75	744.31	747.46	749.21	752.47	8,861.09
Reading	8,141.2	0.93%	289.62	290.65	291.16	292.27	1,163.70	293.21	294.32	294.93	296.09	297.11	298.37	299.07	300.37	3,537.17
Lebanon	1,655.0	0.19%	58.88	59.08	59.19	59.42	236.56	59.60	59.83	59.95	60.19	60.40	60.65	60.80	61.06	719.06
Mercer	3,586.9	0.41%	127.60	128.05	128.28	128.77	512.71	129.18	129.67	129.94	130.45	130.90	131.46	131.77	132.34	1,558.43
Adams	28,042.5	3.21%	997.61	1,001.13	1,002.90	1,006.74	4,008.38	1,009.95	1,013.80	1,015.88	1,019.89	1,023.42	1,027.74	1,030.15	1,034.64	12,183.85
Franklin	8,918.4	1.02%	317.27	318.39	318.95	320.18	1,274.79	321.20	322.42	323.08	324.36	325.48	326.86	327.62	329.05	3,874.85
Northwest	44,543.1	5.09%	1,584.61	1,590.21	1,593.02	1,599.13	6,366.97	1,604.22	1,610.33	1,613.64	1,620.01	1,625.61	1,632.48	1,636.30	1,643.43	19,352.99
N. Central	67,603.4	7.73%	2,404.98	2,413.48	2,417.73	2,427.01	9,663.19	2,434.74	2,444.01	2,449.03	2,458.70	2,467.20	2,477.63	2,483.43	2,494.25	29,372.19
N. Tier	57,527.4	6.58%	2,046.53	2,053.76	2,057.38	2,065.27	8,222.94	2,071.85	2,079.74	2,084.02	2,092.24	2,099.47	2,108.35	2,113.29	2,122.49	24,994.39
S. Alleghenies	60,493.3	6.92%	2,152.04	2,159.65	2,163.45	2,171.75	8,646.88	2,178.67	2,186.97	2,191.46	2,200.11	2,207.71	2,217.05	2,222.24	2,231.92	26,283.00
Wayne	6,618.9	0.76%	235.47	236.30	236.71	237.62	946.10	238.38	239.29	239.78	240.73	241.56	242.58	243.15	244.21	2,875.77
Total (No IM)	874 635 9	100 00%	31 115 00	31 225 DD	31 280 00	31 400 00	125,020,00	31 500 00	31 620 00	31 685 00	31 810 00	31 920 00	32 055 00	32 130 00	32 270 00	380 010 00

_
52
÷,
4
<u></u>
ñ
Ś
a
ğ
5
ğ
ŝ
ē
ha
S
Å,
2
2
Σ
1
Ш
50
5
Ŧ
٦e
Ъ
ä
da
ž
e a
÷
8
ĕ
Ra
ä
.×
xibri
pendix

The Asset Management Factor (AMF) is a value that is proposed to be added to the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) distribution formula. This factor will consider necessary treatment needs (by dollar value) consistent with Pennsylvania's Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP) to maintain existing pavements and bridges in a state of good repair. For use in the formula, each county/region's dollar value will be divided by the statewide total to produce a ratio of the overall statewide needs.

To calculate the AMF, the Bureau of Maintenance and Operations (BOMO) Asset Management Division will consider the following information.

Pavement:

- <u>Condition Surveys (STAMPP Program):</u>
 - Since 1997, Automated Pavement Distress Condition Surveying program (Videologging)
 - Contractor also collects pavement condition for Local Federal Aid roads
 - o Unpaved Roads, Shoulder, Drainage, Guide Rail condition data is collect via manual surveys
- Condition Survey Field Manuals:
 - o Publication 336: Pavement (Bituminous & Jointed Concrete)
 - o Publication 343: Continuously Reinforced Concrete & Unpaved Roads
 - Publication 33: Shoulder And Guide Rail
 - Publication 73: Storm Water Facility
- <u>Treatments/Dollar Needs:</u>
 - For each segment, the latest condition data is used to determine the appropriate treatment(s) for pavement, shoulder, drainage, and guide rail. Treatments are determined by matrices, with an example as follows:

% Length	Interstate / NHS	NHS – NON-	NON – NHS ≥	NON – NHS <
Extent	Expressway	Expressway	2000 ADT	2000 ADT
>0-10%	10	10	10	5
11 – 25%	11	11	11	11
26 – 50%	21	11	11	11
51 – 75%	23	11	11	19
> 75%	23	23	23	23

Bituminous Pavement Fatigue Cracking (High Severity)

0 - Routine Maintenance	1 - Crack Seal	2 - Spray Patch	3 - Skin Patch
4 - Manual Patch	5 - Manual Patch, Skin	6 - Mechanized Patch	7 - Mill, Manual Patch
	Patch		
8 - Mill, Mechanized	9 - Mill, Mechanized	10 - Base Repair, Manual	11 - Base Repair,
Patch	Edge Patch	Patch	Mechanized Patch
12 - Seal Coat	13 - Level, Seal Coat	14 - Widening, Seal Coat	15 - Scratch, Level, Seal
			Coat
16 - Microsurface/ Thin	17 - Level, Resurface	18 - Mill, Conc. Patch,	19 - Level, Resurface,
Overlay		Level, Resurface	Base Repair
20 - Mill, Level,	21 - Mill, Level,	22 - Construct Paved	23 - Reconstruction
Resurface	Resurface, Base Repair	Shoulder	

• For each segment, the quantities of treatment materials are determined.

- For each segment, the costs of the treatments are determined.
- Cost of Treatments = Dollar Needs
- Dollar Needs are summed for each SR, and County, and expressed as a proportion of the total in the Commonwealth. The District or Planning region totals can also be expressed as a proportion of the total.

Bridges

- <u>Condition Surveys</u>
 - o Bridge inspections have been performed through progressive Federal minimum standards since 1971
 - o Bridges are inspected every 2 years or less, depending on condition
- <u>Condition Survey Field Manual</u>
 - Publication 100A
- <u>Treatment / Dollar needs</u>
 - For each bridge, the latest condition data is used to determine the appropriate treatment(s) for the structure. Treatments are determined by matrices, with an example as follows:
 - For each bridge, the treatment and cost are determined.
 - Total cost of treatments = Dollar Needs
 - Dollar Needs are summed for each County, and expressed as a proportion of the total in the Commonwealth. The District or Planning region totals can also be expressed as a proportion of the total.

Appendix 5: Financial Guidance Distribution Formula Summary

Category	2023 Financial Guidance					
NHPP	40% Bridge	3/4 Deck Area Non-Interstate NHS Bridges > 20 feet				
		1/4 Bridge AMF*				
	60% Highway	1/4 Non-Interstate NHS Lane Miles				
		1/4 Non-Interstate NHS VMT				
		1/4 Non-Interstate NHS Truck VMT				
		1/4 Pavement AMF*				
	Interstate 26/55ths of Apportionment in 2021: \$50,000,000 additional in each					
	subsequent year to a maximum of \$1 billion for the entire program					
STP	40% Bridge	Deck Area Non-NHS State and Local Bridges > 20 feet				
	60% Highway	1/2 Non-NHS Lane Miles				
		1/4 Non-NHS VMT				
		1/4 Non-NHS Truck VMT				
State Highway	1/4 VMT					
	1/4 Truck VMT					
	1/2 Lane Miles					
State Bridge	Deck Area State bridges > 8 feet and Local bridges > 20 feet					
Federal Off-System Bridge	Deck Area State and Local Bridges > 20 feet					
HSIP	39:1 Crash Severity Weighting					
	(Fatal and Injury Crashses versus Property Damage only Crashes)					
	\$500,000 base to each Planning Region, \$35 million Statewide					
Rail	Statewide Program					
NHFP	Interstate Program					
СМАQ	Population with CMAQ Factor Multiplier Based upon regional air quality					
	classification for non-attainment/maintenance counties					
	Statewide Program; funds designated to urban areas distributed according to					
	federal formula					
STP-Urban	Funds distributed according to federal formula					
Bridge Investment Program	60% NHS Bridges	3/4 Bridge Deck Area NHS and Interstate Bridges > 20 feet				
		1/4 Bridge AMF*				
	40% STP Bridge	Deck Area Non-NHS State and Local Bridges > 20 feet				

* Asset Management Factor

		Accest *	Operating #	- (+++++)	
	OPERATOR	Asset	Operating #	Shared Ride @	Total
		Improvement	Assistance		
	SEPTA	364,290	711.527	15,100	1.090.917
	Krapf's Coach - Chostor	0	19	0	19
		0	10	0	10
	Upper ivierion	0	19	0	19
	PAAC	118,630	244,850	12,500	375,980
	AMTRAN Blair	0	3.316	0	3.316
	BCTA Beaver	0	1 105	501	1 786
		0	4,100	4 300	4,700
	CAT Dauphin	0	9,437	1,360	10,617
	CATA Centre	0	7,631	293	7,924
	CCTA Cambria	0	7,527	921	8,448
	COLTS Lackawanna	0	7 563	1 9/6	9 509
	CDTA Adama Columbia Cumbarland Franklin	0	7,000	1,040	3,503
	CFTA Adams, Columbia, Cumbenand, Franklin,				
	Montour, Northumberland, Perry, Snyder, Union				
	and York	0	7,620	5,700	13,320
	EMTA Erie	0	10,882	1 216	12 008
Z.		0	10,002	577	12,030
à	FACT Fayelle	0	1,320	5//	1,903
R	HPT Hazleton	0	2,175	0	2,175
5	LANTA Lehigh-Northampton	0	19,085	3,628	22,713
		0	6 / 12	694	7 106
	Morte	0	0, 712	004	7,100
	Wartz	0	13	0	13
	LT Lebanon	0	2,157	581	2,738
	MMVTA Mid Mon Valley	0	3,173	0	3,173
	MCTA Monroe	0	2 233	1 372	3,605
	Pottstown Montgomeny	0	1 407	.,0.2	1,407
		0	1,407	0	1,407
	SUTA South Central	0	17,665	4,612	22,277
	SVSS Shenango Valley	0	868	963	1,831
	WCTA Washington	0	1.639	2.215	3.854
	WBT Williamsport	0	1.6/3	_,	4 643
		0	4,045	1.057	4,040
	WCTA Westmoreland	0	4,351	1,657	6,008
	Unallocated Other Urban Systems	0	0	0	0
	Urban Total	482.920	1.081.732	55.946	1.620.598
			,,		,
	ATA	0	6,001	453	6,454
	BTA Butler	0	1,031	0	1,031
C	Carbon	0	273	506	779
	CATA Crewford	0	1 510	705	2 202
	CATA Crawlord	0	1,516	C0/	2,303
_	EMTA Endless Mtns.	0	1,149	1,291	2,440
A I	ICTA Indiana	0	1,855	408	2,263
4	Mid-County Armstrong	0	657	315	972
<u>א</u>	Mt Carmal	0	242	010	242
		0	J4Z	0	J42
	NCATA New Castle	0	4,783	0	4,783
	STS Schuylkill	0	1,747	1,032	2,779
	TAWC Warren	0	755	498	1.253
	Rural Total	0	20,111	5,288	25,399
	ALLIED COORD TRANS (Lawrence Co.)	0	0	/20	/20
		0	0	420	420
	BLAIR COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	0	0	1,150	1,156
	BUCKS COUNTY TRANSPORT, INC.	0	0	2,897	2,897
	BUTLER COUNTY	0	0	457	457
		0	0	653	653
		0	0	000	003
	CLARION COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	COMMUNITY TRANS OF DELAWARE	0	0	3,012	3,012
>	FOREST COUNTY	0	0	358	358
		0	0	270	270
0		0	0	379	3/9
de	HUNTINGDON-BEDFORD-FULTON AAA	0	0	1,159	1,159
Ř	K-CAB (Columbia Co.)	0	0	0	0
4	KRAPE'S (Chester Co.)	0	0	2 715	2 715
are		0	0	420	420
ů,		0	0	430	430
S	PERRY COUNTY	0	0	0	0
PIK SO ST	PIKE COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	SOMERSET COUNTY	0	0	249	249
	STEP (Clipton/Lycoming)	0	0	1 015	1 015
		0	0	1,015	1,013
	SUBURBAN TRANS (Montgomery)	0	0	4,390	4,390
	Susquehanna Co.	0	0	812	812
	UNION-SNYDER TRANS, ALLIANCE	0	0	0	0
		0	0	1 1 17	1 1 1 7
	WATNE COUNTY	0	0	1,147	1,147
	Shared Bide Total	0	0	22.400	22.490
se d	Silareu-Riue Totai	U	U	22,109	22,109
	Bucks County Transport	0	638	0	638
	Chester County TMA	0	929	0	929
cie er	Philadelphia I Inemployment Project	0	367	0	367
ju th		0	307	0	307
0 g	Prillip Prilasn	0	918	0	918
<	ACTA	0	668	0	668
	Heritage Health Foundation	0	887	0	887
	Other Agency Total	ů.	4 407	ů.	4 407
		07.000	4,407	U	4,407
	Pennuo i Discretion	27,630	0	0	27,630
	Other Unallocated (Urban/Rural)	41,990	27,656	0	69,646
	CRAND TOTAL	552 540	1 133 906	83 423	1 769 869

Appendix 6: 2023 Estimated State Transit Funds (\$000)

* Act 89 allocates Asset Improvement funds in the following way - PennDOT 5%, the remaining 95% is distributed as follows - SEPTA 69.4%, PAAC 22.6% and other systems 8%. This projection is for SFY 22-23.

Distribution for all fiscal years is based on FY 2018-19 operating statistics and uses SFY20-21 allocations. Additional operating funding is projected using estimated revenues. The additional funding will be distributed using performance factors from the prior year and is captured on the "Other Unallocated" line, under the 1513 Operating column.

@ Shared Ride allocation in SFY 18-19 equal the actual grants for both the Shared-Ride and PwD Programs. In subsequent years, the FY 18-19 Shared-Ride amounts are prorated based on the reduction of available lottery funding for the program in FY 19-20. PwD amounts remain constant.

Date Prepared: 5/25/2021
		Asset *	Operating #		
	OPERATOR	Improvement	Assistance	Shared Ride @	Total
	SEDTA	360 550	711 527	15 100	1 006 177
	Krapfa Caaab Chaatar	000,000	111,521	10,100	1,030,177
	Kiapi s Coach - Chester	0	10	0	10
	Opper Merion	0	19	0	19
	PAAC	120,340	244,850	12,500	377,690
	AMTRAN Blair	0	3,316	0	3,316
	BCTA Beaver	0	4,195	591	4,786
	CAT Dauphin	0	9,437	1,380	10,817
	CATA Centre	0	7,631	293	7,924
	CCTA Cambria	0	7.527	921	8.448
	COLTS Lackawanna	0	7 563	1 946	9 509
	CPTA - Adams Columbia Cumberland Franklin	0	1,000	1,040	0,000
	Montour Northumborland Porny Snydor Union				
	Montour, Northumbenand, Perry, Snyder, Onion	0	7.000	5 700	40.000
	and fork	0	7,620	5,700	13,320
z	EMTA Erie	0	10,882	1,216	12,098
₹ S	FACT Fayette	0	1,326	577	1,903
R	HPT Hazleton	0	2,175	0	2,175
>	LANTA Lehigh-Northampton	0	19,085	3,628	22,713
	LCTA Luzerne	0	6 4 1 2	694	7 106
	Martz	0	13	-000 0	13
		0	0.157	E01	2 720
		0	2,137	301	2,730
	MMVTA Mid Mon Valley	0	3,173	0	3,173
	MCIA Monroe	0	2,233	1,372	3,605
	Pottstown Montgomery	0	1,407	0	1,407
	SCTA South Central	0	17,665	4,612	22,277
	SVSS Shenango Valley	0	868	963	1,831
	WCTA Washington	0	1.639	2.215	3.854
	WBT Williamsport	0	4 643	_,0	4 643
	WCTA Westmoreland	0	/ 351	1 657	6,008
	Upplloasted Other Urban Systems	0	4,001	1,007	0,000
		0	1 004 700	55.040	4 007 500
	Urban Totai	489,890	1,081,732	55,946	1,627,568
	ATA	0	6,001	453	6,454
	BTA Butler	0	1.031	0	1.031
	Carbon	0	273	506	779
	CATA Crawford	0	1 519	785	2 303
		0	1,010	100	2,303
	EMTA Endless Mths.	0	1,149	1,291	2,440
22	ICTA Indiana	0	1,855	408	2,263
Ð	Mid-County Armstrong	0	657	315	972
<u>u</u>	Mt. Carmel	0	342	0	342
	NCATA New Castle	0	4,783	0	4,783
	STS Schuylkill	0	1,747	1,032	2,779
	TAWC Warren	0	755	498	1,253
					.,====
	Rural Total	0	20,111	5,288	25,399
	ALLIED COORD. TRANS. (Lawrence Co.)	0	0	420	420
	BLAIR COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	0	0	1.156	1.156
	BUCKS COUNTY TRANSPORT INC	0	0	2 897	2 897
		0	0	457	457
		0	0	451	401
		0	0	000	000
	CLARION COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	COMMUNITY TRANS OF DELAWARE	0	0	3,012	3,012
2	FOREST COUNTY	0	0	358	358
ő	GREENE COUNTY	0	0	379	379
e	HUNTINGDON-BEDFORD-FULTON AAA	0	0	1.159	1.159
Sic	K-CAB (Columbia Co.)	0	0	.,.00	0
5	KRARE'S (Chaster Co.)	0	0	0 715	0 715
le		0	0	2,110	2,715
ha	MIFFLIN-JUNIATA AA ON AGING	0	0	430	430
S	PERRY COUNTY	0	0	0	0
	PIKE COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	SOMERSET COUNTY	0	0	249	249
	STEP (Clinton/ Lycoming)	0	0	1,015	1,015
	SUBURBAN TRANS (Montgomery)	0	0	4.390	4.390
	Susquebanna Co	0	0	812	812
		0	0	012	012
	WAYNE COUNTY	0	0	1 1 4 7	4 4 4 7
		0	0	1,147	1,147
	Shared-Ride Total	0	0	22,189	22,189
	Bucks County Transport	0	638		639
(0	Chostor County TMA	0	000	0	000
re ies	Difference County TWA	0	929	0	929
nci	Philadelphia Unemployment Project	0	367	0	367
ge Q	Philly Phlash	0	918	0	918
Ā	ACTA	0	668	0	668
	Heritage Health Foundation	0	887	0	887
	Other Agency Total	0	4,407	0	4,407
	PennDOT Discretion	28.030	0	0	28 030
	Other Linallocated (Lirban/Rural)	12 600	56 004	0	08 604
	CRAND TOTAL	560 520	1 162 254	83 422	1 806 197

Appendix 6: 2024 Estimated State Transit Funds (\$000)

* Act 89 allocates Asset Improvement funds in the following way - PennDOT 5%, the remaining 95% is distributed as follows - SEPTA 69.4%, PAAC 22.6% and other systems 8%. This projection is for SFY 23-24.

Distribution for all fiscal years is based on FY 2018-19 operating statistics and uses SFY20-21 allocations. Additional operating funding is projected using estimated revenues. The additional funding will be distributed using performance factors from the prior year and is captured on the "Other Unallocated" line, under the 1513 Operating column.

@ Shared Ride allocation in SFY 18-19 equal the actual grants for both the Shared-Ride and PwD Programs. In subsequent years, the FY 18-19 Shared-Ride amounts are prorated based on the reduction of available lottery funding for the program in FY 19-20. PwD amounts remain constant.

	OPERATOR	Asset * Improvement	Operating # Assistance	Shared Ride @	Total
	SEPTA	373,780	711,527	15,100	1,100,407
	Krapf's Coach - Chester	0	18	0	18
	Upper Merion	0	19	0	19
	PAAC	121,720	244,850	12,500	379,070
	AMTRAN Blair	0	3,316	0	3,316
	BCTA Beaver	0	4,195	591	4,786
	CAT Dauphin	0	9,437	1,380	10,817
	CATA Centre	0	7,031	293	7,924
		0	7,527	921	0,440
	CPTA Adams Columbia Cumberland	0	7,505	1,940	9,509
	Franklin Montour Northumberland Perry				
	Snyder Union and York	0	7 620	5 700	13 320
-	EMTA Erie	0	10.882	1,216	12.098
AP M	FACT Fayette	0	1,326	577	1,903
R	HPT Hazleton	0	2,175	0	2,175
	LANTA Lehigh-Northampton	0	19,085	3,628	22,713
	LCTA Luzerne	0	6,412	694	7,106
	Martz	0	13	0	13
	LT Lebanon	0	2,157	581	2,738
	MMVTA Mid Mon Valley	0	3,173	0	3,173
	MCIA Monroe	0	2,233	1,372	3,605
	Pottstown Montgomery	0	1,407	0	1,407
	SUSS Shenango Valley	0	17,003	4,012	1 831
	WCTA Washington	0	1 639	2 215	3 854
	WBT Williamsport	0	4 643	2,210	4 643
	WCTA Westmoreland	0	4,351	1.657	6.008
	Unallocated Other Urban Systems	0	0	0	0
	Urban Total	495,500	1,081,732	55,946	1,633,178
	ATA	0	6,001	453	6,454
	BTA Butler	0	1,031	0	1,031
	Carbon	0	273	506	779
	CATA Crawford	0	1,518	785	2,303
F	EMTA Endless Mtns.	0	1,149	1,291	2,440
22	ICIA Indiana	0	1,855	408	2,263
R	Mt. Cormol	0	007	315	972
		0	1 783	0	042 1 783
	STS Schuylkill	0	1 747	1 032	2 779
	TAWC Warren	0	755	498	1.253
	Rural Total	0	20,111	5,288	25,399
	ALLIED COORD. TRANS. (Lawrence Co.)	0	0	420	420
	BLAIR COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	0	0	1,156	1,156
	BUCKS COUNTY TRANSPORT, INC.	0	0	2,897	2,897
	BUTLER COUNTY	0	0	457	457
	CENTRE COUNTY	0	0	653	653
		0	0	470	470
>		0	0	3,012	3,012
a la		0	0	320	300
e		0	0	1 150	1 159
Rid	K-CAB (Columbia Co.)	0	0	1,100	1,133
-	KRAPF'S (Chester Co.)	0	0	2,715	2.715
are	MIFFLIN-JUNIATA AA ON AGING	0	0	430	430
sh	PERRY COUNTY	0	0	0	0
	PIKE COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	SOMERSET COUNTY	0	0	249	249
	STEP (Clinton/ Lycoming)	0	0	1,015	1,015
	SUBURBAN TRANS (Montgomery)	0	0	4,390	4,390
		0	0	812	812
		0	0	1 4 4 7	0
	Shared Bide Total	0	0	1,147	22 180
	Bucks County Transport	0	638	22,109 0	638
ŝ	Chester County TMA	0	929	0	929
ler cie	Philadelphia Unemployment Project	0	367	0	367
Oth Jen	Philly Phlash	0	918	0	918
Ag A	ACTA	0	668	0	668
	Heritage Health Foundation	0	887	0	887
	Other Agency Total	0	4,407	0	4,407
	PennDOT Discretion	28,350	0	0	28,350
	Other Unallocated (Urban/Rural)	43,090	85,060	0	128,150
	GRANDIUTAL	566,940	1,191,310	83,423	1,841,6/3

Appendix 6: 2025 Estimated State Transit Funds (\$000)

* Act 89 allocates Asset Improvement funds in the following way - PennDOT 5%, the remaining 95% is distributed as follows - SEPTA 69.4%, PAAC 22.6% and other systems 8%. This projection is for SFY 24-25.

Distribution for all fiscal years is based on FY 2018-19 operating statistics and uses SFY20-21 allocations. Additional operating funding is projected using estimated revenues. The additional funding will be distributed using performance factors from the prior year and is captured on the "Other Unallocated" line, under the 1513 Operating column. @ Shared Ride allocation in SFY 18-19 equal the actual grants for both the Shared-Ride and PwD Programs. In subsequent

	OPERATOR	Asset *	Operating #	Shared Ride @	Total
	SEPTA	373.360	711.527	15,100	1.099.987
	Krapf's Coach - Chester	0/0,000	18	0	18
	Upper Merion	0	19	0	19
	PAAC	121,580	244,850	12,500	378,930
	AMTRAN Blair	0	3,316	0	3,316
	BCTA Beaver	0	4,195	591	4,786
	CATA Contro	0	9,437	1,380	10,817
	CCTA Centre	0	7,031	293	7,924
	COLTS Lackawanna	0	7,527	1 946	9,509
	CPTA Adams, Columbia, Cumberland,		1,000	1,010	0,000
	Franklin, Montour, Northumberland, Perry,				
	Snyder, Union and York	0	7,620	5,700	13,320
z	EMTA Erie	0	10,882	1,216	12,098
BA	FACT Fayette	0	1,326	577	1,903
R	HPI Hazleton	0	2,175	0	2,175
	LAN IA Lenign-Northampton	0	19,085	3,628	22,713
	Martz	0	0,412	094	7,100
	LT Lebanon	0	2,157	581	2,738
	MMVTA Mid Mon Valley	0	3,173	0	3,173
	MCTA Monroe	0	2,233	1,372	3,605
	Pottstown Montgomery	0	1,407	0	1,407
	SCTA South Central	0	17,665	4,612	22,277
	SVSS Shenango Valley	0	868	963	1,831
	WCIA Washington	0	1,639	2,215	3,854
	WGT Westmoreland	0	4,043	1 657	4,043
	Unallocated Other Urban Systems	0	4,331	1,037	0,008
	Urban Total	494,940	1,081,732	55,946	1,632,618
	ATA	0	6,001	453	6,454
	BTA Butler	0	1,031	0	1,031
	Carbon	0	273	506	779
	CATA Crawford	0	1,518	785	2,303
Ł	EMIA Endless Mtns.	0	1,149	1,291	2,440
NAL 1	ICTA Indiana Mid-County Armstrong	0	1,855	408	2,203
R	Mt Carmel	0	342	010	342
	NCATA New Castle	0	4,783	0	4,783
	STS Schuylkill	0	1,747	1,032	2,779
	TAWC Warren	0	755	498	1,253
-	Rural Total	0	20,111	5,288	25,399
	ALLIED COURD. TRANS. (Lawrence Co.)	0	0	420	420
		0	0	1,150	1,150
	BUTLER COUNTY	0	0	2,097	2,097
	CENTRE COUNTY	0	0	653	653
	CLARION COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	COMMUNITY TRANS OF DELAWARE	0	0	3,012	3,012
- Au	FOREST COUNTY	0	0	358	358
ō	GREENE COUNTY	0	0	379	379
ide	HUNTINGDON-BEDFORD-FULTON AAA	0	0	1,159	1,159
2 2 2		0	0	0 745	0 715
arec		0	0	2,715	2,715
She	PERRY COUNTY	0	0		430
	PIKE COUNTY	0	0	470	470
	SOMERSET COUNTY	0	0	249	249
	STEP (Clinton/ Lycoming)	0	0	1,015	1,015
	SUBURBAN TRANS (Montgomery)	0	0	4,390	4,390
	Susquehanna Co.	0	0	812	812
	UNION-SNYDER TRANS. ALLIANCE	0	0	0	0
	Shared Pide Total	0	0	1,147	1,147
	Bucks County Transport	0	638	22,109	638
ŝ	Chester County TMA	0	929	0	929
cie	Philadelphia Unemployment Project	0	367	0	367
Oth	Philly Phlash	0	918	0	918
Ă	ACTA	0	668	0	668
	Heritage Health Foundation	0	887	0	887
	Other Agency Total	0	4,407	0	4,407
	Other Lingligested (Urben/Burgl)	28,320	111 040	0	28,320
	GRAND TOTAL	566.300	1.221.093	83.423	1.870.816

Appendix 6: 2026 Estimated State Transit Funds (\$000)

* Act 89 allocates Asset Improvement funds in the following way - PennDOT 5%, the remaining 95% is distributed as follows - SEPTA 69.4%, PAAC 22.6% and other systems 8%. This projection is for SFY 25-26.

Distribution for all fiscal years is based on FY 2018-19 operating statistics and uses SFY20-21 allocations. Additional operating funding is projected using estimated revenues. The additional funding will be distributed using performance factors from the prior year and is captured on the "Other Unallocated" line, under the 1513 Operating column.

@ Shared Ride allocation in SFY 18-19 equal the actual grants for both the Shared-Ride and PwD Programs. In subsequent years, the FY 18-19 Shared-Ride amounts are prorated based on the reduction of available lottery funding for the program in FY 19-20. PwD amounts remain constant.

	OPERATOR	Asset *	Operating # Assistance	Shared Ride @	Total
	SEPTA	1,480,980	2,846,108	60,400	4,387,488
	Krapf's Coach - Chester	0	72	0	72
	Upper Merion	0	76	0	76
	PAAC	482,270	979,400	50,000	1,511,670
	AMTRAN Blair	0	13,264	0	13,264
	BCIA Beaver	0	16,780	2,364	19,144
		0	30,740	5,520 1 172	43,200 31.696
	CCTA Cambria	0	30,324	3 684	33 792
	COLTS Lackawanna	0	30,252	7,784	38.036
	CPTA Adams, Columbia, Cumberland,			.,	
	Franklin, Montour, Northumberland, Perry,				
	Snyder, Union and York	0	30,480	22,800	53,280
z	EMTA Erie	0	43,528	4,864	48,392
BA	FACT Fayette	0	5,304	2,308	7,612
R	HPT Hazleton	0	8,700	0	8,700
		0	25 6/8	2 776	90,002
	Martz	0	23,040	2,770	20,424
	LT Lebanon	0	8.628	2.324	10.952
	MMVTA Mid Mon Valley	0	12.692	0	12,692
	MCTA Monroe	0	8,932	5,488	14,420
	Pottstown Montgomery	0	5,628	0	5,628
	SCTA South Central	0	70,660	18,448	89,108
	SVSS Shenango Valley	0	3,472	3,852	7,324
	WCTA Washington	0	6,556	8,860	15,416
	WBT Williamsport	0	18,572	0	18,572
	WCIA Westmoreland	0	17,404	6,628	24,032
		1 963 250	4 326 928	223 784	6 513 962
		1,303,230	24 004	1 812	25.816
	BTA Butler	0	4,124	0	4.124
	Carbon	0	1,092	2,024	3,116
	CATA Crawford	0	6,072	3,140	9,212
	EMTA Endless Mtns.	0	4,596	5,164	9,760
RA	ICTA Indiana	0	7,420	1,632	9,052
ß	Mid-County Armstrong	0	2,628	1,260	3,888
_	Mt. Carmel	0	1,368	0	1,368
		0	19,132	0	19,132
		0	3 020	4,120	5.012
	Rural Total	0	80,444	21,152	101.596
	ALLIED COORD. TRANS. (Lawrence Co.)	0	0	1,680	1,680
	BLAIR COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	0	0	4,624	4,624
	BUCKS COUNTY TRANSPORT, INC.	0	0	11,588	11,588
	BUTLER COUNTY	0	0	1,828	1,828
	CENTRE COUNTY	0	0	2,612	2,612
		0	0	1,880	1,880
>	COMMUNITY TRANS OF DELAWARE	0	0	12,048	12,048
- Lu		0	0	1,432	1,432
e	HUNTINGDON-BEDEORD-EULTON AAA	0	0	4 636	4 636
Rid	K-CAB (Columbia Co.)	0	0	4,000	4,000
-be	KRAPF'S (Chester Co.)	0	0	10,860	10,860
are	MIFFLIN-JUNIATA AA ON AGING	0	0	1,720	1,720
۲s ۲	PERRY COUNTY	0	0	0	0
	PIKE COUNTY	0	0	1,880	1,880
	SOMERSET COUNTY	0	0	996	996
	STEP (Clinton/ Lycoming)	0	0	4,060	4,060
	SUBURBAN TRANS (Montgomery)	0	0	17,560	17,560
		0	0	3,240	3,240
	WAYNE COUNTY	0	0	4 588	4 588
	Shared-Ride Total	0	0	88.756	88.756
	Bucks County Transport	0	2,552	0	2,552
es	Chester County TMA	0	3,716	0	3,716
hei	Philadelphia Unemployment Project	0	1,468	0	1,468
Ot	Philly Phlash	0	3,672	0	3,672
∢	ACTA	0	2,672	0	2,672
	Other Agency Total	0	3,548	0	3,548
	PennDOT Discretion	112 330	020	0	112 330
	Other Unallocated (Urban/Rural)	170.720	283.563	0	454.283
	GRAND TOTAL	2,246,300	4,708,563	333,692	7,288,555

Appendix 6: 2023-2026 Estimated State Transit Funds (\$000)

 * Act 89 allocates Asset Improvement funds in the following way - PennDOT 5%, the remaining 95% is distributed as follows - SEPTA 69.4%, PAAC 22.6% and other systems 8%.

Distribution for all fiscal years is based on FY 2018-19 operating statistics and uses SFY20-21 allocations. Additional operating funding is projected using estimated revenues. The additional funding will be distributed using performance factors from the prior year and is captured on the "Other Unallocated" line, under the 1513 Operating column.

@ Shared Ride allocation in SFY 18-19 equal the actual grants for both the Shared-Ride and PwD Programs. In subsequent years, the FY 18-19 Shared-Ride amounts are prorated based on the reduction of available lottery funding for the program in FY 19-20. PwD amounts remain constant.

Appendix 7	Financial Guidance	Federal Transit Funding 2023-2026 (\$000)
------------	--------------------	---

Federal Transit				FFY 2023			
Urban Area	Urbanized Area (5307 & 5340)	5337 (State of Good Repair)	5310	5311+	Appalachia Funds+	5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities)	Total
Allentown-Bethlehem*	7,602	0	200	0	0	925	9,227
Altoona*	1,316	0	0	0	0	0	1,316
East Stroudsburg*	1,222	0	0	0	0	0	1,222
Erie*	4,261	0	0	0	0	0	4,261
Harrisburg*	5,534	0	457	0	0	582	6,573
Hanover*	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
Hazleton*	886	0	0	0	0	0	886
Johnstown*	1,612	14	0	0	0	0	1,626
Lancaster*	4,823	0	437	0	0	535	5,795
Lebanon*	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	1,148
Monessen*	1,482	0	0	0	0	0	1,482
Philadelphia**	105,112	123,572	3,499	0	0	8,529	240,712
Pittsburgh**	34,721	22,434	1,905	0	0	3,291	62,351
Pottstown*	1,431	0	0	0	0	0	1,431
Reading*	3,728	0	282	0	0	425	4,435
Scranton/Wilkes-Barre*	5,009	0	677	0	0	568	6,026
Sharon*	725	0	51	0	0	74	850
State College*	3,389	0	0	0	0	0	3,389
Uniontown-Connellsville*	1,260	0	0	0	0	0	1,260
Williamsport*	2,579	0	0	0	0	0	2,579
York*	3,326	0	243	0	0	383	3,952
Large Urban	6,601	3,903	0	0	0	0	10,504
Small Urban	1,656	0	2,224	0	0	1,888	5,768
Large or Small Urban	0	11,785	0	0	0	3,500	15,285
Non Urbanized	0	0	2,486	21,578	0	0	24,064
Intercity Bus	0	0	0	3,808	0	0	3,808
Appalachian Counties	0	0	0	0	4,788	0	4,788
TOTALS	200,423	161,708	12,733	25,386	4,788	20,700	425,738

Date prepared: 5/25/2021 +These funds can be used for operating, capital or technical assistance * Systems that can use a portion of their federal 5307 funds for operating assistance ** Systems are not able to use their federal section 5307 funds for operating assistance

Appendix 7 Financial Guidance	Federal Transit Funding 2023-2026 (\$000)
----------------------------------	---

Federal Transit				FFY 2024			
Urban Area	Urbanized Area (5307 & 5340)	5337 (State of Good Repair)	5310	5311+	Appalachian Funds+	5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities)	Total
Allentown-Bethlehem*	7,602	0	200	0	0	925	9,227
Altoona*	1,316	0	0	0	0	0	1,316
East Stroudsburg*	1,222	0	0	0	0	0	1,222
Erie*	4,261	0	0	0	0	0	4,261
Harrisburg*	5,534	0	457	0	0	582	6,573
Hanover*	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
Hazleton*	886	0	0	0	0	0	886
Johnstown*	1,612	14	0	0	0	0	1,626
Lancaster*	4,823	0	437	0	0	535	5,795
Lebanon*	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	1,148
Monessen*	1,482	0	0	0	0	0	1,482
Philadelphia**	105,112	123,572	3,499	0	0	8,529	240,712
Pittsburgh**	34,721	22,434	1,905	0	0	3,291	62,351
Pottstown*	1,431	0	0	0	0	0	1,431
Reading*	3,728	0	282	0	0	425	4,435
Scranton/Wilkes-Barre*	5,009	0	449	0	0	568	6,026
Sharon*	725	0	19	0	0	74	850
State College*	3,389	0	0	0	0	0	3,389
Uniontown-Connellsville*	1,260	0	0	0	0	0	1,260
Williamsport*	2,579	0	0	0	0	0	2,579
York*	3,326	0	243	0	0	383	3,952
Large Urban	6,601	3,903	0	0	0	0	10,504
Small Urban	1,656	0	2,224	0	0	1,888	5,768
Large or Small Urban	0	11,785	0	0	0	3,500	15,285
Non Urbanized	0	0	2,486	21,578	0	0	24,064
Intercity Bus	0	0	0	3,808	0	0	3,808
Appalachian Counties	0	0	0	0	4,788	0	4,788
TOTALS	200,423	161,708	12,733	25,386	4,788	20,700	425,738

Date prepared: 5/25/2021 +These funds can be used for operating, capital or technical assistance * Systems that can use a portion of their federal 5307 funds for operating assistance ** Systems are not able to use their federal section 5307 funds for operating assistance

Appendix 7	Financial Guidance	Federal Transit Funding 2023-2026 (\$000)
------------	--------------------	---

Federal Transit				FFY 2025			
Urban Area	Urbanized Area (5307 & 5340)	5337 (State of Good Repair)	5310	5311+	Appalachian Funds+	5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities)	Total
Allentown-Bethlehem*	7,602	0	200	0	0	925	9,227
Altoona*	1,316	0	0	0	0	0	1,316
East Stroudsburg*	1,222	0	0	0	0	0	1,222
Erie*	4,261	0	0	0	0	0	4,261
Harrisburg*	5,534	0	457	0	0	582	6,573
Hanover*	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
Hazleton*	886	0	0	0	0	0	886
Johnstown*	1,612	14	0	0	0	0	1,626
Lancaster*	4,823	0	437	0	0	535	5,795
Lebanon*	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	1,148
Monessen*	1,482	0	0	0	0	0	1,482
Philadelphia**	105,112	123,572	3,499	0	0	8,529	240,712
Pittsburgh**	34,721	22,434	1,905	0	0	3,291	62,351
Pottstown*	1,431	0	0	0	0	0	1,431
Reading*	3,728	0	282	0	0	425	4,435
Scranton/Wilkes-Barre*	5,009	0	677	0	0	568	6,026
Sharon*	725	0	51	0	0	74	850
State College*	3,389	0	0	0	0	0	3,389
Uniontown-Connellsville*	1,260	0	0	0	0	0	1,260
Williamsport*	2,579	0	0	0	0	0	2,579
York*	3,326	0	243	0	0	383	3,952
Large Urban	6,601	3,903	0	0	0	0	10,504
Small Urban	1,656	0	2,224	0	0	1,888	5,768
Large or Small Urban	0	11,785	0	0	0	3,500	15,285
Non Urbanized	0	0	2,486	21,578	0	0	24,064
Intercity Bus	0	0	0	3,808	0	0	3,808
Appalachian Counties	0	0	0	0	4,788	0	4,788
TOTALS	200,423	161,708	12,733	25,386	4,788	20,700	425,738

Date prepared: 5/25/2021 +These funds can be used for operating, capital or technical assistance * Systems that can use a portion of their federal 5307 funds for operating assistance ** Systems are not able to use their federal section 5307 funds for operating assistance

Appendix 7	Financial Guidance	Federal Transit Funding 2023-2026 (\$000)
------------	--------------------	---

Federal Transit				FFY 2026			
Urban Area	Urbanized Area (5307 & 5340)	5337 (State of Good Repair)	5310	5311+	Appalachian Funds+	5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities)	Total
Allentown-Bethlehem*	7,602	0	200	0	0	925	9,227
Altoona*	1,316	0	0	0	0	0	1,316
East Stroudsburg*	1,222	0	0	0	0	0	1,222
Erie*	4,261	0	0	0	0	0	4,261
Harrisburg*	5,534	0	457	0	0	582	6,573
Hanover*	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
Hazleton*	886	0	0	0	0	0	886
Johnstown*	1,612	71	0	0	0	0	1,626
Lancaster*	4,823	0	437	0	0	535	5,795
Lebanon*	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	1,148
Monessen*	1,482	0	0	0	0	0	1,482
Philadelphia**	105,112	123,572	3,499	0	0	8,529	240,712
Pittsburgh**	34,721	22,434	1,905	0	0	3,291	62,351
Pottstown*	1,431	0	0	0	0	0	1,431
Reading*	3,728	0	282	0	0	425	4,435
Scranton/Wilkes-Barre*	5,009	0	449	0	0	568	6,026
Sharon*	725	0	51	0	0	74	850
State College*	3,389	0	0	0	0	0	3,389
Uniontown-Connellsville*	1,260	0	0	0	0	0	1,260
Williamsport*	2,579	0	0	0	0	0	2,579
York*	3,326	0	243	0	0	383	3,952
Large Urban	6,601	3,903	0	0	0	0	10,504
Small Urban	1,656	0	2,224	0	0	1,888	5,768
Large or Small Urban	0	11,785	0	0	0	3,500	15,285
Non Urbanized	0	0	2,486	21,578	0	0	24,064
Intercity Bus	0	0	0	3,808	0	0	3,808
Appalachian Counties	0	0	0	0	4,788	0	4,788
TOTALS	200,423	161,708	12,733	25,386	4,788	20,700	425,738

Date prepared: 5/25/2021

+These funds can be used for operating, capital or technical assistance * Systems that can use a portion of their federal 5307 funds for operating assistance ** Systems are not able to use their federal section 5307 funds for operating assistance

Appendix 7	Financial Guidance	Federal Transit Funding 2023-2026 (\$000
------------	--------------------	--

Federal Transit			Tota	I FFY 2023 - FFY 2	2026		
Urban Area	Urbanized Area (5307 & 5340)	5337 (State of Good Repair)	5310	5311+	Appalachian Funds+	5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities)	Total
Allentown-Bethlehem*	30,408	0	2,800	0	0	3,700	36,908
Altoona*	5,264	0	0	0	0	0	5,264
East Stroudsburg*	4,888	0	0	0	0	0	4,888
Erie*	17,044	0	0	0	0	0	17,044
Harrisburg*	22,136	0	1,828	0	0	2,328	26,292
Hanover*	4,000	0	0	0	0	0	4,000
Hazleton*	3,544	0	0	0	0	0	3,544
Johnstown*	6,448	56	0	0	0	0	6,504
Lancaster*	19,292	0	1,748	0	0	2,140	23,180
Lebanon*	4,592	0	0	0	0	0	4,592
Monessen*	5,928	0	0	0	0	0	5,928
Philadelphia**	420,448	494,288	13,996	0	0	34,116	962,848
Pittsburgh**	138,884	89,736	7,620	0	0	13,164	249,404
Pottstown*	5,724	0	0	0	0	0	5,724
Reading*	14,912	0	1,128	0	0	1,700	17,740
Scranton/Wilkes-Barre*	20,036	0	1,796	0	0	2,272	24,104
Sharon*	2,900	0	206	0	0	296	3,402
State College*	13,556	0	0	0	0	0	13,556
Uniontown-Connellsville*	5,040	0	0	0	0	0	5,040
Williamsport*	10,316	0	0	0	0	0	10,316
York*	13,304	0	672	0	0	1,532	15,808
Large Urban	26,404	15,612	0	0	0	0	42,016
Small Urban	6,624	0	8,896	0	0	7,552	23,072
Large or Small Urban	0	47,140	0	0	0	14,000	61,140
Non Urbanized	0	0	9,944	86,312	0	0	96,256
Intercity Bus	0	0	0	15,232	0	0	15,232
Appalachian Counties	0	0	0	0	19,152	0	19,152
TOTALS	801,692	646,832	50,934	101,544	19,152	82,800	1,702,954

Date prepared: 5/25/2021

+These funds can be used for operating, capital or technical assistance * Systems that can use a portion of their federal 5307 funds for operating assistance ** Systems are not able to use their federal section 5307 funds for operating assistance

Appendix 8 2023-2026 Federal and State Transit Funding by Region (000\$)

		2023			2024			2025			2026			TOTAL	
Region	Federal Transit	State Transit	Total												
DVRPC	242,143	1,108,227	1,350,370	242,143	1,113,487	1,355,630	242,143	1,117,717	1,359,860	242,143	1,117,297	1,359,440	968,572	4,456,728	5,425,300
SPC	65,093	407,564	472,657	65,093	409,274	474,367	65,093	410,654	475,747	65,093	410,514	475,607	260,372	1,638,006	1,898,378
Harrisburg	6,573	10,817	17,390	6,573	10,817	17,390	6,573	10,817	17,390	6,573	10,817	17,390	26,292	43,268	69,560
Scranton/WB	6,912	18,803	25,715	6,912	18,803	25,715	6,912	18,803	25,715	6,912	18,803	25,715	27,648	75,212	102,860
Lehigh Valley	9,227	22,713	31,940	9,227	22,713	31,940	9,227	22,713	31,940	9,227	22,713	31,940	36,908	90,852	127,760
NEPA	1,222	7,633	8,855	1,222	7,633	8,855	1,222	7,633	8,855	1,222	7,633	8,855	4,888	30,532	35,420
SEDA-COG	0	772	772	0	772	772	0	772	772	0	772	772	0	3,088	3,088
Altoona	1,316	4,472	5,788	1,316	4,472	5,788	1,316	4,472	5,788	1,316	4,472	5,788	5,264	17,888	23,152
Johnstown	1,626	8,448	10,074	1,626	8,448	10,074	1,626	8,448	10,074	1,626	8,448	10,074	6,504	33,792	40,296
Centre County	3,389	8,577	11,966	3,389	8,577	11,966	3,389	8,577	11,966	3,389	8,577	11,966	13,556	34,308	47,864
Williamsport	2,579	5,658	8,237	2,579	5,658	8,237	2,579	5,658	8,237	2,579	5,658	8,237	10,316	22,632	32,948
Erie	4,261	12,098	16,359	4,261	12,098	16,359	4,261	12,098	16,359	4,261	12,098	16,359	17,044	48,392	65,436
Lancaster	5,795	0	5,795	5,795	0	5,795	5,795	0	5,795	5,795	0	5,795	23,180	0	23,180
York	4,952	0	4,952	4,952	0	4,952	4,952	0	4,952	4,952	0	4,952	19,808	0	19,808
Reading	4,435	0	4,435	4,435	0	4,435	4,435	0	4,435	4,435	0	4,435	17,740	0	17,740
Lebanon	1,148	2,738	3,886	1,148	2,738	3,886	1,148	2,738	3,886	1,148	2,738	3,886	4,592	10,952	15,544
Mercer	850	1,831	2,681	850	1,831	2,681	850	1,831	2,681	850	1,831	2,681	3,402	7,324	10,726
Adams	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Urban	361,521	1,620,351	1,981,872	361,521	1,627,321	1,988,842	361,521	1,632,931	1,994,452	361,521	1,632,371	1,993,892	1,446,086	6,512,974	7,959,060
Northwest	0	4,384	4,384	0	4,384	4,384	0	4,384	4,384	0	4,384	4,384	0	17,536	17,536
N. Central	0	6,454	6,454	0	6,454	6,454	0	6,454	6,454	0	6,454	6,454	0	25,816	25,816
N. Tier	0	3,252	3,252	0	3,252	3,252	0	3,252	3,252	0	3,252	3,252	0	13,008	13,008
S. Alleghenies	0	1,408	1,408	0	1,408	1,408	0	1,408	1,408	0	1,408	1,408	0	5,632	5,632
Wayne County	0	1,147	1,147	0	1,147	1,147	0	1,147	1,147	0	1,147	1,147	0	4,588	4,588
Total Rural	0	16,645	16,645	0	16,645	16,645	0	16,645	16,645	0	16,645	16,645	0	66,580	66,580
Unallocated	64,217	97,276	161,493	64,217	126,634	190,851	64,217	156,500	220,717	64,217	186,203	250,420	256,868	566,613	823,481
Multiple SCTA*	0	22,277	22,277	0	22,277	22,277	0	22,277	22,277	0	22,277	22,277	0	89,108	89,108
Multiple CPTA*	0	13,320	13,320	0	13,320	13,320	0	13,320	13,320	0	13,320	13,320	0	53,280	53,280
Grand Total	425,738	1,769,869	2,195,608	425,738	1,806,197	2,231,935	425,738	1,841,673	2,267,412	425,738	1,870,816	2,296,554	1,702,954	7,288,555	8,991,509

* Section 5311 Federal Funding is discretionary and based on annual approval of budget deficits up to total amount appropriated for Pennsylvania. * Operating Assistance for South Central Transit is shared by the Lancaster and Reading MPOs * Operating assistance for Central Pennsylvania Transportation Authority is shared amongst Adams, SEDA-COG, Harrisburg, Franklin and York MPOs

June 29, 2021

PENNSYLVANIA'S 2023 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM GENERAL AND PROCEDURAL GUIDANCE

INTRODUCTION	1
REQUIREMENTS	2
Public Participation	4
Title VI	5
Tribal Consultation	6
Self-Certification	6
Project Selection	7
PennDOT Connects	8
Long Range Transportation Plans	9
Transportation Performance Management	10
Safety	13
Pavement and Bridge Asset Management	16
System Performance	19
Transportation Systems Management and Operations	20
The National Highway Freight Program	
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program	22
Congestion Management Process	25
Environmental Justice	26
Transit	27
FISCAL CONSTRAINT	
Line Items	29
Programming	29
AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY	
STATEWIDE PROGRAMS	
Interstate Program	33
Railway-Highway Crossings Program	
Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside	
Spike Funding	35
PUBLIC COMMENT	35
TIP SUBMISSION	
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	
APPENDICES	
Appendix 1 – 2023 Transportation Program Development Schedule	40
Appendix 2 – PennDOT Design Manual 1A (Process Chart)	
Appendix 3 – TIP Submission Checklist	

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this General and Procedural Guidance document is to meet federal and state requirements for the development and documentation of the Pennsylvania 2023-2026 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and the regional Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs). This includes, but is not limited to, <u>23</u> USC Section 134, <u>23</u> USC Section 135, <u>23</u> CFR 450.200, <u>23</u> CFR 450.300, and <u>23</u> CFR 490, as well as <u>PA</u> Consolidated Statute (CS) Title 74 and <u>PA</u> Code Title 67. As referenced in the <u>Pennsylvania FFY 2021-2024 STIP Federal Planning Finding</u>, these regulations guide the development process of the 2023 Transportation Program within the context of multiple interrelated, intergovernmental planning functions. The <u>Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century</u> (MAP-21) Act required the use of a performance-based approach to transportation planning which was continued under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. Performance-Based Planning and Programming (PBPP) refers to the application of performance management within the planning and programming process to achieve the desired performance outcomes for Pennsylvania's transportation system.

The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) undertakes these activities together with other agencies, stakeholders, and the public to ensure that transportation investment decisions align with established targets and goals. These activities are carried out as part of a cooperative, continuing, and comprehensive (3C) planning process which guides the development of many PBPP documents, including:

- Statewide and Regional Long Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs)
- 12-Year Transportation Program (TYP)
- State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
- Regional Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs)
- Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP)
- Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plans
- Pennsylvania Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
- Comprehensive Freight Movement Plan (CFMP)
- Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Performance Plan(s)
- Congestion Management Process (CMP)

This guidance document is a collaborative product jointly developed by PennDOT [PennDOT Executives, the Center for Program Development and Management (CPDM), Bureau of Maintenance and Operations (BOMO), Bureau of Project Delivery (BPD), Bureau of Public Transportation (BPT), Bureau of Equal Opportunity (BEO), and Engineering Districts], the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and Rural Planning Organizations (RPOs), and Federal Partners, including the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

This guidance reflects the performance-based planning approach to transportation planning, underscores the importance of the 3C process and identifies opportunities for collaboration. This guidance also lays out requirements for the documentation of the TIP development process and describes how project selection and prioritization will support Transportation Performance Management (TPM). With these changes, the regional TIPs will continue to evolve into more narrative-based planning documents, similar to the regional LRTPs.

This document will oversee the development process of the 2023 Transportation Program (STIP, TIPs, and TYP) and demonstrate the implementation of the TAMP. The transportation planning process is by its very nature fluid and subject to change. By working closely together, PennDOT, the MPOs/RPOs, and FHWA/FTA will strive to continuously improve the program development process. Therefore, this guidance document will be updated every two years to reflect changes in state or federal legislation, regulation, or policy. This document includes numerous hyperlinks that support program development.

REQUIREMENTS

This guidance document provides references and links included in the text as support tools that users may find helpful in developing a broader understanding of the program development process.

The planning context for program development is a complex process that involves multiple elements, including planning and programming rules and regulations, transportation plans, data systems, and other programs that support and inform the program development process. To help understand the complex planning requirements for all stakeholders, PennDOT, in cooperation with the MPOs/RPOs and FHWA/FTA, developed the <u>Guidebook for Pennsylvania's MPOs and RPOs</u>. This guidebook provides a core source of information for planning and programming in Pennsylvania, including an initial documentation of roles, responsibilities, and requirements.

The initial part of the program development process is the update of the Financial Guidance and General and Procedural Guidance documents. Representation from PennDOT Central Office, PennDOT Districts, the MPOs/RPOs, and FHWA/FTA participate in work groups to update these documents. These two documents are the foundation of the program update process. The 2023 Transportation Program development schedule is available in Appendix 1.

<u>PA Act 120 of 1970</u>, enacted from Senate Bill 408, created PennDOT and the State Transportation Commission (STC). The STC is a 15-member body, chaired by the Pennsylvania Secretary of Transportation, which serves as the Board of Directors to PennDOT. The STC provides policy driven direction with respect to the development of Pennsylvania's TYP. PennDOT and STC work together with the MPOs/RPOs to develop several transportation planning documents, including the TYP. To satisfy the requirements of Act 120, PennDOT must prepare, update, and submit Pennsylvania's TYP to the STC for approval every two years.

The TYP is the Commonwealth's official transportation program and is a multimodal, fiscally constrained program of transportation improvements spanning a 12-year period. The TYP is divided into three four-year periods, with the first four years corresponding to the STIP and the regional TIPs. The TYP must be consistent with federal programming documents, such as the statewide and regional LRTPs.

	0										
FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY	FFY
2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
1 st F	our Year	s (STIP/T	'IPs)		2 nd Fou	r Years			3 rd Fou	r Years	
					יד —	ΥP ——					
					— ТА	MP ——					

12-Year Program Cycle for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2023-2034

Pennsylvania is required under <u>49 USC 5304(g)</u> and <u>23 USC 135(g)</u> to develop a STIP. Pennsylvania's STIP is a fiscally constrained four-year program of highway, bridge, and transit projects. The STIP is developed in cooperation with the MPOs/RPOs and public transportation agencies in the state and is consistent with the regional TIPs. The transportation projects on the STIP are consistent with the statewide and regional LRTPs. All projects that use Federal-aid funds must be listed in the STIP.

The STIP is the entire transportation program for the Commonwealth, which includes the Interstate and Statewide programs as well as the regional TIPs:



The Pennsylvania STIP is comprised of 26 individual TIPs:

- MPO TIPs (19)
- RPO TIPs (4)
- Independent County TIP (1)
- Statewide Items TIP (1)
- Interstate Management (IM) Program TIP (1)

PennDOT is responsible for statewide planning, while the MPOs/RPOs are responsible for transportation planning in their regions. Federal planning requirements <u>49 USC 5303(j)</u> and <u>23 USC 134(j)</u> require each MPO to develop a TIP at the local level. In Pennsylvania, the TIP is the first four years of the TYP. PennDOT has developed agreements with RPOs that position them as equals to MPOs. Therefore, in Pennsylvania, RPOs are held to the same requirements as MPOs with regards to the planning and programming process, which includes the development of individual TIPs, Statewide Items TIP, and Interstate Management (IM) Program TIP. PennDOT takes the lead in developing the independent county TIP. Each MPO/RPO TIP is a fiscally constrained program of upcoming transportation projects that reflect regional and local priorities over the next four years. Federal law requires TIPs to be updated at least every four years. In Pennsylvania the STIP/TIPs are updated every two years during the TYP process, based on the requirements of Act 120.

Within Pennsylvania, the characteristics of the PennDOT Engineering Districts and MPOs/RPOs vary greatly, between the land area and population of the region, the number of transportation resources present, and the staff available to support operations. PennDOT, the MPOs/RPOs, transit agencies, and FHWA/FTA recognize this and agree to work cooperatively to meet the federal and state program requirements.

The STIP and MPO/RPO TIPs are developed based upon mutual trust, data sharing, open communication and coordination at each program development step, which results in a consensus between PennDOT, the MPOs/RPOs, FHWA/FTA, and other interested stakeholders regarding the most effective use of

limited transportation resources. To kick off this process, PennDOT and FHWA/FTA recommend that MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT Engineering Districts schedule an early coordination meeting at the beginning of the TIP development process to discuss and agree upon roles and responsibilities, overall schedule, and key deadlines. PennDOT CPDM liaisons and FHWA/FTA planning staff are available to participate and assist, as needed. PennDOT and FHWA/FTA have developed a new coordination worksheet to aid this discussion. The **worksheet** can be found in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance Support</u> <u>Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

Each MPO/RPO, in coordination with their PennDOT CPDM representatives and their PennDOT District(s), will document the process used for regional TIP development. This documentation should include the project selection process, a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets, the individual roles and responsibilities of the MPO/RPO, PennDOT District(s) and Central Office, and a timeline. **Examples** can be found in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance</u> <u>Support Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

The project selection documentation described above is integral to the process and should be submitted in draft form with the draft list of projects in accordance with the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule available in Appendix 1. This will allow for early coordination with PennDOT Districts, CPDM, FHWA, and FTA for review and feedback prior to the draft TIP public comment period.

Public Participation

Public outreach is a key component of updating the Program. The release of the <u>2021 Transportation</u> <u>Performance Report</u> (TPR) by the STC on February 18, 2021 was the official start of the 2023 Program update process in Pennsylvania. PennDOT, the STC and the MPOs/RPOs welcomed the public to review the TPR prior to providing input and feedback on transportation priorities to help identify projects for the 2023 Program. The 2023 TYP update open public comment period took place from March 1 to April 14, 2021. During this comment period, the public was encouraged to take an online transportation survey to share their transportation priorities and concerns on STC's <u>Public Outreach</u> page and attend an <u>Online Public Meeting</u> hosted by the Secretary of Transportation, who is also STC Chair. During the Public Meeting, the findings of the 2021 TPR were presented and the public was given the opportunity to ask questions.

To increase public participation and gather as much feedback as possible, PennDOT, the STC and the MPOs/RPOs reinforced this public outreach effort by informing stakeholders and the public about the Transportation Survey and encouraging participation through both social and traditional media.

The public feedback collected through the transportation survey will be used to shape the 2023 TYP as well as the 2045 LRTP and the CFMP. Feedback was also shared with the BPT, Districts and MPOs/RPOs, who will consider these results in their project selection process for the TIP. The same process is utilized for the respective regional portions of the Program. STC's <u>How It Works</u> describes how PennDOT, the STC and the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) use a variety of tools including programs, plans and reports to complete the TYP Update Planning Process.

An integral part of the program development process involves meaningful public outreach and involvement. A Public Participation Plan (PPP) is a key element to ensure that all transportation related

activities are communicated and involve all members of the public, including traditionally underserved and protected populations. PennDOT Central Office, in coordination with the MPOs/RPOs and FHWA/FTA, develops and utilizes a <u>Statewide PPP</u> in accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.210</u>.

FHWA provides guidance to the MPOs/RPOs regarding <u>public involvement</u> requirements. The MPOs/RPOs are responsible for developing their own regional PPPs that outline the processes by which they ensure adequate involvement and input from various stakeholders, including elected officials, transportation agencies and service providers, businesses, special interest groups, disadvantaged populations, and the public. The MPOs/RPOs must post their own regional PPPs on their respective websites. The MPO/RPO PPPs must specifically identify how the MPOs/RPOs will notify the public of meetings, ensure access to meetings, and demonstrate how they will consider and respond to public input.

Title VI

As a recipient of federal funding, MPOs and RPOs must be in compliance with Title VI as outlined in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) <u>49 CFR § 21</u> (Nondiscrimination In Federally-Assisted Programs Of The Department Of Transportation - Effectuation Of Title VI Of The Civil Rights Act Of 1964) and the <u>FTA</u> <u>Circular 4702.1B</u> (Title VI Requirements and Guidelines for Federal Transit Administration Recipients). The FTA Circular 4702.1B requires that MPOs/RPOs (sub-recipients of federal funds) document their compliance by creating and submitting an approved Title VI Program document to PennDOT (the primary recipient). MPOs and RPOs should continue to coordinate with PennDOT through the Bureau of Equal Opportunity (BEO), Bureau of Public Transportation (BPT), and CPDM as well as with FTA and FHWA, as needed, for guidance, resources, and assistance in maintaining compliance. Recently, FTA Region III shared resources on the FTA Circular 4702.1B requirements. To learn more about Title VI and the overarching requirements of this and related statutes and authorities, please refer to PennDOT's <u>Title VI</u> webpage which addresses the full scope of the Department's civil rights obligations. Resources referenced above are available in the <u>Title VI folder</u> on SharePoint.

Planning processes must comply with <u>Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</u> that prohibits exclusion from participation in, denial of the benefits of, and discrimination under federally assisted programs on grounds of race, color, or national origin. Furthermore, PennDOT must comply with other federal and Commonwealth statutes and authorities that prohibit discrimination based on an individual or group's sex, age, religious creed, and/or disability. <u>PennDOT's Title VI Compliance and Implementation Plan</u> defines the policies and procedures by which the Department administers its Title VI activities and ensures its programs comply with Title VI requirements both within PennDOT and among its federal-aid sub-recipients.

PennDOT BEO, in coordination with PennDOT CPDM and FHWA, has crafted a template that can be used by the MPOs/RPOs as a general Title VI policy statement and complaint procedural notice. MPOs/RPOs that already maintain a Title VI Policy statement that addresses the principle points articulated in this template may maintain their existing statements or choose to modify this template to meet their organizational needs. Any Title VI statement should include the organization's name and Title VI Coordinator contact information. The Title VI Coordinator should be fully versed in the organization's

complaint and accommodation procedures and designated as the point of contact for public concerns and requests.

It is recommended that this <u>Title VI template</u> or a comparable statement be applied as an appendix or preface to the TIP document that is made available for public comment. Additionally, it is recommended to apply this template or a comparable statement to other publicly facing documents and communications, including the MPO/RPO PPP and respective websites.

Tribal Consultation

Although there are no areas in Pennsylvania currently under the jurisdiction of Tribal governments, PennDOT recognizes the importance of tribal consultation and considers federally recognized Tribes and Nations to be interested parties. Therefore, PennDOT and MPOs/RPOs shall consult with federally recognized Tribes and Nations that have regions of interests in Pennsylvania to provide opportunities for review and comment on key planning documents, such as the TIP, LRTP, and PPP. For the 2023 TIP update, this includes notifying Tribes and Nations of the opportunity to participate in any TIP public meetings and review the draft TIP during the public comment period. However, this effort to consult with individual Tribes and Nations needs to be a separate public involvement effort that occurs during the public comment period. The consultation letter to inform the Tribes and Nations of the public involvement opportunity should be specific and tailored to the individual Tribe or Nation that maintains an area of interest within the boundaries of each respective planning partner and should not be included in mass email alerts/notices to the general public. Because of the importance of governmentto-government consultation with Tribes and Nations, the letter should come directly from PennDOT or the MPO/RPO staff and cannot be sent by a consultant.

Please note that some of the Tribes and Nations accept email correspondence while others may require a paper copy of documents. For the Tribes and Nations that require paper copies, please include a printed version of the TIP with the consultation letter to reduce any barriers to participation, and freedom for review, and comment. A **list** of federally-recognized Tribes and Nations contacts as well as a **sample coordination letter** are available in the <u>Tribal Coordination folder</u> in SharePoint.

Self-Certification

All Pennsylvania's MPOs are required by <u>23 CFR 450.336</u>(a) to complete self-certification resolutions concurrent with their TIP updates, which state that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements. These self-certification resolutions are part of the TIP submission documentation sent to PennDOT CPDM. Non-TMA MPOs, metropolitan areas with populations less than 200,000 as deemed by the US Census, and RPOs must include documentation to indicate compliance as part of their TIP submissions. MPOs that are in Transportation Management Areas (TMAs), metropolitan areas with populations exceeding 200,000 as deemed by the US Census, are required to have Federal certification reviews performed by FHWA/FTA every four years, in accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.336</u>(b). Based on the higher level of oversight by FHWA/FTA, the TMA MPOs aren't asked to provide the additional compliance documents because those materials are reviewed as part of the Federal certification reviews. The regulatory requirements and citations to include in the Self-Certification resolution can be found at <u>23 CFR 450.336</u>. **Examples** of self-certification resolutions

and documentation can be found in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance Support Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

Project Selection

To the maximum extent practicable, project selection, evaluation, and prioritization should be a clear and transparent process. To kick off this process, PennDOT and FHWA/FTA recommend that MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT Districts schedule an early coordination meeting at the beginning of the TIP development process to discuss and agree upon roles and responsibilities, overall schedule, and key deadlines. PennDOT CPDM liaisons and FHWA/FTA planning staff are available to participate and assist, as needed. PennDOT and FHWA/FTA have developed a new coordination worksheet to aid this discussion. The **worksheet** can be found in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance Support</u> <u>Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

PennDOT District and CPDM staff will work with the MPOs/RPOs to document the project identification, prioritization, and selection process used for the highway/bridge portion of the Program. The MPOs/RPOs will work with public transit agencies in their regions to document the project identification, prioritization, and selection process used for the public transit portion of the Program. These project selection processes will vary by District, MPO/RPO, and public transit agency, but should reflect the key elements established in this guidance, be documented in the regional TIP development process mentioned above, and be included as part of the MPO/RPO TIP submissions. A draft version of the regional project selection documentation should be submitted to PennDOT CPDM with the draft list of projects in accordance with the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule available in Appendix 1. This will allow for early coordination with PennDOT Districts, CPDM, FHWA, and FTA for review and feedback prior to the draft TIP public comment period.

PennDOT District and MPO/RPO staff will work together to identify candidate projects for the highway/bridge portion of the 2023 Program. Initial focus should be placed on carryover projects which must be carried forward onto the 2023 Program from a previous Program. These include:

- Projects that are still advancing through the project delivery process
- Projects with unforeseen cost increases
- Projects with anticipated Advance Construct (AC) conversions

Highway/bridge carryover project scopes, costs, and schedules will be reviewed and updated based on information obtained through project management and from local input/outreach sources such as the STC Public Survey, MPO/RPO public involvement, <u>PennDOT Connects</u> (PennDOT's municipal outreach policy), and Environmental Justice analysis. PennDOT Districts must ensure that timely and accurate project information is input into <u>PennDOT's Multimodal Project Management System</u> (MPMS) and share this information with the MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT CPDM. Project public narratives and MPMS data entry should follow <u>Pub 227</u> and strike-off letters available in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance Support Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

Clear and understandable project descriptions guarantee that details including the location and scope of work are easily understood by the public and will even reduce potential confusion during TIP Negotiations, Air Quality Conformity, federal funds eligibility review, and funds obligation. As the project

progresses, it is important to update the project description to reflect changes in scope and/or alternatives analysis.

PennDOT District staff and MPO/RPO staff should then cooperatively meet to evaluate highway/bridge project ideas or additional needs that have been identified through the TPM process and informed by the TAMP, transportation performance measures, the statewide and regional LRTPs, and the local input/outreach sources mentioned above. PennDOT CPDM will ensure that adequate coordination meetings are occurring and appropriately documented for the STIP/TIP submission.

The MPO/RPO's in consultation with the Engineering Districts, should consider cross asset optimization of these multiple project focus areas when considering whether or not to adopt the statewide targets that have been established. Tools like OneMap and other GIS based applications may be utilized to assist with analyzing these various performance areas.

Based upon this continued coordination throughout the TIP development process, PennDOT District staff will create project scopes, costs, and schedules in MPMS for the mutually agreed-upon new projects. To allow for open discussion and collaboration, cooperative discussions about candidate projects under consideration should occur between the MPOs/RPOs and the Districts prior to preparation of a fiscally constrained project list.

PennDOT Connects

Overarching guidance for PennDOT's project development and delivery process is provided by <u>Design</u> <u>Manual Part 1A</u> (DM1A). It provides guidance on the collection, validation, sharing and documentation of the information necessary to advance a project. As detailed in DM1A, new projects must follow the PennDOT Connects collaborative planning process approach in Appendix 2. The local government outreach and collaboration achieved through the <u>PennDOT Connects policy</u> leads to positive outcomes, including clearer scopes of work and more accurate schedules and budgets when projects are programmed. This information is carried forward into the scoping and environmental review processes. PennDOT Connects collaboration may occur throughout the planning process. However, PennDOT Connects Project Initiation Forms (PIFs) should be completed for new TIP projects prior to programming. Additional guidance is currently being developed to address PennDOT Connects scalability for projects funded outside of Financial Guidance.

PennDOT Connects identifies community needs and contextual concerns early in project planning through a collaborative process. It is also a mechanism where PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs can hold discussions on emerging topics like Environmental Justice in the state's transportation programs. PennDOT and the MPO/RPOs coordinate with local governments to identify opportunities to incorporate community-related features into potential projects prior to adding those projects to the Program. However, this is only the beginning of the PennDOT Connects collaborative approach. While community-focused project features are identified in planning, it is often not until the Preliminary Engineering (PE) process is conducted that a determination can be made on whether these features can reasonably be incorporated into the project. Issues such as environmental impacts and other design considerations, such as right-of-way and utilities, are all considerations that factor into decision-making entering the final design of a project. Local governments must be kept informed throughout the decision-making processes involved in project development and delivery.

The identification and consideration of cultural resources is one aspect of PennDOT Connects collaboration that can be particularly valuable. "Cultural resources" is a term that is typically used synonymously with the term "historic properties", which are defined in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) (54 USC § 300308) as buildings, sites, districts, structures and objects included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places. Section 106 of the NHPA requires that federal agencies consider the effects of their actions on historic properties following the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's implementing regulations at 36 CFR 800. Identifying historic properties present, or likely present, in a project area during project planning provides the best means for protecting and preserving cultural properties important to Pennsylvania's communities and benefits the efficiency and utility of the Section 106 process. As part of the PennDOT Connects process, the MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT Districts should discuss if cultural resources are present, or likely present, in the project area. Collaboration with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or the PennDOT District Cultural Resource Professionals (District archaeologist and District architectural historian) may also inform the process. Pennsylvania's Statewide Historic Preservation Plan for 2018-2023 outlines a five-year plan for collaboration on historic preservation that should be considered as part of project planning.

Long Range Transportation Plans

PA On Track is Pennsylvania's current <u>LRTP</u> and <u>CFMP</u>. They were developed with the cooperation and input from dozens of state, regional and local transportation agencies. PA On Track sets goal areas that include system preservation, safety, personal and freight mobility, and investment. Pennsylvania's Statewide LRTP and CFMP are currently being updated for 2045 to meet the <u>federal requirement</u> to update the State Freight Plans every five years.



Pennsylvania MPOs and RPOs are required to have their own regional LRTPs. They are maintained and updated as needed in accordance with the current federal transportation legislation requirements - at least every four years in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas and at least every five years in attainment areas. PennDOT provides guidance to MPOs/RPOs in the development of regional LRTPs in its <u>Developing Regional Long Range Plans</u>, PennDOT Publication (PUB) 575, which is currently being updated. PennDOT has also created <u>Freight Planning Guidance</u> (PUB 790).

The regional LRTPs are consistent with the goals laid out in the statewide LRTP, are based on extensive public and stakeholder involvement, and include a list of fiscally constrained projects that support

regional goals and objectives. These projects are prioritized with a strong emphasis on preservation and operating efficiency of the existing infrastructure for all modes to ensure consistency between regional LRTPs, comprehensive plans, and regional TIPs. The MPOs/RPOs shall make their regional LRTPs available on their websites.

Transportation Performance Management

Transportation Performance Management (TPM) requirements are a key component of the project decision making process. TPM planning requirements were established by the <u>Moving Ahead for</u> <u>Progress in the 21st Century</u> (MAP-21) Act and reaffirmed in the <u>Fixing America's Surface Transportation</u> (FAST) Act. Under these rules, PennDOT and its MPOs/RPOs are required to establish targets related to safety, bridge and pavement condition, air quality, freight movement, public transportation asset management and safety, and the performance of the National Highway System, and to use performance measures to track their progress toward meeting these targets.

Information on TPM rules and other resources on performance management are available on <u>FHWA's</u> <u>Transportation Performance Management</u> webpage and through <u>FTA's Performance Based Planning</u> webpage. Additional information on PBPP can be found on FHWA's <u>Performance Based Planning and</u> <u>Programming Guidebook</u> and is illustrated in the flowchart shown below.



The <u>TPM Resource Toolbox</u> has been created to support PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs with the integration of the federal performance measures in the transportation planning process. The toolbox includes:

- Ability to ask questions for which PennDOT will work to create formal responses
- Handouts to provide further guidance in TPM implementation
- Examples of noteworthy practices and select case studies
- Key contacts and resources
- Ways to communicate the TPM measures to the public

PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs are required to comply with <u>23 USC 150</u>, which provides strategies for the most efficient investment of Federal transportation funds by refocusing on national transportation goals, increasing the accountability and transparency of the Federal-aid highway program, and improving project decision making through PBPP.

<u>23 CFR 450.314(h)</u> requires PennDOT, MPOs/RPOs, and public transit agencies to create jointly agreedupon written provisions for how they will cooperatively develop and share information related to five key elements of PBPP:

- Transportation performance data
- Selection of performance targets
- Reporting of performance targets
- Reporting of performance to be used in tracking critical outcomes for each region
- Collection of data for the State asset management plan for the National Highway System (NHS)

PennDOT, in cooperation with its MPOs/RPOs, developed the <u>Pennsylvania Transportation</u> <u>Performance Management Performance-Based Planning and Programming Procedures</u> document to serve as Pennsylvania's jointly-written provisions for the highway/bridge PBPP roles and responsibilities. It also more fully documents the roles for PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs regarding target setting coordination, data collection, data analysis and reporting. To ensure compliance with <u>23 CFR 450.314</u>, the MPOs/RPOs have provided written acknowledgement that the Pennsylvania PBPP written provisions were cooperatively developed and agreed-upon with PennDOT.

MAP-21 established three categories of performance measures, which are collectively referred to as the PM1, PM2, and PM3 measures:

- PM1 measures of safety performance
- PM2 measures for the condition of NHS pavements, Interstate pavements, and bridges carrying the NHS
- PM3 measures for the performance of the NHS, freight movement on the Interstate, and the CMAQ Program

The PM1, PM2, and PM3 measures each have multiple targets. Based on the jointly-written provisions, the statewide targets for the above measures were set in coordination between PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs. Currently, all MPOs/RPOs have adopted PennDOT's statewide targets. Documentation on the currently approved targets is available on <u>PennDOT's Transportation Performance Management</u> SharePoint page.

Public Transit Agencies are also required by FTA to develop performance targets related to asset management and safety. These targets are discussed in more detail in the Transit section below.

In accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.218(q)</u>, PennDOT CPDM, BPT and BOMO will describe in the STIP documentation how the Statewide Program of projects contributes to the achievement of the performance targets identified in the state performance-based plans, linking investment priorities to those targets. The narrative will document the PBPP objectives, investment strategies, performance measures and targets from the performance-based plans that are being implemented through the Program of projects in the STIP.

Similarly, in accordance with <u>CFR 450.326(d)</u>, the MPOs/RPOs, in coordination with PennDOT Districts and transit agencies, will describe in their TIP documentation how their regional programs contribute to the achievement of their performance targets in the regional performance-based plans, again linking investment priorities to those targets. The narratives should document the PBPP objectives, investment strategies, performance measures and targets from the performance-based plans that are being implemented through the program of projects in the MPO/RPO TIPs.

The narrative descriptions in the STIP/TIPs should also include a description of how the other performance-based plans are being implemented through the STIP and TIPs. For example, the narrative should describe how the objectives, investment strategies, performance measures and targets from the <u>PennDOT TAMP</u>, <u>Pennsylvania SHSP</u>, the <u>Highway Safety Improvement Program</u> (HSIP), the <u>Pennsylvania</u> <u>CFMP</u>, TMA CMAQ Performance Plans (see <u>23 U.S.C. 149(I)</u>), regional <u>CMP</u> plans, transit asset management plans, and other performance-based plans are being implemented through the program of projects in the STIP/TIPs. As part of the regional TIP development process, the MPOs/RPOs and Districts must also document the differences between the PennDOT asset management system treatment and funding level recommendations and their selected projects as part of their TIP submissions. They must also document the coordination with the PennDOT District(s) and Central Office that occurred as part of this decision-making process. This information will be used by PennDOT BOMO AMD to improve future asset management system recommendations.

The narrative should specifically describe these linkages and answer the following questions:

- How were the projects included in the STIP/TIPs selected/prioritized?
- What is the anticipated effect of the STIP/TIP towards the achievement of the performance targets?
- How are the STIP/TIPs consistent with the other performance-based planning documents?

Documentation of how the TIP supports achievement of the performance targets should be incorporated into the project selection and program development narrative submitted by MPOs/RPOs. This information is critical to the TIP development process and should be submitted to PennDOT CDPM in draft form with the draft list of projects in accordance with the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule available in Appendix 1. This will allow for early coordination with PennDOT Districts, CPDM, FHWA, and FTA for review and feedback prior to the draft TIP public comment. Additional **template tools** and **examples** will be made available in the <u>2023 General and Procedural</u> Guidance Support Documents folder in SharePoint as well as the <u>TPM Resource Toolbox</u>.

Safety

Safety is a primary focus of strategic investments for Pennsylvania's transportation network at the State and Federal level. Safety is one of seven themes from PennDOT's Strategic Plan, one of the four goal areas of PA On Track's strategic framework, and one of three strategies in Pennsylvania's Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP). Safety is the USDOT's top priority and identified as FHWA's number one objective in the FHWA FY <u>2019-2022 Strategic Plan</u>. Safety Performance Management is also part of FHWA's overall TPM program. The <u>Safety Performance Management Final Rule</u> establishes safety performance measure requirements for carrying out the HSIP.

To establish the current Safety Performance Measure (PM1) targets, PennDOT BOMO reviewed the State's crash and fatality data and evaluated it for overall trends, comparing these trends to what could be observed at the national and state level. PennDOT evaluated how these trends affected the Pennsylvania SHSP goals and the <u>National Toward Zero Death initiative</u>. PennDOT BOMO and CPDM shared the statewide data with the Engineering Districts and MPOs/RPOs.

The purpose of HSIP funding is to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads, including non-State-owned public roads. This directly ties to achieving the targets established under PM1. Projects using HSIP funding will be coordinated between the regional MPO/RPO and PennDOT [District, BOMO, and CPDM staff]. These projects must be consistent with the strategies from the SHSP.

All projects utilizing HSIP funds shall be evaluated based on Benefit/Cost (B/C) analysis, Highway Safety Manual (HSM) analysis, fatal and injury crashes, application of systemic improvements, improvements on high risk rural roads, and deliverability. Specifically, as part of PennDOT's HSIP application process, a data-driven safety analysis in the form of B/C analysis or HSM analysis is required. Performing this analysis early in the planning process will help ensure projects selected for inclusion in the TIP will support the fatality and serious injury reductions goals established under PM1. As a *minimum*, HSIP projects shall have a 1:1 return on the safety funding investment. MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT Districts are encouraged to select projects for inclusion in the TIP that will result in the highest B/C ratio as this supports a greater potential for reduction in fatalities and suspected serious injuries.



The process for selecting safety projects for inclusion in the TIP should begin with the Network Screening Evaluation that the Department has performed on a statewide basis. Selecting locations with an excess crash frequency greater than zero from this network screening is key to identifying locations with a high potential to improve safety. This

evaluation has been mapped and is included in <u>PennDOT's OneMap</u> to ease use by our partners. This GIS layer contains both urban and rural locations that represent both intersections and roadway segments. At the current time this is not all inclusive for every road in Pennsylvania. Locations not currently

evaluated may be considered by performing the same type of excess crash frequency evaluation the Department utilizes. The difference in the expected number of crashes and predicted number of crashes is computed as an 'excess crash frequency'. A positive excess crash frequency shows a potential for safety improvement, while a negative excess crash frequency indicates there are fewer expected crashes than predicted. The greater the difference between the expected number of crashes and the predicted number of crashes (excess crash frequency), the greater the potential for safety improvement. If the expected number of crashes is fewer than the predicted number of crashes, the excess crash frequency will be negative, and it is assumed there is little room for safety improvement. Use of the Highway Safety Manual and PUB 638A will assist in performing this evaluation manually.

Locations in OneMap are color coded to easily identify potential safety project locations. The locations identified in yellow, orange, or red have an increasing potential for improving safety with the red locations having the greatest opportunity to improve safety. Locations in green are locations that are already performing safely statistically and are included so that partners understand that there may be limited improvement of safety by selecting one of these locations for inclusion on the TIP.

CDART 🗪 Crast	h Data Analysis Retrieval Tool	Data Analysis 👻 Bi	atch Report Monitoring Help 🔒 gagray
Welcome to PennDO	OT CDART		
🖨 Crash Query		O Need Help?	Attention CDART Users
Select an Office PennDOT Central Office - Continue	[] Remember my location	Watch Videos	is not fully represented in CDART. Creates will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.
🗎 Homogenous Report	🗎 Year-End Report		Complete data years Complete records of reportable
View Report	State Wide ~		crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 2001 - 2020
	View Report		
Copyright 2017 PennDO1. All rights reserved.			About CDART



Once safety candidate location(s) have been prioritized for further analysis using the network screening, an assessment of the type of project that needs to be done to address the safety needs should be performed. This analysis must be performed so that project delivery and funding level considerations can be factored into TIP development. Through crash data, the MPO/RPO's and

Engineering Districts can get an idea of whether the safety needs can be addressed by using <u>proven</u> <u>countermeasures</u> or whether a more significant infrastructure improvement is necessary. To assist in this, partners can use one of two systems:

(1) Crash Data Analysis Retrieval Tool (CDART)

(2) Pennsylvania Crash Information Tool (PCIT)



Once this analysis has been performed, data should be used by the Engineering Districts and planning partners to assist MPO/RPO's in evaluating different factors to address the safety concern. By starting with the <u>Crash Modification</u> <u>Factors Clearinghouse</u> the Engineering Districts can help narrow down treatments that are applicable to a given location and dataset. MPO/RPO's should use this information to assess

the complexity of the project needed. For example, can a situation involving roadway departure crashes be addressed by the addition of curve warning signs and high friction surface treatments or do a series of curves in the roadway need removed. Obviously the more complex the solution is the greater the funding levels will be, but it also increases other project delivery aspects like environmental clearances and right-of-way impacts. Both areas can affect how much funding is tied to a given year on the TIP as well as the total number of years the project will need carried on the TIP to reach completion. All of these factors are important considerations when selecting safety projects because delivery of safety that have the greatest potential for return on reduction in crashes is key to the Commonwealth achieving its established safety performance targets.

These analysis options are explored in more detail at the following locations:

- Highway Safety Benefit-Cost Analysis Guide
- Highway Safety Benefit-Cost Analysis Tool: Reference Guide
- HSM Analysis [Crash Modification Factor (CMF) Clearinghouse]

Guidance on performing a data-driven safety analysis can be found in the following locations:

- PUB 638 District Highway Safety Guidance Manual
- PUB 638A Pennsylvania Safety Predictive Analysis Methods Manual
- PennDOT Safety Website
- AASHTO Highway Safety Manual
- <u>FHWA Crash Costs for Highway Safety Analysis</u>
- FHWA Countermeasure Service Life Guide

More information on HSIP project eligibility and requirements, including federal share pro rata, can be found at the following links:

- FHWA Project Eligibility
- FHWA Eligibility Guidance
- <u>23 USC 120 Federal Share Payable</u>
- 23 USC 148 Highway Safety Improvement Program

The <u>SharePoint HSIP funding site</u> provides a single point of communication for all HSIP eligibility and funding requests.

Applications submitted through this process will document all the processes discussed earlier in this section. Project applications can be initiated either by an MPO/RPO or an Engineering District. The applications are reviewed through an approval workflow



involving the PennDOT Engineering District, BOMO safety and CPDM staff. To ensure that there are no conflicts between the approved TIP and safety performance measures this application should be created as early in the planning process as possible. Failure to do this could result in projects being included in the TIP that do not meet the minimum 1:1 benefit cost ratio for utilization of HSIP funding. The HSIP projects should be continually monitored by the MPOs/RPOs, PennDOT Engineering Districts, CPDM, BOMO, and FHWA to ensure approved applications match any TIP adjustments. If situations arise where either the MPOs/RPOs or Engineering Districts believe additional funding is needed for the safety project an amendment shall be processed through this HSIP SharePoint system to ensure that the 1:1 benefit cost ratio can be maintained at the increased funding level. These HSIP application amendments shall be initiated by either the MPOs/RPOs or the Engineering Districts in conjunction with any TIP adjustments. This approach will not only ensure that Pennsylvania is working towards the SHSP goals but will also allow the PennDOT Districts and MPOs/RPOs to quantify the safety improvements of the selected projects relative to the safety performance targets. It will also assist in ensuring that delivery and funding issues do not arise during the project development process.

Pennsylvania sets aside \$35 million of HSIP funds per FFY to advance projects statewide. The HSIP setaside is managed as a statewide program by PennDOT CPDM in coordination with BOMO. Projects are evaluated, ranked, and selected based on their potential significant safety return on investment and their deliverability. The remainder of the state's HSIP authorization is allocated regionally. Each MPO/RPO receives a base funding level of \$500,000 for supporting low cost safety improvements and systemic safety. The remaining HSIP funding is allocated at a 39:1 ratio based on actual crash data. It should be noted however that the allocated HSIP funding can still be utilized for systemic safety treatments because it has been determined that these types of projects have a much greater return on the safety investment in Pennsylvania. Further documentation on this process is included in the Financial Guidance Document.

Pavement and Bridge Asset Management

Preserving Pennsylvania's pavement and bridges is a critical part of the strategic investment strategy for Pennsylvania's transportation network at the State and Federal level. System preservation is another goal area of PA On Track's strategic framework. With limitations on available resources, the preservation of pavement and bridge assets using sound asset management practices is critical. Asset management is a key piece of FHWA's TPM program and is a vital force behind infrastructure performance. TPM is the approach to managing transportation system performance outcomes, while asset management is the application used to manage the condition of the infrastructure assets.

PennDOT's <u>TAMP</u>, required by <u>23 USC 119</u> and <u>23 CFR 515.13(b)(2)</u>, formally defines its framework for asset management, which is a data-driven approach coupled with a risk-based methodology. It outlines

the investment strategies for infrastructure condition targets and documents asset management objectives for addressing risk, maintaining the system at the desired state of good repair, managing to lowest life cycle costs (LLCC), and achieving national and state transportation goals identified in <u>23 USC</u> <u>150(b)</u>. The TAMP is developed by PennDOT BOMO's Asset Management Division (AMD) in consultation with PennDOT Executive leadership, CPDM, Bureau of Planning and Research (BPR), PennDOT Districts, the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC), the MPOs/RPOs and FHWA.

The TAMP projects the levels of future investment necessary to meet the asset condition targets and contrasts them with expected funding levels. This helps PennDOT to make ongoing assessments and to reevaluate data associated with its investment decisions for this Program update as well as future updates. Analyses done during the development of the TAMP were utilized to establish the current Pavement and Bridge Condition Performance Measure (PM2) targets.

With each program update, PennDOT has made substantial advances in its asset management tools and practices. A risk-based, data-driven approach to project selection helps ensure that the right projects are prioritized, and the transportation system is managed optimally to the lowest practical life-cycle cost. PennDOT's Pavement Asset Management System (PAMS) and Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS) are the foundations for this asset management approach. Information from these systems informs the development of the TAMP. Step by step guidelines on utilizing PAMS and BAMS to review treatments and develop projects can be found in the <u>TPM Resource Toolbox</u>.

PennDOT's asset management systems forecast condition and investment needs by asset class and work type using deterioration models and cost matrices developed for PennDOT infrastructure and based on historical data. PennDOT has developed both predictive and deterministic models that support multi-objective decision-making based on current average work costs and estimated treatment lifespans. These models allow PennDOT to predict infrastructure investment needs and future conditions under a range of scenarios.

As part of its asset management strategy, PennDOT strives to maintain as many highway and bridge assets as possible in a state of good repair, per <u>23 CFR 515.9 (d)(1)</u>. PennDOT defines its desired state of good repair as meeting the FHWA minimum condition thresholds for pavements and bridges: no more than 5 percent of NHS Interstate lane-miles shall be rated in poor condition (<u>23 CFR part 490.315(a)</u>, <u>Subpart C</u>) and no more than 10 percent of total NHS bridge deck area shall be rated as poor (<u>23 USC 119(f)(1)</u>). However, the ability to achieve these condition thresholds is funding dependent.

Within its asset management framework, it was necessary for PennDOT to transition away from a "worst-first" programming methodology to a true overall risk-based prioritization and selection of projects for its system assets based on LLCC. "Worst-first" prioritization focuses work on the poorest condition assets at the expense of rehabilitation and preventative maintenance on other assets in better condition. PennDOT's revised strategy reflects its asset management motto and guiding principle: "The right treatment at the right time." This is reflective of Federal TAMP requirements that are centered on investing limited funding resources in the right place at the right time to produce the most cost-effective life cycle performance for a given investment, per <u>23 CFR 515.7</u> and <u>23 CFR 515.9</u>.

PennDOT will use its PAMS and BAMS systems to assist with prioritizing preservation activities to extend asset life. This methodology will allow PennDOT to manage assets to both specific targets and to the lowest practical life-cycle cost and help it to make progress toward achieving its targets for asset

condition and performance. Implementation of these improved asset management practices should be implemented on all state and local networks.

The bridge condition classification of poor has replaced the previous structurally deficient (SD) condition ranking. The SD ranking was a major component of PennDOT's old Bridge Risk Score, which was not a prioritization tool for network level risk. Rather, it was a combination of project level risk and structure condition that was only applied to a small subset of the overall bridge population. PennDOT has developed a new Bridge Risk Score to assist in prioritizing preservation, rehabilitation, and replacement. It does not include condition in the calculation so that risk can be addressed independently and provides each bridge structure with a score in the same scale in relation to the network. BAMS utilizes the new risk score to prioritize bridges within a LLCC-based work selection. The software looks at all possible work for a given year, determines the best projects based on LLCC logic, and then prioritizes based on the new Risk Score.

PAMS and BAMS outputs are the basis for determining project programming to achieve LLCC. PennDOT Districts should work with MPO/RPOs to generate the lists of recommended treatments by work type (such as highway resurfacing and bridge rehabilitation), based on LLCC and condition projections derived from PennDOT's PAMS and BAMS. PennDOT BOMO-Asset Management will provide any necessary support. Step by step **guidelines** on utilizing PAMS and BAMS to review treatments and develop projects can be found in the <u>TPM Resource Toolbox</u>. For the 2023 Program Update, as we integrate PAMS and BAMS into TIP and TYP Development, AMD will provide the PAMS and BAMS outputs. The PAMS and BAMS outputs for the 2023 program are available in the <u>PAMS-BAMS Runs folder</u> in SharePoint. PAMS and BAMS outputs will define recommended treatments, but not necessarily complete project scopes and limits. These outputs will serve as a guide to assist in the prioritization and selection of new projects to be considered for the program.

While the TAMP and PM2 measures currently only focus on the NHS, PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs must ensure that projects are selected and prioritized for the entire state-owned and locally owned Federal-aid network. In coordination with PennDOT Districts, the MPOs/RPOs should consider and document how the following was utilized as part of their program development process:

- regional highway and bridge system assets
- existing conditions on the NHS
- projected future conditions on the NHS
- development of strategies/priorities to continue to improve the system at the LLCC
- planning and programming of projects as part of fiscal constraint

The TAMP is a living document. It is meant to evolve over time as conditions, funding availability, risks, constraints, and federal laws or requirements change. Future updates of Pennsylvania's TAMP will consider expanding the pavement and bridge inventory to include non-NHS pavements and bridges as well as additional NHS and non-NHS assets, once the data to fully analyze these assets becomes available.

As Pennsylvania transitions to LLCC, projects currently included in the STIP/TIPs, TYP and LRTPs will need to be reviewed, evaluated, and prioritized to reflect current asset condition data and funding levels as well as shifting needs, including unanticipated changes in demand and impacts related to extreme weather events. PennDOT BOMO will work with PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts and the

MPOs/RPOs to recommend the prioritization of specific bridge projects over specific roadway projects and vice versa to prevent bridge or pavement conditions from falling below FHWA minimum condition thresholds. This prioritization will be undertaken using a combination of advanced asset management tools, professional engineering judgment by Central Office and District personnel, and local MPO/RPO input. Flexible Federal and State funding may need to be utilized to help achieve NHS performance targets, if available. This will be based on coordination between PennDOT BOMO AMD, PennDOT CPDM and the MPOs/RPOs, in consideration of other required performance measures and state initiatives.

As part of the regional TIP development process mentioned above, the MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT Districts must document the differences between the PennDOT asset management system treatment and funding level recommendations and their selected projects as part of their TIP submissions. They must also document the coordination with the PennDOT District(s) and Central Office that occurred as part of this decision-making process. This information will be used by PennDOT BOMO AMD to improve future asset management system recommendations.

System Performance

Pennsylvania's transportation system is critical to the efficient movement of people and goods. State and Federal initiatives are in place to maintain and improve system mobility. Personal and Freight Mobility is another goal area of PA On Track's strategic framework. Improving reliability and traffic flow are also part of FHWA's overall TPM program. <u>FHWA's System Performance/Freight/CMAQ Final Rule</u> established performance measure requirements for system performance, freight, and congestion, known as the PM3 measures.

The PM3 measures are used by PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs to evaluate the system reliability of the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS to help carry out the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP), to assess goods movement on the Interstate NHS to help implement the National Highway Freight Program (NHFP), and to measure traffic congestion and on-road mobile source emissions on the NHS to help carry out the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program.

The current PM3 Targets were established using historic trends for each measure in combination with regional mobility goals established in the statewide and regional LRTPs. At this time, limited historical information may hinder the assessment of trends for the traffic congestion and reliability measures. The assessment of trends may also include the evaluation of data used within the CMP, Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO), and CMAQ processes.

Data for the reliability and delay measures are taken from the National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS). This data set includes average travel times on the National Highway System (NHS) for use in performance measures and management activities. This data set is available to MPOs and PennDOT and more information can be found on the FHWA <u>Operations Performance</u> <u>Measurement</u> website. The NPMRDS is part of the Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS) which is the current platform for reporting the PM3 travel time measures. RITIS provides a portfolio of analytical tools and features for summarizing the measures and evaluating trends. The <u>CENSUS American Community Survey</u> (ACS) and <u>FHWA CMAQ Public Access System</u> provide the data sources for the Non-Single Occupant Vehicle (SOV) and emission measures, respectively. The VMT are

derived from the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). Segment-level metrics for the reliability and delay measures are also submitted by PennDOT to HPMS annually.

PennDOT BOMO will review the State's reliability and delay data and evaluate it for overall trends and provide PennDOT CPDM with statewide data to share with the MPOs/RPOs. PennDOT BOMO and CPDM will work together to develop additional regional performance measure summaries to share with the MPOs/RPOs to aid in regional target assessment and progress. This may consist of tables or online maps of travel congestion and reliability measures.

With support from the MPOs/RPOs, PennDOT CPDM and BOMO will monitor the road network for significant changes in the reliability metrics from year to year. Monitoring the network will help identify such projects as capacity enhancements or traffic signal coordination projects on primary roadways. These project impacts will help assess the benefits of historic funding and the potential benefits of future investments on traffic congestion and reliability. Identifying project impacts will require the evaluation of performance measures before construction, during construction and after project completion.

PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs should program projects that address congestion and reliability issues identified in the (Regional Operations Plans) ROPs, CMPs, and LRTPs in order to support progress towards achievement of the PM3 targets. Methods for PM3 for integration will remain flexible for each agency.

Transportation Systems Management and Operations

The mission of <u>PennDOT's TSMO Program</u> is to move people and goods from Point A to Point B, as efficiently, safely, and reliably as possible. TSMO is a way to address the reliability, mobility, and congestion of roadways by using operations-focused strategies instead of building extra capacity. Higher reliability means more consistent travel times on NHS roadways. TSMO strategies must first be considered before the implementation of a capacity-adding project. TSMO strategies may be implemented through independent projects or as part of other projects. All projects must consider impacts to the PM3 performance measures to ensure that the targets are being met.

Significant causes of congestion and unreliable travel are non-recurring events, such as crashes, and transportation network disruptions, such as severe weather and other special events. TSMO enables agencies to target the underlying operational causes of congestion and unreliable travel through innovative solutions that typically cost less and are quicker to implement than adding capacity. TSMO expands the range of mobility choices available to system users, including shared mobility and nonmotorized options. The connection between TSMO and planning is increasingly critical as connected and automated vehicles, advances in intelligent transportation systems (ITS), and other developing technologies impact transportation networks.

PennDOT has developed a <u>TSMO Guidebook</u> (PUB 851) on how to implement its approach to integrating TSMO into planning and programming and how to connect operations-related planning efforts with other Pennsylvania planning efforts. Stakeholders should consider the applicability of TSMO solutions for every project as part of the design process outlined in PennDOT's DM1 manual.

TSMO Relationship with the Planning Process



TSMO projects should be consistent with <u>FHWA operations guidance</u>, as well as Regional Operations Plans (ROPs) and ITS Architectures. ROPs play a significant role in regional LRTP and TIP/TYP processes by helping to prioritize projects that incorporate TSMO solutions. Keeping ROPs up to date is critical to ensure that they maintain the proper role in implementing TSMO-related projects in a systematic manner, rather than through ad-hoc additions to other capital projects.

Through the ROP development and update process, the existing ITS and Operations infrastructure needs, visions and goals are identified to prioritize future operations-focused projects and performance measures that are in harmony with regional, state and federal policies.



ROPs have been developed for each of Pennsylvania's four TSMO regions to better align the planning of operations with PennDOT's four Regional Traffic Management Centers (RTMC).

The RTMC manages the ROPs with support from the various MPOs/RPOs in the region. Each ROP identifies the regional approach to traffic operations and sets the stage for regional implementation of TSMO strategies. ROPs will be updated to align with the TIP 4-year cycle. The ROPs will, at a minimum, identify which projects could be undertaken within the next four years, aligning these projects for potential inclusion on the TIP/TYP/LRTP.

The National Highway Freight Program

The National Highway Freight Program (NFP) was authorized under the FAST Act to improve the efficient movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN) and support several important goals, as specified by <u>23 USC 167</u>:

- Investing in infrastructure and operational improvements that strengthen economic competitiveness, reduce congestion, reduce the cost of freight transportation, improve reliability, and increase productivity.
- Improving the safety, security, efficiency, and resiliency of freight transportation in rural and urban areas.
- Improving the state of good repair of the NHFN.
- Using innovation and advanced technology to improve NHFN safety, efficiency, and reliability.
- Improving the efficiency and productivity of the NHFN.
- Improving State flexibility to support multi-State corridor planning and address highway freight connectivity.
- Reducing the environmental impacts of freight movement on the NHFN.

NFP funds are financially constrained to an annual funding level provided as part of Financial Guidance and have strategically been allocated to the IM Program. Pennsylvania's <u>CFMP</u> must include a list of fiscally constrained NFP funded projects. PennDOT CPDM will prioritize and select projects to utilize NFP funding that are consistent with the CFMP. All projects should consider impacts to truck reliability to support progress towards achieving the performance measures. Factors from the CFMP such as freight bottlenecks and freight efficiency projects, projects identified by MPOs/RPOs, and project schedules and costs will be used in conjunction with asset management principles to prioritize project selection. Initial programming consideration will be given to currently programmed projects without regular obligation. If any changes to the projects and/or NFP funding within the projects are necessary based on the Program update, the CFMP will be updated concurrently.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program

The purpose of the CMAQ program is to give priority to cost-effective transportation projects or programs that will contribute to attainment or maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM_{2.5/10}) criteria pollutants. Financial Guidance directs CMAQ funding only to those areas designated as in maintenance or nonattainment of the current NAAQS. Previous "insufficient data" and "orphan maintenance" (as currently defined for the 1997 ozone NAAQS maintenance areas) counties no longer receive CMAQ funding. A map of the transportation conformity areas in Pennsylvania can be found in the <u>Transportation Conformity folder</u> in SharePoint.

FHWA and FTA cooperatively developed the CMAQ Interim Program Guidance in November 2013 to assist States and MPOs with administering the CMAQ program. It outlines several key criteria for CMAQ eligibility. Each CMAQ project must meet three basic criteria:

- 1. it must be a transportation project,
- 2. it must generate an emissions reduction, and
- 3. it must be located in or benefit a nonattainment or maintenance area.

In addition, there are types of projects that are ineligible for CMAQ funds even if they include potentially eligible components. These include:

- Projects that add new capacity for SOVs are ineligible for CMAQ funding unless construction is limited to high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes.
- Routine maintenance and rehabilitation projects (e.g., replacement-in-kind of track or other equipment, reconstruction of bridges, stations, and other facilities, and repaving or repairing roads) are ineligible for CMAQ funding as they only maintain existing levels of highway and transit service, and therefore do not reduce emissions.
- Models and Monitors—Acquisition, operation, or development of models or monitoring networks are not eligible for CMAQ funds. As modeling or monitoring emissions, traffic operations, travel demand or other related variables do not directly lead to an emissions reduction, these activities or acquisitions are not eligible.
- General studies that fall outside specific project development do not qualify for CMAQ funding.
- Please review the Interim Program Guidance for more details on eligibility.

PennDOT CPDM works with the MPOs/RPOs and District Offices to identify projects that may be funded through the CMAQ program, based on CMAQ eligibility requirements and project cost effectiveness. PennDOT CPDM coordinates with FHWA on providing resources and training opportunities to further clarify the eligibility requirements and enhance the CMAQ project selection process.

The CMAQ Interim Program Guidance provides direction on how to develop a CMAQ project selection process to ensure that projects deemed most effective in reducing emissions and congestion are programmed in the TIP. Per the Guidance, "the CMAQ project selection process should be transparent, in writing, and publicly available. The process should identify the agencies involved in rating proposed projects, clarify how projects are rated, and name the committee or group responsible for making the final recommendation to the MPO board or other approving body. The selection process should also clearly identify the basis for rating projects, including emissions benefits, cost-effectiveness, and any other ancillary selection factors such as congestion relief, greenhouse gas reductions, safety, system preservation, access to opportunity, sustainable development and freight, reduced SOV reliance, multimodal benefits, and others."

The Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC) and the Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission (SPC) have formal processes to solicit and administer their CMAQ programs that include project identification, screening and selection procedures (including adherence to federal requirements regarding emissions impact quantification, consideration of cost effectiveness measures, and prioritization of projects).

For CMAQ-eligible areas covered by MPOs that do <u>not</u> have a formal process, namely all areas except DVRPC and SPC, a simplified evaluation, selection, and eligibility determination process such as the one outlined below is recommended to meet this requirement:

- MPO and PennDOT District staff will conduct coordination meetings or conference calls to identify candidate projects for potential CMAQ funding consideration.
- PennDOT CPDM, in coordination with FHWA, has developed an Excel template for MPOs to evaluate candidate CMAQ projects. The template is available in the <u>CMAQ Project Selection</u> <u>Process folder</u> in SharePoint.
- MPO and PennDOT District staff will select CMAQ projects using the criteria provided in the template. These criteria will include eligibility classification, qualitative assessments of emission benefits (using FHWA's <u>Cost-Effectiveness Tables</u>), project cost, deliverability/project readiness, and other factors. MPO and PennDOT District staff should use the template to assist in the documentation of their project selection process.
- PennDOT CPDM will review the selected projects to verify their CMAQ eligibility. If requested by PennDOT, FHWA will assist PennDOT in determining CMAQ eligibility or identifying any ineligibility issues or concerns.

Although the eligibility determination process outlined above gives priority to cost-effective projects, all projects ultimately selected for CMAQ funding require a quantitative emission analysis. These emission analyses are used to support project eligibility and provide key inputs to the CMAQ annual report submission to FHWA. PennDOT CPDM will assist PennDOT District and MPO staff in completing the analyses. Available tools for emission analyses include the Pennsylvania Air Quality Off-Network Estimator (PAQONE) tool and the <u>FHWA CMAQ Emissions Calculator Toolkit</u>.

Projects with proposed CMAQ funding are coded as such in MPMS and identified accordingly throughout the project evaluation, selection and program development processes. PennDOT District staff with support from CPDM will enter the CMAQ MPMS fields for emission benefits, analysis date, and project category. As part of the draft TIP review, PennDOT and FHWA/FTA review project eligibility. Once FHWA and FTA approve the STIP, PennDOT CPDM can move forward with obligating projects funded with CMAQ.

PennDOT CPDM prepares an annual report to FHWA using project information from the MPMS system. This information is compiled annually on a nationwide level and is submitted by FHWA to Congress. It provides a list of obligated projects and emissions analyses for those projects, which ensures that only CMAQ-eligible projects are being funded.

The emission analysis results within the annual report are also used for the CMAQ national emission performance measures. As such, all agencies should understand the importance of accurately reflecting CMAQ-funded projects in MPMS and estimating project emission impacts based on the best available tools. PennDOT CPDM will performance quality control checks on the reported CMAQ-funded projects and supporting emission estimates. These activities may include additional coordination with FHWA, PennDOT Districts, and MPOs.
MAP-21 and the FAST Act require performance measures for State DOTs and MPOs to assess traffic congestion and on-road mobile source emissions for the purpose of carrying out the CMAQ program. There are three performance measures under the CMAQ program:

- Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita;
- Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle travel, also known as Non-SOV Travel; and
- Total Emissions Reduction

MPOs currently serving an urbanized area population over 1,000,000 that includes an air quality nonattainment or maintenance area must develop a CMAQ Performance Plan. In the CMAQ Performance Plan and its biennial updates, MPOs must report 2 and 4 year targets for the CMAQ measures, describe how they plan to meet their targets, and detail their progress toward achieving the targets over the course of the performance period. The Performance Plan is submitted to PennDOT for inclusion in PennDOT's biennial reports to FHWA. Currently, only the Pittsburgh, Philadelphia and Lancaster MPOs are required to submit CMAQ Performance Plans. For the next performance period covering 2022-2025, all MPOs serving an urbanized population more than 200,000 that include an air quality nonattainment or maintenance area will be required to develop a plan.

Additional FHWA CMAQ resources:

- Interim Program Guidance Under MAP-21
- Fast Act CMAQ Factsheet
- Project Eligibility
- <u>CMAQ Performance Measures</u>

Congestion Management Process

Projects that help to reduce congestion will also help to improve air quality. This approach is coordinated with a region's CMP, which helps to identify corridor-based strategies to mitigate traffic congestion reflected in the PHED and percentage of non-single occupant vehicle (SOV) performance measures.

The CMP is a regional planning tool designed to provide a systematic way for helping manage congestion and provide information on transportation system performance. It identifies congested corridors and recommends strategies for congestion mitigation. The CMP includes methods to monitor and evaluate the performance of the multimodal transportation system along with a process for periodic assessment of the effectiveness of implemented strategies.

A CMP is required for the TMAs. It is prepared by the MPO for that area and is a systematic process for managing congestion that brings congestion management strategies to the funding and implementation stages of the project delivery process. The goal of the CMP is to improve the performance and reliability of the multimodal transportation system in the MPO's region.

In TMAs designated as ozone or carbon monoxide non-attainment areas, the CMP becomes even more important. The limited number of capacity-adding projects to be considered for advancement in non-attainment TMAs must be consistent with the region's CMP. Federal law prohibits projects that result in a significant increase in carrying capacity for SOVs from being programmed in such areas unless these projects are addressed in the regional CMP.

Environmental Justice

Another key consideration in the project selection and prioritization process is Environmental Justice (EJ). <u>Executive Order 12898</u> requires Federal agencies and Federal aid recipients to adhere to the following core principles:

- To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority and low-income populations.
- To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process.
- To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority and low-income populations.

To develop a single consistent EJ analysis that can be applied statewide, the South Central MPOs in PennDOT District 8 generated a proposed methodology to evaluate the potential impacts of transportation plans and programs on EJ populations. The South Central PA MPO EJ Study, referred to as the <u>Unified EJ Guide</u>, includes several noteworthy practices adopted from MPOs around the country. As part of the 2021 TIP Environmental Justice Committee After Action Review (AAR), some aspects of the Unified EJ Guide will be modified and will be updated by November 2021.

FHWA PA Division and FTA Region III reviewed the MPO Unified Guide, and identified <u>Core Elements</u> of an effective approach to meet the intent of <u>Executive Order 12898</u>, <u>Environmental Order 5610.2(a)</u>, <u>FHWA Order 6640.23A</u>, and FTA's <u>Environmental Justice Circular 4703.1</u>. As part of the 2021 STIP/TIP update, PennDOT and many MPOs/RPOs incorporated this approach into their EJ analysis. For the TIP EJ Analysis, MPOs/RPOs should conduct the following steps:

- Identify low-income and minority populations
- Assess conditions and identify needs
- Develop the draft Program
- Evaluate benefits and burdens of the Program
- Identify and avoid, minimize, or mitigate any disproportionate and adverse impacts

As a continuation of the statewide analysis approach started with the 2021 TIP, Lycoming County Planning Commission will be completing the first two steps (Identification of Low-Income and Minority Populations and assessment of conditions and identification of needs for bridges, pavements and crashes) for all areas of the State for the 2023 TIP update. The results will be made available to each MPO/RPO in the <u>Environmental Justice folder</u> in SharePoint. MPOs/RPOs should work with the PennDOT Districts and CPDM to review, discuss and interpret the data and document the benefits and burdens analysis. The burdens and benefits analysis and the identification and addressing of disproportionate and adverse impacts will be unique to each area and examples may be found in the Unified EJ Guide.

The EJ analysis should be completed during program development and shared as part of the public comment period documentation. If disproportionately high and adverse impacts are identified, the MPO/RPO should work with PennDOT, FHWA and FTA to develop and document strategies to avoid, minimize or mitigate these impacts. It is important to note that determinations of disproportionately

high and adverse effects take into consideration the mitigation and enhancement measures that are planned for the proposed action.

The EJ analysis process should be comprehensive and continuous, with each task informing and cycling back to influence the next stage. The outcomes of the analysis and feedback received in each outreach cycle should be considered by the MPOs/RPOs and PennDOT in future project selection processes and provided to PennDOT District staff to inform the project-level EJ analysis:



Transit

In July 2016, FTA issued a <u>final rule</u> requiring transit agencies to maintain and document minimum Transit Asset Management (TAM) standards, policies, procedures, and performance targets. The TAM rule applies to all recipients of Chapter 53 funds that either own, operate, or manage federally funded capital assets used in providing public transportation services. The TAM rule divides transit agencies into two categories based on size and mode:

- Tier I
 - o Operates Rail Fixed Guideway (Section 5337) OR
 - o Operates over 100 vehicles across all fixed route modes OR
 - Operates over 100 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode
- Tier II
 - Urban and Rural Public Transportation (Section 5307, 5310, and 5311 eligible) **OR**
 - Operates up to and including 100 vehicles across all fixed route modes **OR**
 - Operates up to and including 100 vehicles in one non-fixed route mode

A **list** of Pennsylvania's Tier I and II transit agencies is found in the <u>2023 General and Procedural</u> <u>Guidance Support Documents</u> folder in SharePoint.

The TAM rule requires states to participate and/or lead the development of a group plan for recipients of Section 5311 and Section 5310 funding (Tier II), and additionally allows other Tier II providers to join a group plan at their discretion. All required agencies (Section 5311 and 5310) and remaining Tier II systems in Pennsylvania, except for the Centre Area Transportation Authority (CATA), elected to participate in the PennDOT Group Plan.

All transit agencies are required to utilize Pennsylvania's transit Capital Planning Tool (CPT) as part of their capital planning process and integrate it into their TAM process. The CPT is an asset management

and capital planning application that works as the central repository for all Pennsylvania transit asset and performance management activities.

Transit agencies update CPT data annually to provide a current picture of asset inventory and performance. From this data, PennDOT BPT updates performance targets for both the statewide inventory of Tier II agencies and for each individual agency in the plan based on two primary elements: the prior year's performance and anticipated/obligated funding levels. PennDOT BPT then reports this information to FTA and shares it with the MPOs/RPOs, along with investment information on priority capital projects anticipated for the following year. Agencies that are Tier I or non-participating Tier II use similar CPT data to set independent TAM performance targets and report these directly to the MPOs/RPOs.

Consistent with available resources, transit agencies will be responsible for submitting projects consistent with the CPT for the development of the transit portion of the Program. PennDOT CPDM will update this project information in MPMS and share it with the MPOs/RPOs, PennDOT BPT, and the transit agencies.

FISCAL CONSTRAINT

An early part of the program development process is for PennDOT, FHWA/FTA and the MPOs/RPOs to jointly develop the <u>2023 Program Financial Guidance</u> document, first through a Work Group, and later through agreement by all parties. This Guidance provides sufficient information to begin identifying projects, performing project technical evaluations, and negotiating and reaching consensus on the fiscally constrained regional programs.

Financial Guidance provides funding levels available for the development of the STIP/TYP for all anticipated federal and state funding sources. Due to the expiration of the FAST Act and uncertainty with the viability of the Highway Trust Fund, anticipated available federal highway, bridge and transit funds reflect zero percent revenue growth from the FAST Act authorized 2020 apportionment levels for the entire twelve years of the Program. State revenues are based on the latest budget estimates for highway and bridge capital appropriations. Allocations are provided to each MPO/RPO for highway and bridge funds based on jointly developed formulas. Allocations are also provided for the IMP, NFP, and Railway-Highway Crossings Program (Section 130/RRX). These continue to be centrally managed statewide programs. In addition, a portion of highway funding is reserved for distribution at the Secretary of Transportation's discretion.

Transit Financial Guidance includes both federal and state resources. Federal funding is based on FAST Act levels. State funding is based on projected funding source revenues and applied by formulas established in <u>Act 44 of 2007</u>, as amended by <u>Act 89 of 2013</u>. In addition, as part of an agreement between the Commonwealth and the transit agencies, a total of \$25 million per year in federal highway funding is reserved to be flexed to the transit agencies.

To program these funds, each transit agency works closely with PennDOT BPT to develop annual consolidated capital applications (CCA) and annual consolidated operating applications (COA). The CCA process includes federal, state, and local funds and prioritizes investments based on asset condition and replacement cycles in the CPT. This process promotes a true asset management approach where the

assets in most need of replacement and/or rehabilitation are prioritized to receive funding, which allows transit agencies to move these assets toward a state-of-good-repair.

Operating allocations are formula-based, as discussed above, and PennDOT BPT works with agencies annually through the COA process to identify anticipated expenses and revenues and program federal, state, and local funds to meet anticipated operating deficits.

An important part of the project prioritization and selection process is to ensure that the Program of projects meets fiscal constraint, which means that the included projects can reasonably be expected to receive funding within the time allotted for Program implementation. The identified revenues are those that are reasonably anticipated to be available to operate and maintain Federal-aid highways and public transportation in accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.218(I)</u> and <u>23 CFR 450.326(j)</u>.

The regional TIP narratives should include reference to the Financial Guidance process and the distribution of funds along with a form of visual documentation to demonstrate regional fiscal constraint. An example of such a visual aid is the fiscal constraint tab from the TIP Checklist.

The regional TIPs shall contain system-level estimates of state and local revenue sources beyond Financial Guidance that are reasonably expected to be available (but typically not programmed) to operate and maintain the Federal-aid highways (as defined by <u>23 USC 101(a)(6)</u>) and public transportation (as defined by title <u>49 USC Chapter 53</u>). PennDOT CPDM will provide **regional estimated totals** for state programs not included in Financial Guidance. When available, they will be placed in the <u>2023 General and Procedural Guidance Support Documents</u> folder in SharePoint. MPOs/RPOs can work with local stakeholders to identify supplemental information that is readily available. Transit providers will supply estimates of county/city/local revenue sources/contributions. This information should be integrated into the regional TIPs. Statewide information will be included with the STIP.

Line Items

As part of the program development process, PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs should consider the inclusion of reserve line items. Every effort should be made as part of the program development process to identify projects for all available funding in the first 2 years of the TIP, to ensure project delivery and maximum utilization of funding. Line items should be used primarily for contingency purposes such as unforeseen project costs, including Accrued Unbilled Costs (AUC), unforeseen AC obligations, and other actions which might occur between program drafting and project initiation. Dedicated line items for specific regional issues such as slides, and sinkholes should be included based on historical needs. Selected project categories that are air quality exempt (e.g. betterment and Section 5310) may also be grouped into regional line items for inclusion in the Program, with project specific listings to be developed later by project sponsors. The excessive use of line items for other purposes is strongly discouraged by PennDOT CPDM and FHWA.

Programming

Projects and phases of projects in the Program must be financially constrained by FFY (October 1 - September 30), with respect to the anticipated available funding and within the bounds of Financial Guidance.

The STIP/TIPs shall include a project, or a phase of a project, only if full funding can reasonably be anticipated to be available within the time period contemplated for completion of the project, based on the project phase start and end dates. This shall also include the estimated total cost of project construction, which may extend beyond the TIP and into the TYP and LRTP, in accordance with <u>23 CFR</u> <u>450.326 (g) (2), (i) and (j)</u>. Cost estimates prepared during programming are critical in terms of setting funding, schedule, and scope for managing project development. Project cost estimates shall follow guidance provided in PennDOT Estimating Manual <u>PUB 352</u>. All phases of projects that are not fully funded on the TIP will be carried over and shown in the last eight years of the fiscally constrained TYP. For projects to advance beyond the PE phase, the project must be fully funded within the TIP/TYP/LRTP.

Projects/phases of projects should be programmed in the FFY in which the project is anticipated to be obligated/encumbered. Programmed funding should be spread out (cash-flowed) over several fiscal years where applicable, based on the anticipated project schedule and timing of expenditures to maximize available resources.

PennDOT Districts, MPOs/RPOs and transit agencies will work to ensure that all cash flow procedures such as highway AC obligation, public transportation letters of no prejudice, and full funding grant approvals are accounted for in the program development process. AC projects must appear on a TIP in order to be converted into a regular obligation. These AC costs need to be accounted for as part of the program development and management process. PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs should plan to carry sufficient federal funding for eligible projects/phases beyond the first two FFYs of the current Program, anticipating that AC conversion will be necessary.

The flexing of federal funds between highway and public transportation projects will be a collaborative decision involving local officials, the MPOs/RPOs, the public transportation agency or agencies, PennDOT, and FHWA/FTA.

The Program must account for inflation using the Year of Expenditure (YOE). The YOE factor should be 3% annually. PennDOT Districts will enter cost estimates in MPMS based on present day costs. MPMS provides calculations to apply the 3% annual YOE factor to this base cost for each year of the program. The amount programmed will be based on the year where funds will be programmed for initial expenditure. The YOE tool can be found under the HWY & BR tab in MPMS.

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY

Transportation conformity is a process required by <u>CAA Section 176(c)</u>, which establishes the framework for improving air quality to protect public health and the environment. The transportation conformity rule (<u>40 CFR Part 93</u>) provides the policy, criteria, and procedures for demonstrating conformity. The goal of transportation conformity is to ensure that FHWA/FTA funding and approvals are given to highway and transit activities that are consistent with air quality goals.

The Clean Air Act (CAA) requires that regional LRTPs, TIPs and Federal projects conform to the purpose of the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Pennsylvania's SIP is a collection of regulations and documents used to reduce air pollution in areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Conformity to a SIP means that such activities will not cause or contribute to any new

violations of the NAAQS, increase the frequency or severity of NAAQS violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS or any required interim milestone.

Changes to the TIP or LRTP that involve non-exempt and regionally significant projects may or may not require the need for a conformity determination. As such, the interagency consultation process should be used to evaluate events that may trigger a new determination. Other administrative modifications affecting exempt projects, as defined in <u>23 CFR 450.104</u>, do not require public review and comment, a demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination.

Areas in maintenance or nonattainment of the current NAAQS for the criteria pollutants are required to demonstrate regional transportation air quality conformity. Per the February 16, 2018 D.C. Circuit decision in *South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA (Case No. 15-1115)*, areas that were in maintenance for the revoked 1997 8-hour ozone but were designated in attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS must demonstrate transportation conformity without a regional emissions analysis, per <u>40 CFR</u> <u>93.109(c)</u>. A **status table** of the Pennsylvania areas requiring transportation conformity can be found in the <u>Transportation Conformity folder</u> in SharePoint.

Note, the conformity analyses in the 1997 orphaned ozone areas must be updated every 4 years even though the LRTP is only required to be updated every 5 years. To address this and other timing issues, transportation conformity analyses should typically address both the TIP and LRTP, even if only one program is being updated.

Conformity analyses include all regionally significant transportation projects being advanced, whether the projects are to be funded under <u>23 USC Chapter 1</u>, <u>23 USC Chapter 2</u>, or <u>49 USC Chapter 53</u>, as required in <u>23 CFR 450.326 (f)</u>. In addition, conformity analyses should also include regionally significant projects that do not use any federal funding. Regionally significant projects (as defined in <u>23 CFR 450.104</u>) are transportation projects on a facility which serves regional transportation needs that result in an expansion of roadway capacity or a major increase in public transit service.

Exempt projects, as defined by the federal conformity regulations (<u>40 CFR 93.126</u> and <u>40 CFR 93.127</u>), are project types that typically do not have a significant impact on air quality and are exempt from the requirement to determine conformity. The decision on project exemption and/or regional significance status must include an interagency consultation process with federal, state, and local transportation and air quality partners. The consultation process is outlined in each region's Conformity SIP. In specific, consultation should include PennDOT CPDM, FHWA PA Division, EPA Region III, DEP, local air agencies (if applicable) and the regional MPO/RPO.

A transportation conformity determination shows the total emissions projected for the nonattainment or maintenance area, including all regionally significant TIP/LRTP projects. The total emissions must be less than the on-road mobile source emissions limits ("MVEB-Mobile Source Emission Budgets", or "budgets") established by the SIP to protect public health for the NAAQS.

The regional conformity requirement is separate and apart from any conformity requirements that apply to specific projects, typically as part of the <u>National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process</u>. PennDOT CPDM is responsible for partnering in this process by ensuring that the TIPs (and by extension the STIP) are in conformance. Project-level conformity analyses and screening will be conducted by PennDOT using <u>PennDOT's Project-Level Air Quality Handbook</u> (PUB 321).

The completion of a regional TIP or LRTP conformity analysis includes the following key steps:

- PennDOT CPDM will provide an air quality kick-off meeting / training session before each biennial TIP program cycle. The meeting will provide an overview of the conformity process and identify roles and responsibilities for each agency. Required meeting attendees include PennDOT CPDM, District, and MPO/RPO staff that cover regions in nonattainment or maintenance for the NAAQS. This includes areas that must address the 1997 ozone NAAQS.
- 2. PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts, the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC), and the MPO/RPOs will coordinate on the identification of air quality significant projects to be included in the regional transportation conformity analyses using the PennDOT Project Review and Classification Guidelines for Regional Air Quality Conformity document as found in the <u>Transportation Conformity folder</u> in SharePoint. PennDOT CPDM and the PennDOT Districts will be responsible for reviewing or developing clear project descriptions and providing regional significance and exempt project coding within PennDOT's Multimodal Project Management System (MPMS). This should be a joint, coordinated effort with the regional MPO and/or RPO. PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts, or MPO/RPO staff will coordinate with PTC to obtain a list of Turnpike projects that may require analysis. The PTC and Interstate (IM) projects should be distributed to the applicable MPOs/RPOs for inclusion in their regional programs.
- 3. Decisions on project-level air quality significance must also include an interagency consultation process with federal, state, and local transportation and air quality partners. PennDOT's Interagency Consultation Group (ICG) reviews the proposed highway and transit project lists from each MPO/RPO before air quality conformity determination work begins by the MPOs/RPOs and/or PennDOT. The consultation process relies on the project descriptions provided in MPMS. The project descriptions must accurately and completely reflect the project scope and schedule, so that a determination can be made whether the project is regionally significant. This includes facility names, project limits, location, if and how capacity (highway and transit) will be expanded as part of the funded improvements. The consultation process is conducted using PennDOT's <u>Air Quality</u> SharePoint site, which is maintained by PennDOT CPDM. Typically, a 2-week timeframe should be provided to the ICG for the review of air quality significant projects.
- 4. PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs conduct the conformity emission analyses using EPA's approved emission model and available transportation data. If one is available, the MPO/RPO's travel demand model is often the most effective tool to complete the conformity analysis. PennDOT CPDM provides support to the MPOs/RPOs in preparing the latest planning assumptions and completing the conformity analyses.
- 5. PennDOT and the MPOs/RPOs complete a transportation conformity report that includes the results of the emissions modeling (if applicable) and a list of air quality significant projects. Note: emission modeling is not required for areas only in maintenance for the 1997 orphaned ozone NAAQS. The transportation conformity report should be uploaded to PennDOT's Air Quality SharePoint website and shared with the ICG for review and comment before the public comment period.

- 6. The MPOs/RPOs must provide their regional air quality conformity determination for public review, as specified in their public participation plans and detailed in the Conformity Rule and FHWA's <u>Conformity Guide</u>. MPOs /RPOs that do not perform their own air quality conformity analysis should allow adequate time for completion of air quality conformity analysis by PennDOT's consultants, keeping in mind that the 30-day TIP public comment period, Board approval of the TIP, and final TIP submission to PennDOT CPDM needs to occur in accordance with the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule available in Appendix 1. PennDOT CPDM, FHWA, FTA and EPA verify the completion of air quality testing and analysis as part of the STIP/TIP review process.
- 7. The MPOs/RPOs must complete all steps of the transportation conformity and program approval process. These steps include (in order):
 - a. Review and brief applicable committees on the conformity report
 - b. Review and brief applicable committees on the TIP and/or LRTP
 - c. Review and brief applicable committees and Board on response to public comments
 - d. Board adoption and approval of the air quality conformity report which includes a summary of the public comment period and any responses to public comments, questions, or concerns.
 - e. Board adoption and approval of a formal air quality resolution. If requested, CPDM can provide assistance in reviewing the air quality resolution.
 - f. Board adoption and approval of the TIP and/or LRTP
 - g. Board adoption and approval of the self-certification resolution

STATEWIDE PROGRAMS

Interstate Program

The Interstate Management (IM) Program is a separate program developed and managed based on statewide needs. From a programming standpoint, the IM Program is fiscally constrained to an annual funding level that is provided as part of Financial Guidance. The IM Program planning and programming responsibilities are handled by PennDOT CPDM, in coordination with other PennDOT Central Office Bureaus, the PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs.

PennDOT formed an Interstate Steering Committee (ISC) in 2015 to more efficiently manage the significant needs of the statewide Interstate System. The ISC contains representation from PennDOT's CPDM, BOMO, BPD, and Districts and works with FHWA and the MPOs/RPOs on the development and management of the Interstate Program. The ISC assists with project prioritization and re-evaluates projects during Program updates. The ISC meets monthly to assist with the management of the IM Program.

As part of the IM Program update process, the ISC holds District Interstate rides and presentations to get a statewide perspective of the current state of the Interstate System in Pennsylvania. Representatives from the ISC, FHWA, and PennDOT BOMO, CPDM, and Districts ride the entire Interstate System to assess current conditions and review both currently planned and potential projects. PennDOT Districts then provide presentations to the ISC with updates on conditions, challenges, best practices and needs

in their respective areas. The presentations are provided via web conference so PennDOT Central Office and Districts, the MPOs/RPOs, and FHWA staff can participate.

Initial programming consideration will be given to currently programmed Interstate projects without regular obligation/encumbrance or with AC obligation that need to be carried over from the current Program. Once the financial magnitude of the carry-over projects has been determined, an estimate can be made on the amount of program funds available for new IM projects, with consideration of current project schedules.

The carry-over projects and any new projects will be evaluated based on current field conditions from the Interstate rides and asset management criteria provided by BOMO Asset Management. Project prioritization and selection will be consistent with the Interstate Management Program Guidelines (<u>Chapter 13 of PUB 242</u>), the TAMP, and system management to the network LLCC. The IM Program project prioritization and selection process will be documented as part of the STIP submission.

Railway-Highway Crossings Program

The Railway-Highway Crossings Program, also referred to as the Section 130 (RRX) Program, is another program developed and managed based on statewide needs. From a programming standpoint, the RRX Program is fiscally constrained to an annual funding level provided by Financial Guidance. The RRX Program planning and programming responsibilities are handled by PennDOT CPDM, based on coordination with PennDOT District and Central Office Grade Crossing Unit engineers, District planning and programming staff, and the MPOs/RPOs.

Initial programming consideration will be given to currently programmed projects without regular obligation/encumbrance or with AC obligation that need to be carried over from the current Program. New projects will be identified by PennDOT Districts in coordination with the MPOs/RPOs. Projects will be prioritized and selected based on locations with the highest hazard rating from the <u>FRA Web Accident</u> <u>Prediction System</u> and locations with other local or railroad safety concerns, including increased train traffic, near-miss history or antiquated warning devices. Consideration will also be given to the project development process and current project schedules when developing the RRX Program.

Selected projects will be added to regional MPO/RPO programs utilizing a Statewide Line Item from the Program to maintain fiscal constraint. The RRX Program project prioritization and selection process will be documented as part of the STIP submission.

Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside

The Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside of the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (TA Set-Aside) provides funding for programs and projects defined as transportation alternatives, including onand off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, infrastructure projects for improving non-driver access to public transportation and enhanced mobility, community improvement activities, environmental mitigation, trails that serve a transportation purpose, and safe routes to school projects.

The FAST Act further sub-allocates TA Set-Aside funding based upon population. Funds available for any area of the state, urban areas with populations of 5,001 to 200,000 and areas with population of 5,000

or less are centrally managed by PennDOT. PennDOT Central Office, with coordination and input from PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs, selects projects through a statewide competitive application process. Projects are evaluated using PennDOT's Core Principles, which are found in <u>Design Manual 1</u>. These Principles encourage transportation investments that are tailored to important local factors, including land use, financial concerns, and overall community context. Project deliverability, safety, and the ability to support EJ principles and enhance local or regional mobility are also considered during project evaluation. The planning and programming responsibilities for these TA Set-Aside funds are handled by PennDOT CPDM, and funding is fiscally constrained to an annual funding level by Financial Guidance.

Selected projects are added to regional MPO/RPO programs utilizing a Statewide Line Item to maintain fiscal constraint. Projects selected under previous application rounds without regular obligation or with AC obligation will be carried over from the current Program. The balance of funds from any carryover projects will remain in a Statewide Line Item on the Statewide Program until there is a new or continuing Federal Authorization that includes updated provisions for the TA Set-Aside program. Additional information about the TA Set-Aside can be found on PennDOT's <u>TA Set-Aside Funding Site</u>.

A separate regional allocation of funding is available for urbanized areas with populations over 200,000. These funds are available for MPOs to administer competitive application rounds to select eligible projects for inclusion on their regional TIPs. Funding is fiscally constrained based on annual funding amounts provided in Financial Guidance. The MPOs/RPOs will coordinate with the PennDOT CPDM TA Set-Aside state coordinator prior to initiating a project selection round.

Spike Funding

Financial Guidance includes a set-aside of several flavors of highway funding reserved for the Secretary of Transportation's discretion. The Secretary's "Spike" funding is fiscally constrained to an annual funding level provided by Financial Guidance. The Spike funding planning and programming responsibilities are handled by PennDOT CPDM, based on direction provided from the Secretary.

Historically, the Secretary of Transportation has selected projects to receive Spike funding in order to offset the impact of high-cost projects, implement special initiatives, or advance statewide priority projects. The Spike funding decisions typically continue previous Spike commitments, with any new project selections aligning with the Department's strategic direction and investment goals. Selected Spike projects are added to the regional MPO/RPO, IMP, or Statewide items TIP, utilizing Statewide Line Items from the Statewide Program to maintain fiscal constraint.

PUBLIC COMMENT

As part of their regional TIP development, the MPOs/RPOs will ensure that their regional highway/bridge and transit TIPs provide the following information:

- Sufficient detailed descriptive material to clarify the design concept and scope as well as the location of the improvement. The MPO/RPO and PennDOT District(s) must collaborate on the information for the public narrative.
- Projects or phases of projects assigned by year (e.g. FFY 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026) should be based upon the latest project schedules and consistent with <u>23 CFR 450.326(g)</u>.

- Detailed project and project phase costs should be delineated between federal, state, and local shares. Each project and its associated phase costs should depict the amount to be obligated/encumbered for each funding category on a per year basis.
- Phase estimates and total costs should reflect YOE in the TIP period, per Financial Guidance.
- The estimated total project cost should be included, which may extend beyond the 4 years of the TIP into the TYP/LRTP.
- There should be identification of the agency or agencies responsible for implementing the project or phase (i.e. the specific Transit agency, PennDOT District(s), MPO/RPO, local government, or private partner). Each MPO/RPO will work with all project administrators to provide any additional information that needs to be included with each project to be listed in their regional Program.

PennDOT CPDM will provide the information above for Statewide-managed programs for the STIP.

The MPO/RPO TIPs, including the MPO/RPO portions of the IM TIP, must be made available for public comment for a minimum of 30 days and in accordance with the procedures outlined in the MPO/RPO PPPs. A formal public comment period for the regional TIPs must be established to gather all comments and concerns on the TIPs and related documents. A separate STIP 15-day public comment period will be established. PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs shall make STIP/TIP information (such as technical information and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as websites and mobile devices.

Joint outreach efforts can result in a more effective program overall and more efficient use of labor across all MPOs/RPOs. Straightforward and comprehensive access to all public documentation (including the draft and final STIP, TIP and TYP project listings) should be made available to all members of the public, including those individuals with LEP. As part of their public outreach, MPOs/RPOs should take advantage of available resources, including translation services, social media tools, other online resources, and local community organizations.

All 2023 Transportation Program guidance documents will available at <u>Talkpatransportation.com</u> for program development use by the MPOs/RPOs and other interested parties. PennDOT and MPO/RPO websites shall be used to keep the public well informed, giving them access to the available data used in the Program update, informing them how they can get involved in the TIP update process, giving notice regarding public participation activities, and offering the opportunity for review and comment at key TIP development decision points. To provide a central location for regional public comment opportunities, PennDOT CPDM will post the regional public comment periods and links to the MPO/RPO websites on PennDOT's website. The MPOs/RPOs must post the applicable TIP documents on their regional websites for public review and comment. The table located in the TIP Submission section below outlines the required documents that must be included for public comment.

After the public comment periods have ended, the PennDOT Districts will partner with the MPOs/RPOs to develop responses to the public comments. These responses will be documented as part of the regional TIP submissions that are sent to PennDOT CPDM.

TIP SUBMISSION

MPOs/RPOs, PennDOT Districts, and CPDM will coordinate in the development of draft lists of projects. PennDOT Districts and CPDM are required to attach draft lists of projects in MPMS as noted on the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule available in Appendix 1. In addition to the project list being attached in MPMS, the MPOs/RPOs should submit a draft version of available TIP development documentation to CPDM which will then share with FHWA, FTA, BPT, and BOMO. This documentation should include the project selection process, a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets, the individual roles and responsibilities of the MPOs/RPOs, PennDOT Districts and Central Office, and a timeline. This will allow for early coordination with PennDOT Districts, CPDM, FHWA, and FTA for review and feedback prior to the draft TIP public comment period.

Following the draft TIP public comment period and the individual TIPs are approved by the MPOs/RPOs, they must be formally submitted to PennDOT CPDM. The formal submission should include a cover letter and all required documentation, along with the completed TIP Checklist in Appendix 3. The TIP Checklist will be verified by PennDOT CPDM, FHWA and FTA upon review of the TIP Submission package. The MPO/RPO TIP Submission requirements are summarized below:

TIP	Submissions Must Include the Following:	Include for Public Review and Comment
1	Cover Letter	
2	TIP Development/Project Selection Process Documentation	\checkmark
3	TIP Development Timeline	\checkmark
4	TPM (PM1, PM2, and PM3) Narrative Documentation	\checkmark
5	Transit Performance Measures Narrative Documentation	\checkmark
6	Highway and Bridge TIP Listing with public narrative	\checkmark
7	Public Transportation TIP Listing with public narrative	✓
8	Interstate TIP Listing with public narrative (regional portion)	✓
9	TIP Financial Constraint Chart	✓
10	Public Transportation Financial Capacity Analysis (MPO Only)	
11	EJ Analysis and Documentation	✓
12	Air Quality Conformity Determination Report (if applicable)	✓
13	Air Quality Resolution (if applicable)	
14	Public Comment Period Advertisement	✓
15	Documented Public Comments received (if applicable)	
16	Title VI Policy Statement	✓
17	TIP Revision Procedures	✓
18	Self-Certification Resolution	
19	List of major projects from the previous TIP that were implemented	
20	List of major regional projects from the previous TIP that were delayed	
21	TIP Checklist	

An electronic version of the regional TIP Submission must be provided to PennDOT CPDM, according to the 2023 Transportation Program development schedule in Appendix 1. The electronic version of the TIP Submission, including the TIP Checklist, should be submitted through <u>SharePoint</u>. PennDOT CPDM

will verify that the items on the TIP Checklist have been completed and that all required documents have been included along with each TIP submission.

PennDOT CPDM will combine the individual TIPs to create the STIP. The STIP, which is included as the first four years of the TYP, will be submitted by PennDOT CPDM to the STC for their approval at their August 2022 meeting. After STC approval, PennDOT will submit the STIP on behalf of the Governor to FHWA/FTA for their 45-day review period. FHWA/FTA will issue their approval of the STIP, which is contained in the Planning Finding document, by the end of the 45-day period, which should occur before the start of the new 2023 FFY on October 1.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

After adoption, the 2023 Transportation Program must continue to be modifiable based on necessary program changes. Adjustments to the 2023 Program are enacted through procedures for STIP/TIP Modification at both the State and MPO/RPO levels. The Statewide Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), which outlines the procedures for 2023 STIP modifications, is jointly developed by PennDOT, FHWA and FTA. The Statewide MOU sets the overarching principles agreed to between PennDOT and FHWA/FTA. Individual MOUs are then developed and adopted by the MPOs/RPOs, utilizing the Statewide MOU as a reference. The regional MOUs cannot be less restrictive than the Statewide MOU. The new procedures for TIP revision/modification must be part of the public comment period on the draft 2023 Program.

The modification procedures that were approved for the 2021 Program will be used as a starting point for the development of procedures for the 2023 Program. These procedures are required to permit the movement of projects or phases of projects within the STIP/TIP while maintaining year-by-year fiscal constraint. This process helps to ensure that the MPO/RPO TIPs and the STIP are consistent with the TYP and regional LRTPs, and vice versa. PennDOT CPDM will work with FHWA/FTA to develop and implement a streamlined revision process.

Changes to the TIPs and the delivery of completed projects are monitored by PennDOT CPDM, PennDOT Districts and the MPOs/RPOs and are the subject of various program status reports. PennDOT CPDM will track the progress of the highway Program and project implementation and share the findings with the MPOs/RPOs. PennDOT CPDM will send the MPOs/RPOs quarterly progress reports that detail current project obligations that have occurred in the current FFY.

In accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.334</u>, all Pennsylvania MPOs/RPOs, transit agencies, and PennDOT will cooperatively develop an Annual Listing of Obligated Projects for which Federal funds have been obligated in the previous FFY. The listing must include all Federally funded projects authorized or revised to increase obligations in the preceding program year and, at a minimum, include the following for each project:

- the amount of funds requested on the TIP
- Federal funding that was obligated during the preceding year
- Federal funding remaining and available for subsequent years
- sufficient description to identify the project or phase
- identification of the agencies responsible for carrying out the project or phase

PennDOT CPDM will continue to work with the MPOs/RPOs and transit agencies to assist them in developing the regional obligation reports. The listing of projects must be published on respective MPO/RPO websites annually by December 29 (within 90 calendar days of the end of the previous FFY), in accordance with their public participation criteria for the TIP. CPDM Funds Management will provide an annual listing of Highway/Bridge obligations and PennDOT administered executed transit grants. MPOs/RPOs should work with their respective transit agencies to acquire a list of any additional executed grants in which the agencies were the direct recipient of Federal Transit funding. The MPOs/RPOs should share the Annual Listing of Obligated Projects/Executed Grants with their respective Boards/Committees and post the reports on their websites.

2023 Program Development Schedule

Activity Jan-21	11 Feb	21 Mar-	1 Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21 S	ep-21 00	ct-21 Nov	-21 Dec	-21 Jan-23	2 Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22 N	Aay-22 Jur	1-22 Jul-2	2 Aug-2	2 Sep-22	: Oct-22	
STC releases Transportation Performance Report	2	17																		
STC-TYP public comment period		3/:	ντ/ 1	.+																
STC online public forum		3/2	m																	
General/Procedural Guidance Work Group Meetings																				
Financial Guidance Work Group Meetings																				
2023 TYP Public Outreach Feedback Provided to STC, MPOS/RPOS and PennDOT to consider for TIP/TYP																				
Spring/Summer Planning Partners Call					6/29															
Draft Interstate carryover projects released																				
BOMO Asset Management provides PAMS/BAMS outputs for the 2023 Program Update																				
Districts, MPOs/RPOs and Central Office hold initial program update coordination meetings																				
Districts, MPOs/RPOs and Central Office meet to coordinate on carryover & candidate projects																				
Project updates are made in MPMS																				
Final Program Update Guidance documents released																				
Interstate Steering Committee Presentations																				
Statewide STIP MOU development/finalization																				
Validation of PennDOT Connects PIF forms conducted for new 2023 TIP projects																				
EJ conditions data (pavement, bridge, safety and transit, if available) made available to MPOs/RPOs																				
Spike decisions released																				
Draft Interstate and Statewide Projects announced																				
Fall Planning Partners Meeting																				
EJ analysis burdens and benefits analysis is conducted by MPOs/RPOs																				
PennDOT completes attaching draft TIP/TYP in MPMS										12	/31									

12/31

MPO/RPOs submit available Draft TIP documentation to CPDM and FHWA/FTA for review

2023 Program Development Schedule

.)																				
Activity Jan-22	L Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21 N	lay-21 Jur	-21 Jul-2	1 Aug-2	1 Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21 J	an-22 Fi	eb-22 M	ar-22 Ap	r-22 Ma	y-22 Jun-	-22 Jul-2	22 Aug	-22 Sep	-22 Oct	-22
Final IM and Statewide Program Distributed																				
PennDOT CPDM completes initial review of the preliminary draft TIPs																				
MPOs, RPOs, and PennDOT reach agreement on their respective portions of the program																				
PennDOT CPDM to hold draft program review discussions																				
Interagency air quality consultation																				
Central Office sends Draft TIPs to FHWA for eligibility review																				
MPOs, RPOs and PennDOT conduct air quality conformity analysis																				
STIP Executive Summary Development																				
TIP Public Comment Periods															6	/15				
STIP Public Comment Period (15 day)															6, 6,	/1- /15				
CPDM to review STIP public comments																				
MPOs/RPOs adopt regional TIPs																				
MPOs/RPOs submit regional TIPs to PennDOT CPDM																17	15			
PennDOT CPDM reviews TIP submissions for STIP submittal																				
STC approves TYP																				
PennDOT submits STIP to FHWA/FTA on behalf of Governor																				
FHWA/FTA reviews and approves air quality conformity documents and STIP																				

2023 Program Begins

10/1



3. Projects may also be deferred to the LRTP Candidate List or illustrative list.

Studies can also be funded through the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).
Multimodal includes highway, public transit, aviation, rail, freight, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

42

October 3, 2017

2023-2026 Transportation Program Submission Checklist

Planning Partner:		[Click Here t	o View Po	p-Up Dire	ctions]
Transportation Mar	nagement Area: 🗆 Yes 🗆 No	MPO/R Others Check	PO to Provi to Indicate	de Respons Response V	e /erified
	Information Items Green highlighted items require documentation be submitted.	Response	CPDM	FHWA	FTA
1. Cover Letter	Cover Letter which documents organization and date of TIP adoption	Yes / No			
	Date TIP adopted by Planning Partner:	Meeting Date			
	TIP Development/Project Selection Process Documentation	Yes / No			
2. TIP Development:	MPO/RPO Specific TIP Development Timeline	Yes / No			
	Does the documentation explain the project selection process, roles, responsibilities and/or project evaluation criteria procedures?	Yes / No			
	PM1 Narrative Documentation (includes established targets and analysis of progress towards targets)	Yes / No			
	PM2 Narrative Documentation (includes established targets and analysis of progress towards targets)	Yes / No			
3. Performance Based Planning and Programming:	PM3 Narrative Documentation (includes established targets and analysis of progress towards targets)	Yes / No			
	Transit Performance Measures Documentation	Yes/No/NA			
	TAMP narrative documentation demonstrates consistency with the TYP/TIP	Yes / No			
4. Highway-Bridge Program Projects:	Highway and Bridge Listing with public narrative	Yes / No			
5. Public Transportation Program:	Public Transportation Listing with public narrative	Yes / No			
6. Interstate & Statewide Program	Regional Portion of Interstate TIP Listing with public narrative	Yes/No/NA			
Projects:	Regional Portion of Statewide TIP Listing (Spike, TAP, RRX, HSIP, other)	Yes/No/NA			
	Complete the tables in the Financial Constraint tab.	Yes / No			
	Is the TIP financially constrained, by year and by allocations?	Yes / No			
7. Financial Constraint:	Were the TIP projects screened against the federal/state funding program eligibility requirements?	Yes / No			
	Are estimated total costs to complete projects that extend beyond the TIP years shown in the TYP and LRTP?	Yes / No			

2023-2026 Transportation Program Submission Checklist

Planning Partner:		[Click Here t	o View Po	p-Up Dire	ctions]
Transportation Mar	nagement Area: 🛛 Yes 🗆 No	MPO/R Others Check	PO to Provi to Indicate	de Respons Response	se Verified
	Information Items Green highlighted items require documentation be submitted.	Response	CPDM	FHWA	FTA
8. Public	Public Transportation Financial Capacity Analysis (MPO Only)	Yes/No/NA			
Transportation:	Documentation of Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan	Yes / No			
9. Environmental Justice Evaluation of Benefits and	EJ Documentation (demographic profile, conditions data, TIP project map, TIP benefits/burdens analysis)	Yes / No			
Burdens:	Was EJ analysis incorporated into your TIP development process?	Yes / No			
	Air Quality Conformity Determination Report	Yes/No/NA			
	Air Quality Resolution	Yes/No/NA			
10 Air Quality:	Is the area in an AQ non-attainment or maintenance area?	Yes/No/NA			
10. An Quanty.	Have all projects been screened through an interagency consultation process?	Yes/No/NA			
	Most recent air quality conformity determination date:	Date/NA			
	Do projects contain sufficient detail for air quality analysis?	Yes/No/NA			
	Public Comment Period Advertisement	Yes / No			
	Public comment period:	Date Range			
	Public meeting(s)-Date/Time/Location:	Date/Time/ Location			
11. Public Participation	Public meeting notices contain info about special needs/ADA Compliance?	Yes / No			
Documentation:	STIP/TIP public involvement outreach activities consistent with Public Participation Plan?	Yes / No			
	Were any public comments (written or verbal) received?	Yes / No			
	Documentation of Public Comments received	Yes/No/NA			
	Were public comments addressed?	Yes/No/NA			
12. Title VI:	Has the MPO included information regarding Title VI and its applicability to the TIP, including the protections against discrimination and the availability of the TIP document in alternative formats upon request?	Yes / No			
13. TIP Revision Procedures:	MPO/RPO TIP Modification Procedures (MOU)	Yes / No			

2023-2026 Transportation Program Submission Checklist

Planning Partner:		[Click Here t	o View Po	p-Up Dire	ctions]
Transportation Mar	nagement Area: 🛛 Yes 🗆 No	MPO/R Others Check	PO to Provi to Indicate	de Respons Response \	e /erified
	Information Items Green highlighted items require documentation be submitted.	Response	CPDM	FHWA	FTA
14. MPO/RPO Self-	Self-Certification Resolution	Yes/No/NA			
Resolution:	For the Non-TMAs, does the self certification contain documentation to indicate compliance?	Yes/No/NA			
	List of regionally important projects from the previous TIP that were implemented, and projects impacted by significant delays.	Yes / No			
15. Other Requirements:	Does the TIP contain regional system level estimates of state & local revenue sources beyond financial guidance?	Yes / No			
	List of annual obligated projects on website for FFY 2022	Yes / No			
16. PennDOT Connects:	Municipal outreach/PIF forms initiated/completed for all TIP projects?	Yes / No			
	Is the TIP consistent with the LRTP?	Yes / No			
17. Long Range	LRTP air quality conformity determination date:	Date/NA			
Transportation Plan:	LRTP end year:	Date			
	Anticipated MPO/RPO LRTP adoption date:	Date			
	MPO/RPO:		Date:		
18. Completed/	PennDOT CPDM:		Date:		
Reviewed by:	FHWA:		Date:		
	FTA:		Date:		
19. Comments:	Note any noteworthy practices, issues or improvem TIP update, or any other comments/questions here:	ents that shoul	d be addre	essed by th	ie next

2023 - 2026 Transportation Program Development Checklist

Financial Constraint Tables

Compare the amount of funds programmed in each year of the TIP against Financial Guidance (FG) allocation, and explain any differences.

	FFY 2	:023	FFY 2	024	FFY 2	025	FFY 2	026	
Fund Type	Financial Guidance	Programmed	Financial Guidance	Programmed	Financial Guidance	Programmed	Financial Guidance	Programmed	Comments
ИНРР	\$121,609,000	\$121,609,000	\$114,828,000	\$114,828,000	\$108,699,000	\$108,699,000	\$102,682,000	\$102,682,000	
STP	\$27,899,000	\$27,899,000	\$28,497,000	\$28,497,000	\$29,335,000	\$29,335,000	\$30,190,000	\$30,190,000	
State Highway (581)	\$43,021,000	\$43,021,000	\$46,455,000	\$46,455,000	\$47,289,000	\$47,289,000	\$51,819,000	\$51,819,000	
State Bridge (185/183)	\$38,354,000	\$38,478,000	\$37,669,000	\$38,403,000	\$37,669,000	\$37,669,000	\$37,531,000	\$37,531,000	State 179 funds are accounted for in FY23, FY24, for MPMS #15183 .
BOF	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	\$18,698,000	
HSIP	\$24,528,000	\$24,528,000	\$25,394,000	\$25,394,000	\$26,276,000	\$26,276,000	\$27,176,000	\$27,176,000	
CMAQ	\$39,553,000	\$39,553,000	\$40,547,000	\$40,547,000	\$41,561,000	\$41,561,000	\$42,595,000	\$42,595,000	
TAU	\$7,932,000	\$7,932,000	\$8,097,000	\$8,097,000	\$8,266,000	\$8,266,000	\$8,438,000	\$8,438,000	
STU	\$85,174,000	\$85,174,000	\$86,877,000	\$86,877,000	\$88,615,000	\$88,615,000	\$90,387,000	\$90,387,000	
BRIP	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	\$41,313,000	
Total	\$448,081,000	\$448,205,000	\$448,375,000	\$449,109,000	\$447,721,000	\$447,721,000	\$450,829,000	\$450,829,000	State 179 funds are accounted for in FY23, FY24, for MPMS #15183 .

Identify the TOTAL amount and TYPES of additional funds programmed above FG allocations (i.e. Spike funds, Earmarks, Local, Other, etc.) by year:

Additional Funding Type	FFY 2023	FFY 2024	FFY 2025	FFY 2026	Comments
FFL	\$300,000				MPMS #117327- Valley Park Road over Anderson Brook
FLEX (CMAQ)	\$17,083,000	\$17,083,000	\$17,083,000	\$17,083,000	
Other	\$1,000,000	\$500,000			MPMS #11803 - Spring Garden Connector - Phase 1
Private	\$47,000,000				MPMS #106264 - I-95 CAP and MPMS #11803 - Spring Garden Connector - Phase 1
Local	\$66,577,000	\$13,558,000	\$6,984,000	\$9,466,000	
RRX	\$619,000	\$730,000	\$85,000		
sHSIP	\$8,141,000	\$9,665,000	\$8,765,000		
SPIKE-NHPP			\$36,000,000	\$60,000,000	
SPIKE-STP	\$29,176,000	\$20,346,000	\$19,094,000	\$20,619,000	
SXF	\$2,410,000	\$8,728,000			MPMS #102709-PA 41 & SR 926 Improvements, MPMS #66952- PA 23/Valley Forge Road and North Gulph Road Relocation (2NG), MPMS #79832 -North Delaware Riverfront Greenway project, Sec 3
TAP	\$7,572,000	\$3,332,000			
TPK - Turnpike		\$21,050,000			MPMS #110444 - Ridge Pike, School Lane to Belvior Rd
Total	\$179,878,000	\$94,992,000	\$88,011,000	\$107,168,000	